

PROJECT: 24-1243 REST, SKOOKUM VALLEY RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT
Sponsor: South Puget Sound SEG Program: Salmon State Riparian Status: Board Funded

Parties to the Agreement

PRIMARY SPONSOR

South Puget Sound Salmon Enhancement Group
Address 6700 Martin Way E Ste 112
City Olympia **State** WA **Zip** 98516
Org Type Non-Gov-Reg Fisheries Enhance Group
Vendor # SWV0013792-00
UBI 601313551

Date Org created

Org Notes

[link to Organization profile](#)
[link to PRISM Organization page](#)
☐ Org data updated

SECONDARY SPONSORS

Squaxin Island Tribe
Address 10 SE Squaxin Ln
City Shelton **State** WA **Zip** 98584
Org Type Native American Tribe
Vendor # SWV0007841-00
UBI

Date Org created

Org Notes

[link to Organization profile](#)
[link to PRISM Organization page](#)
☐ Org data updated

MANAGING AGENCY

Recreation and Conservation Office

LEAD ENTITY

Kennedy-Goldsborough LE

QUESTIONS

#1: List project partners and their role and contribution to the project.

S. Puget Sound SEG is the primary sponsor and will serve in the roles of project manager, design coordination, technical review, and grant management. Squaxin Island Tribe is the landowner and a co-sponsor. The Tribe's Natural Resources Department will provide technical assistance and will serve as a stakeholder.

External Systems

SPONSOR ASSIGNED INFO

Sponsor-Assigned Project Number

Sponsor-Assigned Regions

LINK AN EXISTING SRP PROJECT

Unlink

2024-2-SPSSEG, Skookum Valley Riparian Management

Project Application Report - 24-1243

Project Contacts

Contact Name Primary Org	Project Role	Work Phone	Work Email
<u>Casey Allen</u> South Puget Sound SEG	Project Contact	(360) 412-0808	caseya@spsseg.org
<u>Jacob Murray</u> Kennedy-Goldsborough LE	Lead Entity Contact	(360) 427-9436 Ext 155	jmurray@masoncd.org
<u>Scott Steltzner</u> Squaxin Island Tribe	Secondary Sponsor Contact	(360) 432-3803	ssteltzner@squaxin.us
<u>Josh Lambert</u> Rec. and Conserv. Office	Project Manager	(360) 867-8781	Josh.Lambert@rco.wa.gov
<u>Sabrina Subia</u> Rec. and Conserv. Office	MAgy Fiscal Contact	(360) 725-3938	Sabrina.Subia@rco.wa.gov

Worksites & Properties

- # Worksite Name
- #4 Skookum Valley Riparian Sites

Restoration	Property Name
✓	River Mile 6.5
✓	Skookum Ranch

Worksite Map & Description

Worksite #4: Skookum Valley Riparian Sites

WORKSITE ADDRESS

Street Address
City, State, Zip

Worksite Details

Worksite #4: Skookum Valley Riparian Sites

SITE ACCESS DIRECTIONS

From Highway 101 at Little Creek Casino head west on Highway 108; each of the two sites are on the north side of the highway.

TARGETED ESU SPECIES

Species by ESU	Egg Present	Juvenile Present	Adult Present	Population Trend
Coho-Puget Sound/Strait of Georgia, Species of Concern	✓	✓	✓	Declining
Chum-Puget Sound/Strait of Georgia, Not Warranted	✓	✓	✓	Unknown
Steelhead-Puget Sound, South Puget Sound Tributaries, Threatened		✓	✓	Unknown

Reference or source used

Salmonscape

TARGETED NON-ESU SPECIES

Species by Non-ESU	Notes
Searun Cutthroat	

Questions

#1: Give street address or road name and mile post for this worksite if available.

