

### PROJECT: 18-1711 REST, TEANAWAY COMMUNITY FOREST FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION Sponsor: Mid-Columbia RFEG Program: Salmon Federal Projects Status: Active Project Start Date: 12/06/2018 Agreement End Date: 12/31/2022

Final Report Status: Accepted 02/13/2023

## Description

### PROJECT AGREEMENT DESCRIPTION

The Teanaway River lies northwest of Ellensburg and is the largest undammed tributary to the Yakima River. The Mid-Columbia Fisheries (MCF) proposes to restore Teanaway River complexity by placing up to 1,000 pieces of wood at strategic locations along 12 miles in the NF, MF and WF of the Teanaway River. In addition, the MCF will re-contour ( 5000 feet of artificial ditch networks in Indian Creek (left bank tributary to the NF Teanaway) to reduce artificial drainage, and will hand place bundles of wood slash throughout Indian Creek floodplain to improve the function of a previous large wood placement project (no new structures are being installed). The project site lies within the state-owned Teanaway Community Forest, which was purchased in 2013 as an element of the Yakima Basin Integrated Plan. By placing woody material and re-contouring artificial ditch networks, the project will benefit the spring Chinook, coho, steelhead that are extant to this watershed. Restored streams will retain spawning substrate and floodwaters will inunc broad, formerly-wet meadows. The project scope and budget is shaped by similar work implemented by Yakama Nation. Enhanced recreation opportunities include fishing, hun in recovered riparian areas, and outdoor observations.

### FINAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Mid-Columbia Fisheries (MCF) proposed to restore Teanaway River complexity by placing up to 1,000 pieces of wood at strategic locations along 12 miles in the NF, MF at WF of the Teanaway River, which is the largest undammed tributary to the Yakima River located northwest of Ellensburg. This work was planned to complement and expand upc the large wood trapping structures designed and installed under SRFB #17-1177.

Within the grant performance period, MCF completed wood replenishment in the NF Teanaway and re-grading along Indian Creek. With grant funds, MCF and the Yakama Nat (YN) placed 1000 pieces of unanchored large wood in 1.5 miles of the North Fork Teanaway (approximately RM 4.6 – 5.6 and 6.3 – 6.7) in 2019 using helicopter and ground-based equipment. In 2020, MCF and YN re-contoured over 5000 feet of artificial ditch networks in Indian Creek (left bank tributary to the NF Teanaway) to reduce artificial drainage, and rearranged logs throughout the Indian Creek floodplain to improve the function of a previous large wood placement project implemented by the YN (no new structures were installed).

MCF will continue working with a Technical Advisory Team and Natural Systems Design to assess alternatives and a phasing plan for restoration work in the Middle Fork and W Fork Teanaway under SRFB 20-1390. The permitting and design timeline did not allow this grant to support work in those forks.

The project site lies within the state-owned Teanaway Community Forest, which was purchased in 2013 as an element of the Yakima Basin Integrated Plan. By placing woody material and re-contouring artificial ditch networks, the project benefited the spring Chinook, coho, and steelhead that are extant to this watershed. The wood is already helping retain spawning substrate and aggrade the channel bed. Enhanced recreation opportunities include fishing, hunting in recovered riparian areas, and outdoor observations.

See the Final Report Narrative for more information, including complementary projects implemented with other funding.

## Narrative

SRFB grant #18-1711 supported a portion of a larger wood replenishment project in the North Fork Teanaway River work in 2019, floodplain regrading along Indian Creek in 20 and, in 2022, planning for future restoration work in the Middle and West Fork Teanaway Rivers in Kittitas, WA.

Multiple grants supported the North Fork Teanaway River large wood replenishment work, which can be categorized by River Mile (RM), or the distance upstream from the confluence of the North Fork (NF) Teanaway River and the mainstem, as follows:

2019 NF Teanaway Large Wood Placements, downstream to upstream

- RM 4.5 4.7: Three engineered, anchored wood trapping structures, RCO #17-1177, Worksite 1
- RM 4.6 5.2: Three unengineered, unanchored splitter type log jams and four deflector type log jams, additional loose wood, SRFB #18-1711 and matching funds
- RM 5.0 5.2: Three engineered, anchored wood trapping structures, RCO #17-1177, Worksite 1
- RM 5.2 5.6: Helicopter placed unanchored wood, SRFB #18-1711 and matching funds
- RM 6.3 6.7: Helicopter placed unanchored wood, SRFB #18-1711 and matching funds

In sum, MCF and the Yakama Nation (YN) placed 1000 pieces of unanchored large wood in 1.5 miles of the North Fork Teanaway in 2019 using helicopter and ground-based equipment. The work was completed concurrent with the construction of the six large wood trapping structures at RM 4.7 and RM 5.2, funded under RCO #17-1177 (Worksite 1 which were designed to trap the unengineered replenishment wood (that was placed with funding from this project) and build jams. The construction contract distinguished betw the large wood trapping structures and the unengineered wood placements, and the two work types were billed separately.

In 2020, MCF and YN re-contoured over 5000 feet of artificial ditch networks in Indian Creek (left bank tributary to the NF Teanaway) to reduce artificial drainage, and rearrançe logs throughout the Indian Creek floodplain to improve the function of a previous large wood placement project implemented by the YN. The Indian Creek re-grading was funded through SRFB #18-1711 and BPA support to YN.

Also in 2020, MCF built three more engineered large wood trapping structures at RM 6.1 - 6.2 (RCO #17-1177, Worksite 2). MCF and YN placed approximately 1000 pieces of unanchored wood using ground-based equipment further upstream, in a one-mile reach that had not received wood from the helicopter the year before (NF Teanaway RM 5.8 - 6.2, 7.8 - 8.3, and 8.6 - 8.7). This work was funded by Yakima Basin Integrated Plan (RCO #20-1527) and YN BPA and NOAA Fisheries grants.

