

Inspection Report

DERBY CANYON, 05/20/21

Current Status: Accepted

Inspection Details

Inspection Type: Compliance Inspected By: MarcD

Inspection Method: Desk Review Inspection Date & Time: 05/20/21

Data Sources: Inspection Reference #: 2802

Compliance Area: DERBY CANYON Inspection Note:

Worksites Inspected

Chelan County

Project # Proj Type	Project Name WorkSite Name	Status	Primary Pgm	
08-1962 Rest	North Road Culvert #1 North Road Culvert	Closed Completed	SALMON FED PROJ	

Sponsor Contacts

Chelan County

Person Name	Project Role	Phone Number	Email Address
Michael Kaputa Chelan Co Natural Resource	Project Contact	(509) 670-6935	mike.kaputa@co.chelan.wa.us
Tracy Bowerman Upper Columbia Salmon Rcy Bd L	Lead Entity Contact	(509) 662-4710	Tracy.bowerman@ucsrb.org

Questions

All Projects

#1: Function - Does the site continue to function as originally approved and as reflected in the project agreement(s)? If no or unsure, describe how or why.

Yes. Bridge is functioning swell. There is lots of garbage and debris under bridge. Possible homeless person(s) staying underneath. A security guard for the PUD approached me to ask what I was doing. He explained that a landowner called him about me walking around the project site underneath the bridge. They have problems with squatters currently.

#2: Worktypes (or Elements) - Are the worktypes (or elements) funded by the grant in conformance with the project agreement? If no or unsure, describe the worktypes (or elements) that are missing, no longer functioning as intended, or obsolete.

Yes

#3: Missing Worktypes (or Elements) - If there are missing or non-functioning worktypes (or elements), do they negatively affect the function of the project overall? If no, process a minor element change. If yes, describe the negative effects and process a major element change.

N/A

#4: Project Area - Does the project area continue to function as originally intended without impairment from other public or private non-project related uses? If no, describe the non-project related uses in the project area.

Yes.

#5: Indoor Facilities - Is the project area free of ineligible indoor facilities? If no, describe the ineligible indoor facilities in the project area.

Yes

#6: Ineligible Facilities - If there is an ineligible facility in the project area, has it been approved by RCO?

N/A

#7: Funding Acknowledgement - Are the funding programs and partners acknowledged at the site? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

No. No acknowledgement.

#8: Funding Acknowledgement - If the acknowledgement sign is missing, has the sign requirement been waived by RCO? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

No. Not waived

Salmon Restoration projects

#1: Landowner Agreement - Are the terms of the landowner agreement being met? If not, explain. This question only applies to SRFB restoration projects located on property that is not owned by the project sponsor.

N/A. Sponsor owned.

Acquisition and Restoration Projects

#1: Specific Species - If the project was originally funded for a specific plant or animal and that species has been lost from the project area, is the loss something that was out of the project sponsor's control? If no, describe the impacts to the species that were within the project sponsor's control. This question applies to restoration projects and only those acquisition projects where the primary purpose is "habitat conservation" or "habitat restoration".

N/A.

#2: Functioning Habitat - If the project was not originally funded for a specific plant or animal, does the project area continue to provide properly functioning habitat conditions? If no, describe how the project area lacks functioning habitat. This question applies to restoration projects and only those acquisition projects where the primary purpose is "habitat conservation" or "habitat restoration".

N/A.

#3: Stewardship Plan - If there is a stewardship plan for the project area, has the project sponsor followed the details outlined in the plan? If not, describe what has not been implemented. This question applies to SRFB projects and only those WWRP projects with the stewardship plan in the scope of work.

 $No.\ No\ stewardship\ plan\ attached\ in\ PRISM.\ Pretty\ straightforward\ long-term\ management.\ :)$

Attachments

PHOTOS (JPG, GIF)













ALL PHOTOS AND FILES

Attachment Type	Title	File Name	User, Attach Date
Inspection Photos	05-20-21 Compliance Inspection Photos.pdf	05-20-21 Compliance Inspection Photos.pdf	MarcD, 06/16/2021
Inspection Photos	05-20-2021 Compliance Inspection	IMG_4033.jpg	MarcD, 06/16/2021
Inspection Photos	05-20-2021 Compliance Inspection 1	IMG_4035.jpg	MarcD, 06/16/2021
Inspection Photos	05-20-2021 Compliance Inspection 2	IMG_4041.jpg	MarcD, 06/16/2021
Inspection Photos	05-20-2021 Compliance Inspection 3	IMG_4043.jpg	MarcD, 06/16/2021
Inspection Photos	05-20-2021 Compliance Inspection 4	IMG_4046.jpg	MarcD, 06/16/2021
Inspection Photos	05-20-2021 Compliance Inspection 5	IMG_4047.jpg	MarcD, 06/16/2021

Issues/Tasks From This Inspection

#	Issue/Task Title	Туре	SubType	Status	Assigned	Relationships	Sponsor
---	------------------	------	---------	--------	----------	---------------	---------

Other Open Issues/Tasks

	#		Issue/Task Title	Туре	SubType	Status	Assigned	Relationships	Sponsor
--	---	--	------------------	------	---------	--------	----------	---------------	---------