Highway 108

Project Application Report - 24-1243

Project Location

RELATED PROJECTS

Projects in PRISM

PRISM Number	Project Name	Program Name	Current Status	Relationship Type	Notes
18-1654 A	Skookum Valley Acquisition	WWRP - Riparian Protection	Merged	Earlier Phase	
20-1090 R	Skookum Creek RM 6.5 Restoration	Salmon Federal Projects	Active	Current Phase	
18-1887 A	Skookum Creek Conservation	Salmon State Projects	Closed Completed	Earlier Phase	
24-1241 R	Skookum Ranch Restoration Phase 1	Salmon State Projects	Application Complete	Current Phase	

Related Project Notes

Questions

#1: Project location. Describe the geographic location, water bodies or habitat types, and the location of the project in the watershed, i.e. nearshore, tributary, main-stem, off-channel, etc.

See attached maps and Scope of Work.
There are three sites, all within freshwater areas in the Skookum Creek Valley with Skookum Creek and tributaries affected.

#2: How does this project fit within your regional recovery plan and/or local lead entity's strategy to restore or protect salmonid habitat? Cite section and page number.

Skookum Creek is a 'Tier A' stream within the WRIA 14 Freshwater Strategy. The site is within a high priority reach per the Freshwater Strategy Habitat Prioritization Tool. The project is part of the Skookum Valley Restoration line item in the Four-Year Workplan (#21-SRFB-SIT3).

#3: Is this project part of a larger overall project?

No

#4: Is the project on State Owned Aquatic Lands? Please contact the Washington State Department of Natural Resources to make a determination. [Aquatic Districts and Managers](#)

No

Property Details

Property: River Mile 6.5 (Worksite #4: Skookum Valley Riparian Sites)

✓Restoration

LANDOWNER

Name Squaxin Island Tribe
Address 10 SE Squaxin Ln
City Shelton
State WA Zip 98584
Type Tribal

CONTROL & TENURE

Instrument Type Landowner Agreement
Timing Proposed
Term Length Fixed # of years
Yrs 10
Expiration Date
Note

Property: Skookum Ranch (Worksite #4: Skookum Valley Riparian Sites)

Project Application Report - 24-1243

✓ Restoration

LANDOWNER

Name Squaxin Island Tribe
Address 10 SE Squaxin Ln
City Shelton
State WA Zip 98584
Type Tribal

CONTROL & TENURE

Instrument Type Landowner Agreement
Timing Proposed
Term Length Fixed # of years
Yrs 10
Expiration Date
Note

Project Proposal

Project Description

This is a riparian planting and stewardship portfolio with TWO separate worksites along Skookum Creek or within the floodplain and adjacent riparian areas. Both properties are owned by the Squaxin Island Tribe and have received some of level riparian or stream restoration.

Specific treatments for each work site will vary depending on the needs and level of prior treatments at each site, with each site to receive three years of planting maintenance following either new installations or stewardship plantings.

At one of the sites (River Mile 6.5), a new riparian planting will be installed for the first time with the intent of converting open, grass and shrub dominated areas to riparian forest, and to improve conifer density in existing forest areas. At the remaining site (Skookum Ranch), stewardship activities will include replacing plants and increasing plant densities within prior planted areas and conducting maintenance.

This project will benefit riparian habitat, in turn providing an ecological lift for stream functions and salmonids including coho and chum salmon.

Project Questions

#1: Problem statement. What are the problems your project seeks to address? Include the source and scale of each problem. Describe the site, reach, and watershed conditions. Describe how those conditions impact salmon populations. Include current and historic factors important to understand the problems.

As a result of land clearing and past or current land use practices, riparian buffers throughout much of the Skookum Creek basin are inadequate and are much narrower than SPTH or ideal widths prescribed in the guidance literature. In many locations stands of native riparian communities are missing or only occupy less than ideal widths along the streams. These conditions have resulted in a net loss of woody debris recruitment, lack of key pieces, lack of racking, reduced shade, and reduced riparian functions including prey production and nutrient cycling. As with many stream systems in the region, this reduced quality and functionality of the riparian ecosystem has had detrimental effects on salmon and their habitat. This project seeks to improve riparian condition and coverage at three restoration sites in the Skookum basin, all three of which have also been sites for stream restoration.