In 2021, MCF and YN built one final wood trapping structure at NF Teanaway RM 5.9 (RCO #17-1177, Worksite 1). We placed additional wood and breached portions of a beri

the same location with RCO #17-1177, Worksite 2, RCO #20-1527 and YN BPA funds.

From 2021 – 2023, MCF and YN planned for restoration in the Middle and West Forks of the Teanaway River. We will continue this work under SRFB #20-1390.

#### HOW PROJECT WAS IDENTIFIED

At 134,000 acres, the Teanaway Watershed is the largest undammed tributary to the Yakima River. Much of the Teanaway's stream network was impacted in part by splash da logging in the early 1900's, followed by railroads constructed within geomorphic floodplains. GIS analysis suggests that over 60 miles of the railroad were constructed in active floodplains. Dynamite was readily available, and managing landscapes for human betterment included draining wetlands and moving streams to facilitate railroad alignments, farming, and ranching also occurred. Consequently, instream wood was removed as it was undesirable. Streams were managed for drainage.

In 2013, the State of Washington acquired 100,000 acres of the Teanaway watershed for management as the Teanaway Community Forest (TCF). The TCF management plan prioritizes watershed stewardship, further stating that other management must be consistent with same. Yakama Nation biologists proposed to begin large scale wood replenishn in the Teanaway River forks with SRFB grant 18-1711, which they expected could support 1.5 miles of work in the North Fork Teanaway River and set the stage for future work the other river forks. Mid-Columbia Fisheries assumed sponsorship of the grant in 2019.

#### LANDOWNER ENGAGEMENT

MCF and YN made multiple presentations to the TCF Advisory Committee before and after the implementation of this project. The Advisory Committee asked pertinent questions and ensured that the project sponsors considered public perceptions and downstream landowner concerns.

#### HOW PROJECT CONCEPT, FEASIBILITY, & DESIGN WERE DEVELOPED

Hydrologic, hydraulic, geomorphic, topographic, and biological research formed the basis of design and guided the approach of this project. Log Pearson type 3 hydrologic analysis was performed utilizing the Bureau of Reclamation stream gage below the forks (TNAW). Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife contracted near infrared and bathymetric LiDAR of the entire Teanaway Community Forest. Utilizing recurrence intervals generated from the hydrologic analysis and topography generated from LiDAR surf topography, YN staff were able to perform hydraulic analysis of existing and proposed river conditions. Hydraulic analysis coupled with geomorphic assessment guided final designs and supported engineering products. An interagency group of local fisheries experts developed the Aquatic Restoration Strategy to further prioritize restoration based c potential for ecological lift. A restoration strategy founded in biological and physical processes was subsequently produced.

#### DESIGN CONSULTANTS

Hoda Sondossi, a licensed geomorphologist, identified the priority wood replenishment reaches and designed the unanchored wood structures.

Waterfall Engineering, LLC, designed the wood trapping structures, which were supported through RCO #17-1177.

Natural Systems Design is working on the design of restoration work in the Middle and West Fork Teanaway Rivers, which is primarily supported through SRFB #20-1390.

#### CONSULTANT CONTRACTORS

The 2019 helicopter work was completed by Columbia Helicopters, and ground-based work was completed by ReClaim and Gibson and Sons. Wood replenishment and Indian Creek re-grading in 2020 was completed by Thayer Excavating.

#### CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISOR

Construction work was supervised by Ryan DeKnikker, formerly with YN, Hoda Sondossi, and Rebecca Wassell, with MCF.

#### SIGNIFICANT CHANGE ORDERS

This project was originally proposed by Yakama Nation Fisheries, and was intended to offer partial support to longer term, large scale wood replenishment in the 12 miles of the Teanaway Forks located on the TCF. The proposal stated "... in the 12-mile reach of the three Teanaway forks, funding limitations prevent restoration at all opportunities. Therefore, site selection within the reaches (now underway via a BPA-funded contract with a fluvial geomorphologist) will focus in areas expected to affect the greatest floodplai response." In the YN YKFP TCF Large Wood Project: Strategy for selecting sites, developing designs document, submitted with the SRFB proposal at the request of local reviewers, YN staff wrote: "Overall project footprint totals 12 stream miles in the west, middle and north forks. It is estimated that Salmon Recovery Funding will produce 1.5 mile restoration within the North Fork Teanaway River." The inclusion of both 12 miles and 1.5 miles in the proposal documents created some confusion when MCF assumed grant sponsorship, and the contracted grant metrics were for 1 mile of treatment in the North Fork Teanaway, 0.95 mile of treatment along Indian Creek, 0.7 mile in the West Fork an 0.3 mile in the Middle Fork.

SRFB 18-1711 funding supported the addition of 1,000 pieces of wood to 1.5 mile of the North Fork Teanaway River and re-grading along 0.95 mile of Indian Creek, as origina intended by the YN staff who built the project budget. The project was not able to support wood additions in the West Fork and Middle Fork Teanaway Rivers due to timing and funding constraints.

#### FISH PRESENCE

MCF staff have heard reports of large Oncorhyncus mykiss, possibly steelhead, utilizing the new pools formed from the wood additions in the North Fork Teanaway River. The accumulation of spawning gravels is evident, and beaver have begun to build on the new wood.

#### LESSONS LEARNED

The project is performing well: the river has rearranged the unanchored wood to form jams, and gravels are accumulating around the jams. Using a combination of helicopter a ground-based methods allowed us to treat a long reach of river with minimal soil disturbance. Many of the unengineered jams placed in 2019 rearranged that first winter, suggesting that the time spent on their construction was not warranted. Placing the wood in the river in locations where it can be easily mobilized would have accomplished the same objectives at a lower cost.

A key lesson learned was in the grantwriting and contracting elements of the project. The YN biologist who first proposed this project intended for the requested \$200,000 to support a small portion (1.5 mile of the NF Teanaway) of a long-term, large scale wood replenishment effort on the Teanaway Community Forest. The nuances of that intention were lost as grant sponsorship moved to MCF, and the grant deliverables included wood placement in the Middle and West Teanaway, which we were not able to achieve within timeline and budget of the grant. When sponsorship moves between partners in the future, we will be careful to ensure that the grant deliverables reflect the intent of the origina proposal and are feasible with the funds and time available.

