

## WHITESTONE COULEE-OKANOGAN RIVER (170200061808), 06/25/24

Current Status: Accepted

## Inspection Details

Inspection Type: Compliance

Inspected By: CPopek

Inspection Method: Desk &amp; Field Review

Inspection Date &amp; Time: 06/25/24 09:00 AM

Data Sources: RCO project file, sponsor webpage, county assessor webpage, online aerial maps, in-person inspection

Inspection Reference #: 3936

Inspection Note:

Compliance Area: Whitestone Coulee-Okanogan River  
(170200061808)

## Worksites Inspected

## Department of Fish and Wildlife

Project # Proj Type	Project Name WorkSite Name	Status	Primary Pgm
10-1861 Acq	McLoughlin Falls 2010 #1 McLoughlin Falls - Last Best Place	Closed Completed	SALMON ST PROJ

## Sponsor Contacts

## Department of Fish and Wildlife

Person Name	Project Role	Phone Number	Email Address
Jerrod Ploof Fish & Wildlife Dept of	Project Contact	(509) 290-7323	<a href="mailto:Jerrod.Ploof@dfw.wa.gov">Jerrod.Ploof@dfw.wa.gov</a>
Travis Weller Fish & Wildlife Dept of	Alt Project Contact	(360) 763-2622	<a href="mailto:travis.weller@dfw.wa.gov">travis.weller@dfw.wa.gov</a>

## Questions

## All Projects

- #1: Function - Does the site continue to function as originally approved and as reflected in the project agreement(s)? If no or unsure, describe how or why.  
Yes.
- #2: Worktypes (or Elements) - Are the worktypes (or elements) funded by the grant in conformance with the project agreement? If no or unsure, describe the worktypes (or elements) that are missing, no longer functioning as intended, or obsolete.  
N/A.
- #3: Missing Worktypes (or Elements) - If there are missing or non-functioning worktypes (or elements), do they negatively affect the function of the project overall? If no, process a minor element change. If yes, describe the negative effects and process a major element change.  
N/A.
- #4: Project Area - Does the project area continue to function as originally intended without impairment from other public or private non-project related uses? If no, describe the non-project related uses in the project area.  
Unsure. RCO staff was unable to gain access to the site due to no public access road into the project area. From satellite imagery, it appears that the agricultural use listed in the stewardship plan has continued on the site. Please contact RCO's compliance specialist/inspector to discuss the continued agricultural use on the site and RCO approvals that are needed for this use.
- #5: Indoor Facilities - Is the project area free of ineligible indoor facilities? If no, describe the ineligible indoor facilities in the project area.  
Unsure. RCO staff was unable to gain access to the site due to no public access road into the project area, please confirm that there are no ineligible indoor structures on the acquired project boundary.
- #6: Ineligible Facilities - If there is an ineligible facility in the project area, has it been approved by RCO?  
No.
- #7: Funding Acknowledgement - Are the funding programs and partners acknowledged at the site? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.  
Unsure. Please ensure that the project area is appropriately signed. If you do not have a funding sign for the area, please contact RCO to receive one.
- #8: Funding Acknowledgement - If the acknowledgement sign is missing, has the sign requirement been waived by RCO? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.  
N/A.

## Acquisition Projects

**#1: Property Boundary** - Is the property (or the 6f boundary) intact without the appearance of any property rights conveyed for non-project related uses? If no, describe the potential property interests conveyed for non-project uses.

Yes. After RCO file review, the project area appears to be intact. Please see the above question about the agricultural use and refer to the "Project Boundary Map" attachment. If sponsor has any discrepancies, please contact RCO's compliance specialist/inspector Chris Popek at christopher.popek@rco.wa.gov.

**#2: Property Ownership** - Is the property still owned by the project sponsor?

Yes.

**#3: Property Ownership** - If the property is no longer owned by the project sponsor, is it owned by an entity eligible to receive a grant in the funding program(s)? For yes or no, identify who now owns the property.

N/A.