Skookum River Mile 6.5 Site: This site is the focus of a current stream restoration project to be constructed in 2024 which will focus on adding numerous logs and wood structures in the stream and connecting side channels. For this riparian proposal the focus will be installing native trees and shrubs to convert open, grass dominated areas to forest, supplementing conifer trees in existing early-successional forest, removing large swaths of Himalayan blackberry, and associated annual maintenance.

For the Skookum Ranch Site: The focus will be stewardship through replacing dead trees in prior planting areas, adding supplemental plants to increase density, and associated maintenance.

Project Application Report - 24-1243

#2: Describe the limiting factors, and/or ecological concerns, and limiting life stages (by fish species) that your project expects to address.

Per the *Salmon Habitat Protection and Restoration Plan for WRIA 14 and Salmonid Habitat Limiting Factors Water Resource Inventory Area 14, Kennedy-Goldsborough Basin*, limiting factors include temperature, water quality, and riparian canopy closure. Key Ecological Attributes related to riparian quality also include habitat complexity, temperature, sediment size and distribution, and habitat connectivity (ESA, 2020). Collectively, limiting factors affect all life stages of salmonids in the system which include coho and chum salmon, and winter steelhead.

#3: What are the project goals? The goal of the project should be to solve identified problems by addressing the root causes. Then clearly state the desired future condition. Include which species and life stages will benefit from the outcome, and the time of year the benefits will be realized. [Example Goals and Objectives](#)

Goal 1 – Reduce cover of non-native plant species.
Goal 2 – Convert open, grass or shrub dominated areas of the riparian buffer to native forest.
Goal 3 – Improve the diversity and quality of existing riparian forest by under-plantings with coniferous tree species.
Goal 4 - Improve plant health and survival at proposed on prior planting sites through stewardship and maintenance.

#4: What are the project objectives? Objectives support and refine biological goals, breaking them down into smaller steps. Objectives are specific, quantifiable actions the project will complete to achieve the stated goal. Each objective should be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-bound). [Example Goals and Objectives](#)

Objective 1 – Conduct site preparation with methods which may include herbicide treatment, mowing, and/or mechanical removal of non-native species, sod scalping, placement of mulch and compost, and other methods, at all three sites by December 2025.
Objective 2 – Install native trees and shrubs in the riparian buffer work areas at all three sites by March 2026.
Objective 3 – Conduct annual maintenance across the planting areas to include mowing, grass/weed control, browse control, mulching, and other methods, for three years, at all three sites.

#5: Scope of work and deliverables. Provide a detailed description of each project task/element. With each task/element, identify who will be responsible for each, what the deliverables will be, and the schedule for completion.

See attached Scopes of Work for each site.

#6: What are the assumptions and physical constraints that could impact whether you achieve your objectives? Assumptions and constraints are external conditions that are not under the direct control of the project, but directly impact the outcome of the project. These may include ecological and geomorphic factors, land use constraints, public acceptance of the project, delays, or other factors. How will you address these issues if they arise?

If only partial funding is awarded, the scope of each site can be adjusted accordingly.
Plant availability will dictate which species and stock types will be procured.
All three sites are owned by the Tribe and they are supportive and desiring the projects.
Unforeseen costs could require adjustments to the scope which might include additional cultural resource needs, requirements from permits, or other factors.

#7: How have lessons learned from completed projects or monitoring studies informed this project?

Lessons from other riparian projects completed recently, as well as those from the past, will be applied to this proposal including methods for site preparation and maintenance, timing of treatments, species composition, and the general strategies required. Observations and outcomes of the prior planting at the two stewardship sites are informing the approach to adaptive management. The Tribe's restoration manager and other partners will also be consulted.

Project Application Report - 24-1243

#8: Describe the alternatives considered and why the preferred was chosen.

A 'No Action' alternative does not seem prudent because all of the sites are located in key riparian areas associated with prior or ongoing restoration efforts at these two sites require additional effort to reach a trajectory for optimal riparian health. For the RM 6.5 site, a comprehensive riparian planting will greatly compliment the upcoming stream restoration and will improve riparian buffers on that site. For the two stewardship sites, improving the quality and density of existing plantings will build off of past efforts.

