

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

POST APPLICATION INDIVIDIAL PROJECT COMMENTS

PROJECT INFORMATION

Panel Member

Name: **SRFB Review Panel**

Lead Entity: **Chelan**

Project

Location:

Project
Sponsor: **CCNR**

Project

Number: **09-1473N**

Project Name: **Peshastin Creek Reconnection**

Project

Number:

Date: **October 30, 2009**

OCTOBER COMMENTS (October 30, 2009):
(See September Comments)

SEPTEMBER COMMENTS (September 30, 2009):

Refer to Manual # 18, Appendix E-1, for projects that are not considered technically sound. In the "Why" box explain your reason for selecting this as a project of concern.

1. Is this a draft project of concern according to the SRFB's criteria?

Yes No

Why?

2. If YES, what would make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria?

3. If NO, are there ways in which this project could be further improved?

4. Other comments.

The project sponsor has provided landowner acknowledgement forms from 5 of 6 landowners within the project area. The sponsor has also communicated with WSDOT, who support the project but do not foresee funding any future design or construction work. While the lack of WSDOT financial support could be a significant impediment to implementing the project in the future, the current proposal is a logical first step in evaluating alternatives and developing cost estimates.

EARLY APPLICATION COMMENTS (Summer 2009):

Panel Member

Name: **Steve Toth and Pat Powers**

Lead Entity: **Chelan**

Project Sponsor: **Chelan County Natural Resources Dept.**

Project Name: **Peshastin Creek Reconnection Alternatives Analysis**

Date: **6/26/09**

Project
Location:

Project
Number:

1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria.

This design-only assessment project would evaluate alternatives for reconnection of Peshastin Creek into its historical channel on the other side of Highway 97. The historical channel would lengthen the channel by about 600 feet, although a substantial amount of restoration work will be necessary at the site. Please provide more details about the stakeholder involvement process, since this will be a critical component in determining whether restoration activities can occur. Are there any water rights associated with the parcels in the project area?

2. Missing Preapplication information.

The application will need to include details about fish use and habitat conditions in the reach, a list of project tasks, and a detailed cost estimate. Please include a parcel map and landowner acknowledgement forms in the final application.