### Worksites

### Worksite #1: Northfork Teanaway

Worksite Address (Optional) Street Address North Fork Teanaway Road City Cle Elum State, Zip WA 98922

### Worksite #2: Indian Creek Section 16

Worksite Address (Optional) Street Address North Fork Teanaway Road City Cle Elum State, Zip WA 98922

### Worksite #3: Westfork Teanaway

Worksite Address (Optional) Street Address Teanaway West Fork Road City Cle Elum State, Zip WA 98922

### Worksite #4: Middlefork Teanaway

Worksite Address (Optional) Street Address Teanaway Middle Fork Road City Cle Elum State, Zip WA 98922

## **Worksite Details**

### Worksite #1: Northfork Teanaway

Worksite Name Northfork Teanaway

### WORKSITE DESCRIPTION

Work was done along the floodplain of the North Fork Teanaway. Activities included staging and placing large wood and slash.

Geographic Coordinates		
From manned point:	Latitude	

From mapped point:	Latitude	47.312204 Lo	ngitude	-120.858034
For Directions:	Latitude	47.312291 Lo	ngitude	-120.854760

### SITE ACCESS DIRECTIONS

From Cle Elum travel east of SR 903 for approximately three miles. Stay straight onto SR 970, traveling easterly for approximately five miles. Turn left (north) onto Teana Road. Travel 11.4 miles. After finding a place to park, project area is west approximately 200 yards, and extends upstream and downstream approximately five miles.

Indian Creek Section 16 Worksite Name

### WORKSITE DESCRIPTION

Work was done along the floodplains of Indian Creek. Activities included rearranging small wood and recontouring artificial drainage features.

Geographic Coordinates			
From mapped point:	Latitude	47.307897 Longitude	-120.846104
For Directions:	Latitude	47.301262 Longitude	-120.854545

### SITE ACCESS DIRECTIONS

From Cle Elum travel east of SR 903 for approximately three miles. Stay straight onto SR 970, traveling easterly for approximately five miles. Turn left (north) onto Teana Road. Travel 11 miles. After crossing the Indian Creek culvert, park in front of (but not blocking) the yellow access gated road on the right. Restoration area is east approximately 500 yards, and extends upstream along Indian Creek for 1+ mile.

### Worksite #3: Westfork Teanaway

Westfork Teanaway Worksite Name

### WORKSITE DESCRIPTION

Work included conceptual design on future restoration work.

### **Geographic Coordinates**

From mapped point:	Latitude	47.261347 Longitude	-120.927429
For Directions:	Latitude	47.263182 Longitude	-120.918403

### SITE ACCESS DIRECTIONS

From Cle Elum travel east of SR 903 for approximately three miles. Stay straight onto SR 970, traveling easterly for approximately five miles. Turn left (north) onto Teanak Road. Travel approximately 7.3 miles. Take a left (west) onto West Fork Teanaway Road. Travel 1.2 miles. After finding a place to park, project area continues from her upstream (west) on both sides of the West Fork Teanaway for most of the next six river miles, skipping two privately owned inholdings that include 1.7 stream miles.

### Worksite #4: Middlefork Teanaway

Worksite Name Middlefork Teanaway

### WORKSITE DESCRIPTION

Work included conceptual design on future restoration work.

### **Geographic Coordinates**

From mapped point:	Latitude	47.292880 Longitude	-120.960302
For Directions:	Latitude	Longitude	

### SITE ACCESS DIRECTIONS

From Cle Elum travel east of SR 903 for approximately three miles. Stay straight onto SR 970, traveling easterly for approximately five miles. Turn left (north) onto Teana Road. Travel approximately 7.3 miles. Take a left (west) onto West Fork Teanaway Road. Travel .7 miles. Turn right (north) onto Middle Fork Teanaway Road. Travel 4. miles. After finding a place to park, project area continues from here upstream along the Middle Fork for .3 miles, and downstream for approximately 1.5 miles.

## **Properties**

Worksite #	Worksite Name	Property Name	Sponsor Verified	RCO Verified	RCO Verified Map
1	Northfork Teanaway	Teanaway Community Forest NF		$\checkmark$	N/A
2	Indian Creek Section 16	Washington DNR		$\checkmark$	N/A
3	Westfork Teanaway	Teanaway Community Forest WF		✓ Clarification	N/A
4	Middlefork Teanaway	Teanaway Community Forest MF		✓ Clarification	N/A

## **Restoration Metrics**

	Current Agreement Final
Worksite: Northfork Teanaway (#1)	
Targeted salmonid ESU/DPS (A.23)	No Salmon ESU or No Salmon ESU or Steelhead DPS Steelhead DPS
	<ul> <li>Chinook Salmon-Middle Columbia River spring-run ESU</li> <li>Chinook Salmon-Middle Columbia River spring-ru ESU</li> </ul>
	Chinook Salmon-Upper Columbia RiverChinook Salmon-Upper Columbia Riversummer/fall-run ESUsummer/fall-run ESU
	Chinook Salmon- unidentified ESU unidentified ESU
	<ul> <li>Steelhead-Middle</li> <li>Columbia River DPS</li> <li>Steelhead-Middle</li> <li>Columbia River DPS</li> </ul>
	Steelhead/Trout- unidentified DPS unidentified DPS