#9: How were stakeholders consulted in the development of this project? Identify the stakeholders, their concerns or feedback, and how those concerns were addressed.

This proposal was developed in conjunction with the Tribe's biologist responsible for past riparian efforts in the valley and their knowledge of each site informed a site-specific approach.

#10: Does your project address or accommodate the anticipated effects of climate change?

Yes

#10a: How will your project be climate resilient given future conditions?

With the current conditions, the stream within the project area is not resilient to climate change due to limited in-stream complexity, reduced shade, high temperatures, and high frequency of flood scour events. The project will seek to add climate resiliency by improving riparian quality and coverage.

#10b: How will your project increase habitat and species adaptability?

The project aims to increase habitat and species adaptability through the placement of riparian elements which will create and promote self-sustaining habitat functions and processes.

#11: Describe the sponsor's experience managing this type of project. Describe other projects where the sponsor has successfully used a similar approach.

The project manager has 20 years of experience developing and managing riparian, wetland, and stream restoration projects and has managed a number of large riparian projects in the South Sound basin. The Tribe's TFW Biologist also has specific expertise developing and managing riparian projects in the Skookum Valley and surrounding watersheds.

#12: Will veterans (including the veterans conservation corps) be involved in the project? If yes, please describe.

No

Project Application Report - 24-1243

Restoration Supplemental

#1: Is the primary activity of the project riparian planting?

Yes

#1a: Will your project occur solely on currently identified sites, or is this a geographic envelope or reach-scale project?

Identified
Sites

#1b: Will the width of your riparian planting meet or exceed the **site potential tree height** at 200-years for your site?

Unknown

#1ba: Explain why the buffer width requirements cannot be met and how the reduced width still provides restored riparian function specific to your site.

Both sites will utilize Site Potential Tree Height
buffers (Skookum Ranch and RM 6.5).

#1c: Is there a **303(d) listing** for temperature on the stream?

Yes

#1ca: Does the project meet an exception?

Structure or
property line

#2: Does the project include measures to stabilize an eroding stream bank?

No

#3: Does the project include side channel reconnection or floodplain re-grading worktypes?

No

#4: Does the project include an instream structure placement worktype?

No

#5: Is the primary activity of the project invasive species removal?

No

#6: Describe the steps you will take to minimize the introduction of invasive species during construction and restoration. Consider how you will use un-infested materials and clean equipment entering and leaving the project area.

All equipment entering the site will be required to go through a wash station and inspection for invasive species. Any imported materials will be required to originate from approved sources or will undergo inspection.

#7: Describe the long-term stewardship and maintenance obligations for the project.

This proposal will allow some level of ongoing stewardship and maintenance to occur for up to three years. Beyond that, no formal stewardship or maintenance plan exists at this time however the Tribe and SPSSEG plan to develop additional rounds of stewardship.

Restoration Metrics

Worksite: Skookum Valley Riparian Sites (#4)

Miles of Stream and/or Shoreline Treated or Protected (C.O.b)

0.20

Project Identified In a Plan or Watershed Assessment (C.O.c)

WRIA 14 Lead Entity, 2024, Four-year
workplan. WRIA 14 Lead Entity, Mason
County WA

Project Application Report - 24-1243

County, N.M.

Priority in Recovery Plan	Project site is in a High Priority stream and reach within the Freshwater Strategy.
Type Of Monitoring (C.0.d.1)	Implementation Monitoring
Monitoring Location (C.0.d.2)	No monitoring completed

RIPARIAN HABITAT PROJECT

Total Riparian Miles Streambank Treated (C.5.b.1)	0.20
Total Riparian Acres Treated (C.5.b.2)	15.1

Planting (C.5.c.1)

Total cost for Planting	\$77,000
Species Of Plants planted in riparian (C.5.c.2)	
Acres Planted in riparian (C.5.c.3)	10.4
Miles of streambank planted (C.5.c.4)	0.10
Average Riparian Width	200
Site Potential Tree Height at 200 years (SPTH-200)	215