**#4: Interim Uses** - For acquisition projects that have not yet been developed or restored as originally intended, are the interim uses of the project in agreement with grant program policies? If no, describe the interim uses and whether they have been previously approved by RCO.

N/A. The property appears to remain as it was when acquired and is being utilized as stated in the stewardship plan for the site. Please contact RCO to discuss the approval process for continued agricultural use within the project area.

**#5: Future Development or Restoration** - For acquisition projects acquired for future development or restoration, has the site been developed or restored within 5 years? If yes, describe how the site has been developed or restored.

No. No new restoration or development work appears to have occurred on the site since acquisition of the property.

## All Acq projects and RCFB Dev and Rst projects

**#1: Public Use** - Is the project area available for public use? If no, describe how the area is not available for public use. This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

No. Keystone Rd, which accesses the project area from the north remains a private road. The only available public access to the site is from the river or hiking in from state owned lands to the east of the project site. Please contact RCO's Compliance Specialist/Inspector, Chris Popek, at christopher.popek@rco.wa.gov to discuss any change in public access to the site.

**#2: Public Use** - If there is no public use, has it been waived by RCO? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

Yes. RCO approved WDFW's request to acquire the properties in this project that had no public access in 2011.

**#3: Public Use** - If there is a public use restriction in the project area, will it last 180 days or less? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

## Acquisition projects with conservation easements

**#1: Conservation Easement Terms** - Are the terms of the farmland, habitat, or recreation conservation easement being met? If no, describe the potential easement issues? This question only applies to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

**#2: Conservation Easement Monitoring** - Has the project sponsor submitted an easement monitoring report at least once in the last five years? If yes, identify the date of the report and the PRISM attachment number. This question only applies to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

## Acquisition and Restoration Projects

**#1: Specific Species** - If the project was originally funded for a specific plant or animal and that species has been lost from the project area, is the loss something that was out of the project sponsor's control? If no, describe the impacts to the species that were within the project sponsor's control. This question applies to restoration projects and only those acquisition projects where the primary purpose is "habitat conservation" or "habitat restoration".

N/A.

**#2: Functioning Habitat** - If the project was not originally funded for a specific plant or animal, does the project area continue to provide properly functioning habitat conditions? If no, describe how the project area lacks functioning habitat. This question applies to restoration projects and only those acquisition projects where the primary purpose is "habitat conservation" or "habitat restoration".

Yes. The property appears to remain as it was when acquired and is being utilized as stated in the stewardship plan for the site.

**#3: Stewardship Plan** - If there is a stewardship plan for the project area, has the project sponsor followed the details outlined in the plan? If not, describe what has not been implemented. This question applies to SRFB projects and only those WWRP projects with the stewardship plan in the scope of work.

Yes.

Attachments

ALL PHOTOS AND FILES

Attachment Type	Title	File Name	User, Attach Date
Map	10-1861A Approx	<a href="#">10-1861A Approx Project</a>	ChristopherP, 07/24/2024
	Project Boundary.pdf	<a href="#">Boundary.pdf</a>	
Inspection Photos	6.25.2024 Compliance	<a href="#">6.25.2024 Compliance Inspection -</a>	ChristopherP, 07/24/2024
	Inspection - WDFW	<a href="#">WDFW McLoughlin Falls.pdf</a>	
	McLoughlin Falls.pdf		

Issues/Tasks From This Inspection

#	Issue/Task Title	Type	SubType	Status	Assigned	Relationships	Sponsor
896	<a href="#">WDFW McLoughlin Falls Agricultural Use</a>	Compliance Issue	Interim use ongoing past 3 yrs	Open	CPopek	<a href="#">McLoughlin Falls 2010 (10-1861 Acq)PROJECT #3936: Whitestone Coulee-Okanogan River (170200061808), 6/25/24, 10-1861 Acq, Compliance, Popek, Christopher, WDFWINSPECTION</a>	<a href="#">WDFW</a>

Other Open Issues/Tasks

#	Issue/Task Title	Type	SubType	Status	Assigned	Relationships	Sponsor
---	------------------	------	---------	--------	----------	---------------	---------