Targeted species (non-ESU species)	✓ Cutthroat Forage Fish Kokanee Lamprey	None Unknown Brook Trout Brown Trout Bull Trout Cutthroat Forage Fish Kokanee Lamprey Rainbow Searun Cutthroat
Miles of Stream and/or Shoreline Treated or Protected (C.0.b)	1.00 Note: Estimated for total project is based upon recent project costs - 1000 pieces of wood treats two stream miles. 25% of the large wood contain rootwads, purchased and installed at a cost of \$250/piece. Other large wood purchased and installed at a cost of \$100/piece. Slash included at no additional cost. Ditch network recontouring estimate \$10/foot x 5000 feet.	1.50
Project Identified In a Plan or Watershed Assessment (C.0.c)	Conley, A., J. Freudenthal, D. Lind, P. Mees, and R. Visser. 2009. Yakima Steelhead Recovery Plan, Extracted from the 2005 Yakima Subbasin Salmon Recovery Plan with Updates. Yakima Basin Fish & Wildlife Recovery Board, Yakima WA. Creech, J. 2003a. Teanaway Temperature Total Maximum Daily Load: Detailed Implementation Plan. Pub. No. 03-10-025. Washington State Department of Ecology. Olympia, WA. WA-DNR, WDFW, April 2015., Teanaway Community Forest Management Plan, WA-DNR, Olympia, WA.	Not Collected at Closure

Type Of Monitoring (C.0.d.1)       Implementation Monitoring involved       Implementation Monitoring involved         Monitoring Location (C.0.d.2)       No monitoring completed       No monitoring completed         Downstream       Onsite       Downstream         Upslope       Upslope       Upstream         Upstream       Upstream       Upstream         Total Miles Of Instream Habitat Treated (C.4.b)       1.00       1.50	Priority in Recovery Plan	See Attached notes. <b>Note:</b> 1. This project addresses specific actions in the steelhead Recovery Plan, including: Upper Yakima Action #4. Improve instream flows in the Swauk and Teanaway watersheds. Upper Yakima Action #14. Restore instream and floodplain habitat complexity in Swauk and Taneum creeks and Teanaway and lower Cle Elum rivers. Upper Yakima Action #15. Restore tributary riparian areas. Upper Yakima Action #20. Restore tributary riparian areas. Upper Yakima Action #20. Restore tributary headwater meadows. 2. The water quality plan calls for riparian revegetation, re-activating historic side channels, adding large woody material, and promoting groundwater storage. 3. The Teanaway Management Plan's chapter on water supply and water protection lists "Increase the water storage capacity of forests, meadows, and floodplains" as an objective. The first strategy listed for achieving this is using "large woody debris or other approaches as appropriate in streams and floodplains to capture sediment to achieve a more natural stream channel and reconnect streams to their floodplains".	Not Collected at Closure
Downstream       Downstream         Onsite       Onsite         Upslope       Upslope         Upstream       Upstream	Type Of Monitoring (C.0.d.1)		
	Monitoring Location (C.0.d.2)	Downstream Onsite Upslope	Downstream Onsite Upslope
Total Miles Of Instream Habitat Treated (C.4.b)1.001.50	Instream Habitat Project		
	Total Miles Of Instream Habitat Treated (C.4.b)	1.00	1.50

Total Miles Of Instream Habitat Treated (C.4.b)	1.00	1.50
Channel structure placement (C.4.d.1)		
Total cost for Channel structure placement	\$97,134	Not Collected at Closure

Deflectors/Barbs Flood Fencing Gabions ✓ Individual Logs (Anchored) Deflectors/Barbs

Flood Fencing

Individual Logs

(Unanchored)

Other Engineered

(Logjam)

Structures

Or Anchored)

(Unanchored)

Rocks/Boulders

Stumps With Roots

None

flights.

Yes

Logs Fastened Together

Rocks/Boulders (Fastened

Not Collected at Closure

(Anchored)✓ Individual Logs

Gabions

 Individual Logs (Unanchored)

 Logs Fastened Together (Logjam)
 None
 Other Engineered Structures
 Rocks/Boulders (Fastened Or Anchored)
 Rocks/Boulders (Unanchored)
 Stumps With Roots Attached (Rootwads)

Collected at Closure

	Attached (Rootwads)	Attached (Rootwads)
	Weirs	Weirs
Miles of Stream Treated for channel structure placement (C.4.d.3)	1.00	1.50
Pools Created through channel structure placement (C.4.d.5)	20	20
Number of structures placed in channel (C.4.d.7)	20	20 Note: Estimated number of jar formed after wood has rearran in stream. Will continue to mor via satellite imagery and drone

### Architectural & Engineering

Total cost	for Architectur	al & Engine	ering (A&E)
10101 00001	ior / a ornicootar		

Did A&E costs exceed billed amount (Yes/No)

### Worksite: Indian Creek Section 16 (#2)

Targeted salmonid ESU/DPS (A.23)		No Salmon ESU or Steelhead DPS Chinook Salmon-Middle Columbia River spring-run ESU Chinook Salmon-Upper Columbia River summer/fall-run ESU Chinook Salmon- unidentified ESU		No Salmon ESU or Steelhead DPS Chinook Salmon-Middle Columbia River spring-run ESU Chinook Salmon-Upper Columbia River summer/fall-run ESU Chinook Salmon- unidentified ESU
	V	Steelhead-Middle Columbia River DPS Steelhead/Trout- unidentified DPS	V	Steelhead-Middle Columbia River DPS Steelhead/Trout- unidentified DPS
Targeted species (non-ESU species)	V	None Unknown Brook Trout Brown Trout Bull Trout Cutthroat Forage Fish Kokanee Lamprey Rainbow Searun Cutthroat	√	None Unknown Brook Trout Brown Trout Bull Trout Cutthroat Forage Fish Kokanee Lamprey Rainbow Searun Cutthroat