SITE STEWARDSHIP PROJECT

Stream or streambank stewardship (C.11.b.1)

Total cost for Stream or streambank provided stewardship	\$35,000
Miles of Streambank provided stewardship (C.11.b.2)	0.10
Acres of Streambank Stewarded	4.70

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Cultural resources

Total cost for Cultural resources	\$15,000
Acres surveyed for cultural resources	9.00

PERMITS

Obtain permits

Total cost to Obtain permits	\$5,000
Number of permits required for implementation of project	2

ARCHITECTURAL & ENGINEERING

Architectural & Engineering (A&E)

Total cost for Architectural & Engineering (A&E)	\$18,000
--	----------

Project Application Report - 24-1243

Overall Project Metrics

COMPLETION DATE		
Projected date of completion		12/31/2027
RCO METRICS		
Identify the Cultural Resource Pathway appropriate for this project		GEO 0505 - Development or Restoration
Number of jobs created by this project		

Restoration Cost Estimates

Worksite #4: Skookum Valley Riparian Sites

Category	Work Type	Estimated Cost	Note
Cultural Resources	Cultural resources	\$15,000	
Permits	Obtain permits	\$5,000	
Riparian Habitat Project	Planting (C.5.c.1)	\$77,000	
Site Stewardship Project	Stream or streambank stewardship (C.11.b.1)	\$35,000	
	Subtotal:	\$132,000	
Admin, Architecture, and Engineering		\$18,000	
	Total Estimate For Worksite:	\$150,000	

Summary

Total Estimated Costs Without AA&E:	\$132,000
Total Estimated AA&E:	\$18,000
Total Estimated Restoration Costs:	\$150,000

Cost Summary

	Estimated Cost	Project %	Admin/AA&E %
Restoration Costs			
Restoration	\$132,000		
Admin, Architecture, and Engineering	\$18,000		13.64 %
SUBTOTAL	\$150,000	100.00 %	
Total Cost Estimate	\$150,000	100.00 %	

Funding Request and Match

FUNDING PROGRAM

Salmon State Riparian	\$150,000	100.000000
-----------------------	-----------	------------

SPONSOR MATCH

Questions

#1: Explain how you determined the cost estimates

Costs were derived from recent similar projects.

Project Application Report - 24-1243

Cultural Resources

Cultural Resource Areas

Worksite #4: Skookum Valley Riparian Sites

Area: Garden Site

- #1: Provide a description of the project actions at this worksite (acquisition, development and/or restoration activities that will occur as a part of this project)

Work at all three sites will include restoration activities (planting) requiring ground disturbing activities including scalping, cutting, and hole digging.

- #2: Describe all ground disturbing activities (length, width and depth of disturbance and equipment utilized) that will take place in the Area of Potential Effect (APE). Include the location of any construction staging or access roads associated with your project that will involve ground disturbance.

Ground disturbance will occur to depths of 18" at planting and maintenance locations within areas up to 200 feet wide and 600 feet long.

- #3: Describe any planned ground disturbing pre-construction/restoration work. This includes geo-technical investigation, fencing, demolition, decommissioning roads, etc.

None

- #4: Describe the existing project area conditions. The description should include existing conditions, current and historic land uses and previous excavation/fill (if depths and extent is known, please describe).

See attached Scope of Work and description for each site. Current land uses include conservation and restoration; past land uses are varied but include land conversion and agriculture.

- #5: Will a federal permit be required to complete the scope of work on the project areas located within this worksite?
Unknown

- #6: Are you utilizing Federal Funding to complete the scope of work? This includes funds that are being shown as match or not.
Unknown

- #7: Do you have knowledge of any previous cultural resource review within the project boundaries during the past 10 years?
Yes

- #7a: Summarize the previous cultural resource review; including lead agency and date of review, reference name and numbers, etc. If RCO, include the prior phase grant number. NOTE: Do not provide any site-specific information considered confidential. Attach previous surveys or other reference documents.

Squaxin Island Tribe's Archaeologist conducted the review and holds the results on file.

- #8: Is the worksite located within an existing park, wildlife refuge, natural area preserve, or other recreation or habitat site?
No

- #9: Are there any structures over 45 years of age within this worksite? This includes structures such as buildings, tidegates, dikes, residential structures, bridges, rail grades, park infrastructure, etc.
No

Area: Ranch Site

Project Application Report - 24-1243

- #1: Provide a description of the project actions at this worksite (acquisition, development and/or restoration activities that will occur as a part of this project)

Work at all three sites will include restoration activities (planting) requiring ground disturbing activities including scalping, cutting, and hole digging.

- #2: Describe all ground disturbing activities (length, width and depth of disturbance and equipment utilized) that will take place in the Area of Potential Effect (APE). Include the location of any construction staging or access roads associated with your project that will involve ground disturbance.

Ground disturbance will occur to depths of 18" at planting and maintenance locations within areas up to 200 feet wide and 600 feet long.

- #3: Describe any planned ground disturbing pre-construction/restoration work. This includes geo-technical investigation, fencing, demolition, decommissioning roads, etc.

None

- #4: Describe the existing project area conditions. The description should include existing conditions, current and historic land uses and previous excavation/fill (if depths and extent is known, please describe).

See attached Scope of Work and description for each site. Current land uses include conservation and restoration; past land uses are varied but include land conversion and agriculture.

- #5: Will a federal permit be required to complete the scope of work on the project areas located within this worksite?
Unknown

- #6: Are you utilizing Federal Funding to complete the scope of work? This includes funds that are being shown as match or not.
Unknown

- #7: Do you have knowledge of any previous cultural resource review within the project boundaries during the past 10 years?
Yes

- #7a: Summarize the previous cultural resource review; including lead agency and date of review, reference name and numbers, etc. If RCO, include the prior phase grant number. NOTE: Do not provide any site-specific information considered confidential. Attach previous surveys or other reference documents.

Squaxin Island Tribe's Archaeologist conducted the review and holds the results on file.

- #8: Is the worksite located within an existing park, wildlife refuge, natural area preserve, or other recreation or habitat site?
No

- #9: Are there any structures over 45 years of age within this worksite? This includes structures such as buildings, tidegates, dikes, residential structures, bridges, rail grades, park infrastructure, etc.
No

Area: RM 6.5

- #1: Provide a description of the project actions at this worksite (acquisition, development and/or restoration activities that will occur as a part of this project)

Work at all three sites will include restoration activities (planting) requiring ground disturbing activities including scalping, cutting, and hole digging.

- #2: Describe all ground disturbing activities (length, width and depth of disturbance and equipment utilized) that will take place in the Area of Potential Effect (APE). Include the location of any construction staging or access roads associated with your project that will involve ground disturbance.

Ground disturbance will occur to depths of 18" at planting and maintenance locations within areas up to 200 feet wide and 600 feet long.

Project Application Report - 24-1243

#3: Describe any planned ground disturbing pre-construction/restoration work. This includes geo-technical investigation, fencing, demolition, decommissioning roads, etc.

None

#4: Describe the existing project area conditions. The description should include existing conditions, current and historic land uses and previous excavation/fill (if depths and extent is known, please describe).

See attached Scope of Work and description for each site.
Current land uses include conservation and restoration; past land uses are varied but include land conversion and agriculture.

#5: Will a federal permit be required to complete the scope of work on the project areas located within this worksite?
Unknown

#6: Are you utilizing Federal Funding to complete the scope of work? This includes funds that are being shown as match or not.
Unknown

#7: Do you have knowledge of any previous cultural resource review within the project boundaries during the past 10 years?
Yes

#7a: Summarize the previous cultural resource review; including lead agency and date of review, reference name and numbers, etc. If RCO, include the prior phase grant number. NOTE: Do not provide any site-specific information considered confidential. Attach previous surveys or other reference documents.

Squaxin Island Tribe's Archaeologist conducted the review and holds the results on file.