Miles of Stream and/or Shoreline Treated or Protected (C.0.b)	0.95	0.95
Project Identified In a Plan or Watershed Assessment (C.0.c)	Conley, A., J. Freudenthal, D. Lind, P. Mees, and R. Visser. 2009. Yakima Steelhead Recovery Plan, Extracted from the 2005 Yakima Subbasin Salmon Recovery Plan with Updates. Yakima Basin Fish & Wildlife Recovery Board, Yakima WA. 2. Teanaway Water Quality Plan 3. Teanaway Management Plan	Not Collected at Closure
Priority in Recovery Plan	See attached notes Note: 1. This project addresses specific actions in the steelhead Recovery Plan, including: Upper Yakima Action #4. Improve instream flows in the Swauk and Teanaway watersheds. Upper Yakima Action #14. Restore instream and floodplain habitat complexity in Swauk and Taneum creeks and Teanaway and lower Cle Elum rivers. Upper Yakima Action #15. Restore tributary riparian areas. Upper Yakima Action #20. Restore tributary headwater meadows. 2. The water quality plan calls for riparian revegetation, re-activating historic side channels, adding large woody material, and promoting groundwater storage. 3. The Teanaway Management Plan's chapter on water supply and water protection lists "Increase the water storage capacity of forests, meadows, and floodplains" as an objective. The first strategy listed for achieving this is using "large woody debris or other approaches as appropriate in streams and floodplains to capture sediment to achieve a more natural stream channel and reconnect streams to their floodplains".	Not Collected at Closure
Type Of Monitoring (C.0.d.1)	<ul> <li>✓ Implementation Monitoring</li> <li>✓ None</li> </ul>	Implementation Monitoring None
Monitoring Location (C.0.d.2)	<ul> <li>No monitoring completed</li> <li>Downstream</li> <li>Onsite</li> <li>Upslope</li> <li>Upstream</li> </ul>	No monitoring completed Downstream Onsite Upslope Upstream

Instream Habitat Project		
Total Miles Of Instream Habitat Treated (C.4.b)	0.95	0.95 <b>Note:</b> No in-stream work was completed; all work was focuse on reconnecting stream and floodplain.
Channel reconfiguration and connectivity (C.4.c.1)		
Total cost for Channel reconfiguration and connectivity	\$46,400	Not Collected at Closure

Final Report, Project 18-1	1711	
Type of change to channel configuration and connectivity (C.4.c.2)	Channel Bed Restored       Channel Bed Restored         Creation of Instream Pools       Creation of Instream Pools         Creation/Connection to Off-Channel Habitat       Creation/Connection to Off-Channel Habitat         Levee removal/Alteration       Levee removal/Alteration         Meanders Added       Mone	ools o
Miles of Stream Treated for channel reconfiguration and connectivity (C.4.c.3)	0.95 0	0.95
Miles of Off-Channel Stream Created or Connected (C.4.c.4)	0	0
Acres Of Channel/Off-Channel Connected Or Added (C.4.c.5)		30.0
Instream Pools Created/Added (C.4.c.6)	0	0
Unspecified or other instream habitat project. (C.4.j.1)		
Total cost for unspecified or other instream habitat projects	\$3,600 Not Collected at Close Note: Hand-placing approximately 100 bundles of wood slash throughout the floodplain to supplement previous wood placement.	ure
Unspecified Or Other Instream Habitat Project (C.4.j.2)	0.95 0	0.95
Architectural & Engineering		
Architectural & Engineering (A&E)		
Total cost for Architectural & Engineering (A&E)	Not Collected at Close	sure
Did A&E costs exceed billed amount (Yes/No) Worksite: Westfork Teanaway (#3)	Collected at Closure Yes Note: YN provided a staff archaeologist to the project	
Targeted salmonid ESU/DPS (A.23)	<ul> <li>No Salmon ESU or Steelhead DPS</li> <li>Chinook Salmon-Middle Columbia River spring-run ESU</li> <li>Chinook Salmon-Upper Columbia River summer/fall-run ESU</li> <li>Chinook Salmon-Upper Columbia River summer/fall-run ESU</li> <li>Chinook Salmon-upper Columbia River summer/fall-run ESU</li> <li>Chinook Salmon-upper Columbia River summer/fall-run ESU</li> <li>Steelhead-Middle Columbia River DPS</li> <li>Steelhead/Trout- unidentified DPS</li> <li>No Salmon ESU or Steelhead DPS</li> <li>No Salmon ESU or Steelhead DPS</li> <li>Steelhead/Trout- unidentified DPS</li> </ul>	-run
Targeted species (non-ESU species)	NoneNoneUnknownUnknownBrook TroutBrook TroutBrown TroutBrown TroutBull TroutBull TroutCutthroatCutthroatForage FishForage FishKokaneeKokaneeLampreyLampreyRainbowSearun Cutthroat	
Miles of Stream and/or Shoreline Treated or Protected (C.0.b)	0.70	0

Project Identified In a Plan or Watershed Assessment (C.0.c)	Conley, A., J. Freudenthal, D. Lind, P. Mees, and R. Visser. 2009. Yakima Steelhead Recovery Plan, Extracted from the 2005 Yakima Subbasin Salmon Recovery Plan with Updates. Yakima Basin Fish & Wildlife Recovery Board, Yakima WA. 2. Teanaway Water Quality Plan 3. Teanaway Management Plan	Not Collected at Closure
Priority in Recovery Plan	See attached notes <b>Note:</b> 1. This project addresses specific actions in the steelhead Recovery Plan, including: Upper Yakima Action #4. Improve instream flows in the Swauk and Teanaway watersheds. Upper Yakima Action #14. Restore instream and floodplain habitat complexity in Swauk and Taneum creeks and Teanaway and lower Cle Elum rivers. Upper Yakima Action #15. Restore tributary riparian areas. Upper Yakima Action #20. Restore tributary headwater meadows. 2. The water quality plan calls for riparian revegetation, re-activating historic side channels, adding large woody material, and promoting groundwater storage. 3. The Teanaway Management Plan's chapter on water supply and water protection lists "Increase the water storage capacity of forests, meadows, and floodplains" as an objective. The first strategy listed for achieving this is using "large woody debris or other approaches as appropriate in streams and floodplains to capture sediment to achieve a more natural stream channel and reconnect streams to their floodplains".	Not Collected at Closure
Type Of Monitoring (C.0.d.1)	<ul> <li>Implementation Monitoring</li> <li>None</li> </ul>	Implementation Monitoring None
Monitoring Location (C.0.d.2)	<ul> <li>No monitoring completed</li> <li>Downstream</li> <li>Onsite</li> <li>Upslope</li> <li>Upstream</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No monitoring completed</li> <li>Downstream</li> <li>Onsite</li> <li>Upslope</li> <li>Upstream</li> </ul>

Instream Habitat Project		
Total Miles Of Instream Habitat Treated (C.4.b)	0.70	0
Channel structure placement (C.4.d.1)		
Total cost for Channel structure placement	\$68,633	Not Collected at Closure

	Deflectors/Barbs		Deflectors/Barbs
	Flood Fencing		Flood Fencing
	Gabions		Gabions
√	Individual Logs (Anchored)		Individual Logs (Anchored)
~	Individual Logs (Unanchored)		Individual Logs (Unanchored)
√	Logs Fastened Together (Logjam)		Logs Fastened Together (Logjam)
	None	$\checkmark$	None
	Other Engineered Structures		Other Engineered Structures
	Rocks/Boulders (Fastened Or Anchored)		Rocks/Boulders (Fastened Or Anchored)
	Rocks/Boulders (Unanchored)		Rocks/Boulders (Unanchored)
	Stumps With Roots Attached (Rootwads)		Stumps With Roots Attached (Rootwads)
	Weirs		Weirs
	0.70		0

Miles of Stream Treated for channel structure placement (C.4.d.3)	0.70	0
Pools Created through channel structure placement (C.4.d.5)	14	0
Number of structures placed in channel (C.4.d.7)	14	0

### Architectural & Engineering

### Architectural & Engineering (A&E)

Total cost for Architectural & Engineering (A&E)		Not Collected at Closure
Did A&E costs exceed billed amount (Yes/No)	Collected at Closure	No

# Worksite: Middlefork Teanaway (#4)

worksite: Middlefork Teanaway (#4)		
Targeted salmonid ESU/DPS (A.23)	<ul> <li>No Salmon ESU or Steelhead DPS</li> <li>Chinook Salmon-Middle Columbia River spring-run ESU</li> <li>Chinook Salmon-Upper Columbia River summer/fall-run ESU</li> <li>Chinook Salmon- unidentified ESU</li> <li>Steelhead-Middle Columbia River DPS</li> <li>Steelhead/Trout- unidentified DPS</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No Salmon ESU or Steelhead DPS</li> <li>Chinook Salmon-Middle Columbia River spring-rur ESU</li> <li>Chinook Salmon-Upper Columbia River summer/fall-run ESU</li> <li>Chinook Salmon- unidentified ESU</li> <li>Steelhead-Middle Columbia River DPS</li> <li>Steelhead/Trout- unidentified DPS</li> </ul>
Targeted species (non-ESU species)	None Unknown Brook Trout Brown Trout Bull Trout Cutthroat Forage Fish Kokanee Lamprey ✔ Rainbow Searun Cutthroat	None Unknown Brook Trout Brown Trout Bull Trout Cutthroat Forage Fish Kokanee Lamprey ✔ Rainbow Searun Cutthroat
Miles of Stream and/or Shoreline Treated or Protected (C.0.b)	0.30	

Project Identified In a Plan or Watershed Assessment (C.0.c)	Conley, A., J. Freudenthal, D. Lind, P. Mees, and R. Visser. 2009. Yakima Steelhead Recovery Plan, Extracted from the 2005 Yakima Subbasin Salmon Recovery Plan with Updates. Yakima Basin Fish & Wildlife Recovery Board, Yakima WA. 2. Teanaway Water Quality Plan 3. Teanaway Management Plan	Not Collected at Closure
Priority in Recovery Plan	See attached notes <b>Note:</b> 1. This project addresses specific actions in the steelhead Recovery Plan, including: Upper Yakima Action #4. Improve instream flows in the Swauk and Teanaway watersheds. Upper Yakima Action #14. Restore instream and floodplain habitat complexity in Swauk and Taneum creeks and Teanaway and lower Cle Elum rivers. Upper Yakima Action #15. Restore tributary riparian areas. Upper Yakima Action #20. Restore tributary headwater meadows. 2. The water quality plan calls for riparian revegetation, re-activating historic side channels, adding large woody material, and promoting groundwater storage. 3. The Teanaway Management Plan's chapter on water supply and water protection lists "Increase the water storage capacity of forests, meadows, and floodplains" as an objective. The first strategy listed for achieving this is using "large woody debris or other approaches as appropriate in streams and floodplains to capture sediment to achieve a more natural stream channel and reconnect streams to their floodplains".	Not Collected at Closure
Type Of Monitoring (C.0.d.1)	<ul> <li>Implementation Monitoring</li> <li>None</li> </ul>	Implementation Monitoring <ul> <li>None</li> </ul>
Monitoring Location (C.0.d.2)	<ul> <li>No monitoring completed</li> <li>Downstream</li> <li>Onsite</li> <li>Upslope</li> <li>Upstream</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No monitoring completed</li> <li>Downstream</li> <li>Onsite</li> <li>Upslope</li> <li>Upstream</li> </ul>

Instream Habitat Project		
Total Miles Of Instream Habitat Treated (C.4.b)	0.30	0
Channel structure placement (C.4.d.1)		
Total cost for Channel structure placement	\$30,633	Not Collected at Closure

Material Used For Channel Structure (C.4.d.2)		Deflectors/Barbs		Deflectors/Barbs
		Flood Fencing		Flood Fencing
		Gabions		Gabions
	$\checkmark$	Individual Logs (Anchored)		Individual Logs (Anchored)
	$\checkmark$	Individual Logs (Unanchored)		Individual Logs (Unanchored)
	$\checkmark$	Logs Fastened Together (Logjam)		Logs Fastened Together (Logjam)
		None	$\checkmark$	None
	Other Engineered Structures Rocks/Boulders (Fastened Or Anchored) Rocks/Boulders (Unanchored)		Other Engineered Structures	
				Rocks/Boulders (Fastened Or Anchored)
				Rocks/Boulders (Unanchored)
		Stumps With Roots Attached (Rootwads)		Stumps With Roots Attached (Rootwads)
		Weirs		Weirs
Miles of Stream Treated for channel structure placement (C.4.d.3)		0.30		0
Pools Created through channel structure placement (C.4.d.5)		6		0