#8: Is the worksite located within an existing park, wildlife refuge, natural area preserve, or other recreation or habitat site?
No

#9: Are there any structures over 45 years of age within this worksite? This includes structures such as buildings, tidegates, dikes, residential structures, bridges, rail grades, park infrastructure, etc.
No

Project Permits

Permits and Reviews	Issuing Organization	Applied Date	Received Date	Expiration Date	Permit #
Cultural Assessment [Section 106]	DAHP				

Permit Questions

#1: Are you planning on using the federal permit streamlining process? [Limit 8](#)
Yes

Project Application Report - 24-1243

Attachments

Required Attachments	8 out of 8 done
Applicant Resolution/Authorizations	✓
CCA Tribal Notification	✓
Cost Estimate	✓
Landowner acknowledgement form	✓
Map: Restoration Worksite	✓
Photo	✓
RCO Fiscal Data Collection Sheet	✓
Riparian Enhancement Plan	✓

PHOTOS (JPG, GIF)

Photos (JPG, GIF)



599147




















599149

PROJECT DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS

Project Documents and Photos

Project Application Report - 24-1243

File Type	Attach Date	Attachment Type	Title	Person	File Name, Number Associations	Shared
	11/04/2024	Project Review Comments	Proj Review Comments LE, 24-1243R(compl 11/04/24 14:21)	MarkJ	Project Review Comments Report - 24-1243 (compl 11-04-2024_14-21-03).pdf, 647456	✓
	11/04/2024	Project Review Comments	Proj Review Comments Initial, 24-1243R(compl 11/04/24 14:21)	MarkJ	Project Review Comments Report - 24-1243 (compl 11-04-2024_14-21-00).pdf, 647455	✓
	10/04/2024	Map: Area of Potential Effect (APE)	Project APE Report (10/04/24 11:08:35)	SarahJ	Project APE Report - 24-1243 (10-04-2024_11-08-35).pdf, 638482	✓
	10/04/2024	Cultural Resource Screening Report	Project Cultural Resource Screening Report (10/04/24 11:08:3)	SarahJ	Project Cultural Resource Screening Report - 24-1243 (10-04-2024_11-08-34).pdf, 638481	✓
	10/03/2024	Map: Area of Potential Effect (APE)	Project APE Report (10/03/24 16:04:05)	SarahJ	Project APE Report - 24-1243 (10-03-2024_16-04-05).pdf, 637911	✓
	10/03/2024	Cultural Resource Screening Report	Project Cultural Resource Screening Report (10/03/24 16:04:0)	SarahJ	Project Cultural Resource Screening Report - 24-1243 (10-03-2024_16-04-05).pdf, 637910	✓
	10/03/2024	Map: Area of Potential Effect (APE)	Project APE Report (10/03/24 15:57:26)	SarahJ	Project APE Report - 24-1243 (10-03-2024_15-57-26).pdf, 637511	✓
	10/03/2024	Cultural Resource Screening Report	Project Cultural Resource Screening Report (10/03/24 15:57:2)	SarahJ	Project Cultural Resource Screening Report - 24-1243 (10-03-2024_15-57-25).pdf, 637510	✓
	10/03/2024	Map: Area of Potential Effect (APE)	Project APE Report (10/03/24 15:44:24)	TroyW	Project APE Report - 24-1243 (10-03-2024_15-44-24).pdf, 637230	✓
	10/03/2024	Cultural Resource Screening Report	Project Cultural Resource Screening Report (10/03/24 15:44:2)	TroyW	Project Cultural Resource Screening Report - 24-1243 (10-03-2024_15-44-23).pdf, 637229	✓
	07/26/2024	Application Review Report	Grant Manager Comments, 24-1243R(compl 07/26/24 12:58)	JoshL	Grant Manager Comments Report - 24-1243 (compl 07-26-2024_12-58-45).pdf, 630405	✓
	07/26/2024	Cost Estimate	CostEstimate_Skookum Valley Riparian_July.26.2024.xlsx.XLSX	JoshL	CostEstimate_Skookum Valley Riparian_July.26.2024.xlsx.xlsx, 630403	✓
	07/26/2024	Riparian Enhancement Plan	Updated RM 6.5 Planting Plan and Summary Skookum Valley Ripa	JoshL	Updated RM 6.5 Planting Plan and Summary Skookum Valley Riparian Grant.docx, 630402	✓
					Property: River Mile 6.5	
	06/24/2024	Project Application Report	Project Application Report, 24-1243R (sub 06/24/24 12:16:15)	LanceW	Project Application Report - 24-1243 (submitted 06-24-2024_12-16-15).pdf, 623216	✓
	06/24/2024	CCA Tribal Notification	CCA form Skookum Riparian.docx	LanceW	CCA form Skookum Riparian.docx, 623214	✓
	03/26/2024	Application Review Report	Grant Manager Comments, 24-1243R(rtnd 03/26/24 16:25)	JoshL	Grant Manager Comments Report - 24-1243 (rtnd 03-26-2024_16-25-48).pdf, 603929	✓
	03/15/2024	Landowner acknowledgement form	Landowner Ack Form Skookum Riparian Squaxin - signed.pdf	BrianC	Landowner Ack Form Skookum Riparian Squaxin - signed.pdf, 602367	
	02/27/2024	Project Application Report	Project Application Report, 24-1243R (sub 02/27/24 14:47:35)	BrianC	Project Application Report - 24-1243 (submitted 02-27-2024_14-47-35).pdf, 599174	✓
	02/27/2024	Riparian Enhancement Plan	Skookum Ranch Planting Plan and Summary Skookum Valley Ripar	BrianC	Skookum Ranch Planting Plan and Summary Skookum Valley Riparian Grant_Feb20.2024.docx, 599152	✓
	02/27/2024	Photo	After planting west area Dec 2023 (2).JPG	BrianC	After planting west area Dec 2023 (2).jpg, 599149	✓
	02/27/2024	Photo	RM6 (2).JPG	BrianC	RM6 (2).jpg, 599147	✓
	02/27/2024	Map: Restoration Worksite	Skookum Valley Riparian Worksite Map_Feb27.2024.pdf	BrianC	Skookum Valley Riparian Worksite Map_Feb27.2024.pdf, 599146	✓
	02/26/2024	RCO Fiscal Data Collection Sheet	FiscalDataCollectionSheet.pdf	BrianC	FiscalDataCollectionSheet.pdf, 599004	
	02/26/2024	Applicant Resolution/Authorizations	ApplicantAuthorizationResolution.pdf	BrianC	ApplicantAuthorizationResolution.pdf, 599003	✓
	02/26/2024	Cost Estimate	CostEstimate_Skookum Valley Riparian_Feb. 20.2024.xlsx	BrianC	CostEstimate_Skookum Valley Riparian_Feb. 20.2024.xlsx, 599002	✓