6

0

### Architectural & Engineering

Number of structures placed in channel (C.4.d.7)

Architectural & Engineering (A&E)		
Total cost for Architectural & Engineering (A&E)	Not Collec	ted at Closure
Did A&E costs exceed billed amount (Yes/No)	Collected at Closure No	

# **Overall Metrics**

	Current Agreement	Final
Completion Date		
Projected date of completion	10/15/2022	12/31/2022
Project Goals		
Goals, purpose, and expected benefits (A.17)	The goal of this project is to enhance overall aquatic habitat productivity for spring Chinook, coho, steelhead in treated reaches, including improved groundwater recharge and storage, increased pool habitat, improved spawning gravel retention, improved stream flow complexity, and improved water quality.	The goal of this project is to enhance overall aquatic habita productivity for spring Chinool coho, steelhead in treated reaches, including improved groundwater recharge and storage, increased pool habita improved spawning gravel retention, improved stream flov complexity, and improved wate quality.

# **Restoration Costs**

			s include a pending b eleased Billing 09/26/2 <b>Final</b>
Worksite: Northfork Teanaway (#1)			
	SPLIT OUT FINAL TOTAL BELOW	\$97,134	\$191,152
Instream Habitat Costs (C.4.a)		\$97,134	\$172,205
Architectural & Engineering Costs			\$18,947
	Difference		\$0
Worksite: Indian Creek Section 16 (#2)			
	SPLIT OUT FINAL TOTAL BELOW	\$50,000	\$7,484
Instream Habitat Costs (C.4.a)		\$50,000	\$4,142
Architectural & Engineering Costs			\$3,342
	Difference		\$0
Worksite: Westfork Teanaway (#3)			
	SPLIT OUT FINAL TOTAL BELOW	\$0	\$12,152
Instream Habitat Costs (C.4.a)		\$68,633	\$C
Architectural & Engineering Costs			\$12,152
	Difference		\$C
Worksite: Middlefork Teanaway (#4)			
	SPLIT OUT FINAL TOTAL BELOW	\$0	\$15,340
Instream Habitat Costs (C.4.a)		\$30,633	\$C
Architectural & Engineering Costs			\$15,340
	Difference		\$0

# **Billed Summary**

				Final amo	unts include a pending billi
				Date of Last	Released Billing 09/26/20.
	Project Ag	greement		Totals To Date	
Category	RCO	Total	Expended	Non Reimbursable	Total Bille
Restoration					
Construction	153,846.63	189,539.00	129,947.32	46,400.00	176,347.3
AA&E	46,153.37	56,861.00	49,779.50		49,779.5
Restoration Total	200,000.00	246,400.00	179,726.82	46,400.00	226,126.8
Total	200,000.00	246,400.00	179,726.82	46,400.00	226,126.8

# Sponsor Match

	Proposed	Final
Project Funding		
Federal Funds	\$199,999.00	\$167,508.65
State Funds (A.11)	\$1.00	\$0.00
Pending Billing - RCO Share Approved	Collected at Closure	\$12,218.17

# **Match Details**

Match Category	Match Type		Proposed	b	Final	
Converted Match	Converted Matching Share					
Amount				\$6,400.00		\$0.00
Other Monetary Funding	Grant - Federal					
Amount			\$	40,000.00		\$0.00
Funding Organization						
Grant Program						
Other In-Kind Contributions	Donated Services				Unable to tie Bill Proposed match. corrections as need correc	Please ma ded, or lea
Amount			N/A		\$ Note: Total was act	\$31,100.00 tually, \$46,4
Funding Organization					Match: Yakama Nat	ion
	Final Project To	Project Funding Total Sponsor Match Total Project Total Total Billed Difference otal must equal Total Billed.	\$200,000.00 \$46,400.00 \$246,400.00	81.17 % 18.83 % 100.00 %	\$179,726.82 \$31,100.00 \$210,826.82 \$226,126.82 <b>(\$15,300.00)</b>	14.75 %

# Attachments

PHOTOS (JPG, GIF) Photos (JPG, GIF)

## PROJECT DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS

Project Documen	its and Photos

File Type	Attach Date	Attachment Type	Title	Person
لحر	02/12/2023	Design document (as built)	As_built_air_photos.pdf	RebeccaW

	File Name, Number
son	Associations

As\_built\_air\_photos.pdf, 551477 Final Report, 02/13/2023, Accepted Sh

N

# **Certify & Submit**

Status History			
Report Status	Date	User	Note
Accepted	02/13/2023	Elizabeth Butler	Hooray! Do you think it will be too early to get out for the final site visit on March 14th? We'll need to keep the project active until that's complete. If March is too early, let's plan ahead and get a date on the calendar. Look forward to closing this project this spring!
Submitted	02/13/2023	Rebecca Wassell	Hooray!
Draft	11/23/2022	Elizabeth Butler	



### PROJECT: 18-1711 REST, TEANAWAY COMMUNITY FOREST FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION <u>Sponsor: Mid-Columbia RFEG</u> Program: Salmon Federal Projects Status: Active Project Start Date: 12/06/2018 Agreement End Date: 12/31/2022

PROPERTY: Teanaway Community Forest NF (1: Northfork Teanaway)

**Control and Tenure** 

### **Property Basics**

Acquisition √Restoration

### **Property Location**

Property Name	Teanaway Community Forest NF	<b>Property Description</b>	The 50241 acre Teanaway Community Forest was acquired
Property Address (optional)			in 2013 through fee purchase by Washington State. The law that enabled the purchase established clear goals for the landscape, including protection of water supply, and
City			restoration of aquatic habitats
State	Zip	Associated Worksite	Northfork Teanaway (#1)