Project Application Report - 24-1243

Application Status

Application Due Date: null

Status Name	Status Date	Submitted By	Submission Notes
Application Complete	07/26/2024	Josh Lambert	Casey, nice last-minute work on this application. The review panel has cleared the project, I have made corresponding updates to the application and have moved it to Application Complete status. This means you're all done, and we wait for the results of the SRFB meeting in September. Then, if funded, I begin putting projects under agreement. Thank you! Josh
Application Resubmitted	06/24/2024	Lance Winecka	Hi Josh, I was unclear if Jacob would submit this. I haven't heard from him since last week so I just decided to hit submit. Thanks- Lance
Application Returned	03/26/2024	Josh Lambert	Brian, your project is technically cleared by the review panel. However, I have comments that should be reviewed and addressed. Please return no later than the Noon deadline on June 24th. Thank you Josh
Application Submitted	02/27/2024	Brian Combs	
Preapplication	02/05/2024		

I certify that to the best of my knowledge, the information in this application is true and correct. Further, all application requirements due on the application due date have been fully completed to the best of my ability. I understand that if this application is found to be incomplete, it will be rejected by RCO. I understand that I may be required to submit additional documents before evaluation or approval of this project and I agree to provide them. (Lance Winecka, 06/24/2024)

Date of last change: 11/04/2024