### Landowner

Landowner Name	WDNR	Instrument Type	Landowner Agreement
Address	Natural Resources Building Headquarters 47000	Timing	Existing
(optional)	Olympia	Term Type	Perpetuity
City		# Yrs	
State	WA <b>Zip</b> 98504		
Landowner Type	State	Expiration Date	
		Note	1

### **Parcel Numbers**

County Name	Deveel Number	Manned Nates (antional)
County Name	Parcel Number	Mapped Notes (optional)
No parcels		
Recording Numbers		
Instrument Type	Recording Number	Notes
No recordings		

### **RCO Notes**

✓ Property data verified by RCO Staff

## Attachments

PHOTOS (JPG, GIF) Photos (JPG, GIF)			
PROJECT DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS Project Documents and Photos			
File Attach	 _	File Name, Number	

Туре	Date	Attachment Type	Title	Person	Associations	Shared
No at	tachments r	natch filter criteria				



### PROJECT: 18-1711 REST, TEANAWAY COMMUNITY FOREST FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION <u>Sponsor: Mid-Columbia RFEG</u> Program: Salmon Federal Projects Status: Active Project Start Date: 12/06/2018 Agreement End Date: 12/31/2022

PROPERTY: Washington DNR (2: Indian Creek Section 16)

### **Property Basics**

### Acquisition √Restoration

### **Property Location**

Property Name	Washington DNR	Property Description	
Property Address (optional)			trust parcel since statehood. Yakama Nation implemented restoration on that parcel in 2015, through a collaborative partnership involving WDFW (co-managers with YN in fish
City			management in the Yakima)
State	Zip	Associated Worksite	Indian Creek Section 16 (#2)

### Landowner

Landowner Name	WDNR	Instrument Type	Landowner Agreement
Address	Natural Resources Building Headquarters 47000	Timing	Proposed
(optional)	Olympia	Term Type	Fixed # of years
City State	WA <b>Zip</b> 98504	# Yrs	10
Landowner Type	State	Expiration Date	10/15/2029
Landowner Type	State	Note	Five years of monitoring is anticipated to be sufficient to ensure weeds have been controlled to a reasonable degree.

**Control and Tenure** 

### **Parcel Numbers**

	County Name	Parcel Number	Mapped	Notes (optional)
Rec	No parcels cording Numbers			
	Instrument Type	Recording Number	Note	s

### **RCO Notes**

✓ Property data verified by RCO Staff

## Attachments

PHOTOS (JPG, GIF) Photos (JPG, GIF)

## PROJECT DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS

Project Documents and Photos

File	Attach	
Туре	Date	Attachment Type
No att	achments i	match filter criteria

Title

Person

File Name, Number Associations

Shared



### PROJECT: 18-1711 REST, TEANAWAY COMMUNITY FOREST FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION <u>Sponsor: Mid-Columbia RFEG</u> Program: Salmon Federal Projects Status: Active Project Start Date: 12/06/2018 Agreement End Date: 12/31/2022

PROPERTY: Teanaway Community Forest WF (3: Westfork Teanaway)

**Control and Tenure** 

### **Property Basics**

Acquisition √Restoration

### **Property Location**

Property Name	Teanaway Community Forest WF	<b>Property Description</b>	The 50241 acre Teanaway Community Forest was acquired
Property Address (optional)			in 2013 through fee purchase by Washington State. The law that enabled the purchase established clear goals for the landscape, including protection of water supply, and
City			restoration of aquatic habitats
State	Zip	Associated Worksite	Westfork Teanaway (#3)

### Landowner

Landowner Name	WDNR	Instrument Type	Landowner Agreement
Address	Natural Resources Building Headquarters 47000	Timing	Existing
(optional)	Ohrmain	Term Type	Perpetuity
City		# Yrs	
State	WA <b>Zip</b> 98504	Expiration Date	
Landowner Type	State	Note	

### **Parcel Numbers**

County Name	Parcel Number	Mapped Notes (optional)
No parcels		
Recording Numbers		
Instrument Type	Recording Number	Notes

### RCO Notes

No recordings

No work occurred in WF.

✓ Property data verified by RCO Staff

### Attachments

PHOTOS (JPG, GIF)
Photos (JPG, GIF)
PROJECT DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS
Project Documents and Photos
File Attach File Name, Number

 Type
 Date
 Attachment Type
 Title
 Person
 Associations
 Shared

 No attachments match filter criteria
 Image: Comparison of the standard standar



### PROJECT: 18-1711 REST, TEANAWAY COMMUNITY FOREST FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION Sponsor: Mid-Columbia RFEG Program: Salmon Federal Projects Status: Active Project Start Date: 12/06/2018 Agreement End Date: 12/31/2022

PROPERTY: Teanaway Community Forest MF (4: Middlefork Teanaway)

### **Property Basics**

Acquisition √Restoration

### **Property Location**

Property Name	Teanaway Community Forest MF	<b>Property Description</b>	The 50241 acre Teanaway Community Forest was acquired
Property Address (optional)			in 2013 through fee purchase by Washington State. The law that enabled the purchase established clear goals for the landscape, including protection of water supply, and
City			restoration of aquatic habitats
State	Zip	Associated Worksite	Middlefork Teanaway (#4)

### Landowner

Landowner Name	WDNR	Instrument Type	Landowner Agreement	
Address	Natural Resources Building Headquarters 47000	Timing	Existing	
(optional) City	Olympia	Term Type	Perpetuity	
State	WA <b>Zip</b> 98504	# Yrs		
Landowner Type	State	Expiration Date	Expiration Date	
	Sidie	Note		

### **Parcel Numbers**

	County Name No parcels	Parcel Number	Mapped	Notes (optional)
Re	cording Numbers			
	Instrument Type	Recording Number	Note	25

### **RCO Notes**

No recordings

No work occurred in MF.

✓ Property data verified by RCO Staff

### **Attachments**

PHOTOS (JPG, GIF) Photos (JPG, GIF) PROJECT DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS Project Documents and Photos File Attach File Name, Number Attachment Type Туре Date

No attachments match filter criteria

Title

Person

**Control and Tenure** 

Associations

Shared