

PROJECT: 21-1106 PLAN, BEAR CREEK AT FRIENDLY VILLAGE (PH III) PRELIM DES

Sponsor: Adopt A Stream Foundation Program: Salmon State Projects Status: Application Returned

GRANT MANAGER COMMENTS

LINKS TO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

[Restoration Projects - Manual 5](#)
[Salmon Recovery Grants - Manual 18](#)
[Appendix G: Partner Contribution Form](#)
[Appendix F: Landowner Acknowledgement Form](#)
[Appendix E: Barrier Information Forms](#)
[Salmon Application Checklist](#)

PROJECT DETAILS

Page	Grant Manager Comment
Parties	Added the KCHA to Q#1. Please edit as needed to feature other project partners.
Program & Project Type	Think this title should indicate a phase -- How about adding Ph III to title? (it fits)
Worksites & Properties	Changed worksite name and added Friendly Village as property, as they will need to complete a landowner agreement before construction. Are there utilities in this area? Or other stakeholders with real property rights? If so, add a property for each.
Project Location	Well done. Thanks. Please look over the notes for each related project. Wanted to indicate how the previous locations relate to Ph III. Correct as needed. Name the mitigation site, if you know it. Added a note to the related project notes: edit for accuracy. Q#2 cut this, as it is provided elsewhere: "This project will produce designs that will improve salmon habitat and increase fish production through the future restoration project by: installing large woody debris, re-vegetating the riparian buffer, increasing flood plain connectivity, and re-establishing stream processes. Stakeholders in the design process include: AASF, WRIA 8, permit agencies, and Friendly Village Park landowner."
Property Details	Q#3 Changed to yes, and added context provided from other areas of application. (Trying to reduce redundancy and stick to answering just the question asked.) Added reference to final phase, please correct as needed. Please add details for utilities and sewer lines. Who owns the infrastructure -- does Friendly village owns sewer lines? Please edit notes. And add details for other properties. If you need to do additional recon as part of this project, then you can indicate that. (Keep utilities as a property and add a note that you will be looking to locate underground infrastructure as an initial step in this planning project. (Might that require ground disturbing activities? If so, need to change responses in the cultural resources tab)

QUESTIONS

Page	Grant Manager Comment
Project Proposal	These look good! Nice work -- just a few changes. Tried to streamline and reduce redundancy. Please read through all answers and edit as needed to accurately reflect the proposal. Please see edited Proj Desc - this is what will be in your project agreement, should the project receive funding. Any adjustments needed to accurately describe proposed project? Q1 Edited to remove repetition. Can you add a brief watershed level information? Or pull from the reach? Please review for accuracy. Q4 Added some of what you had in Q1 to the objectives... please edit as needed for accuracy. (e.g., are you really trying to stabilize the channel? If not, please remove.) Q5: Shortened and moved some of your answer to Proj Location tab. Added steps to complete an alternatives assessment, and work with stakeholders to select the preferred alt. This is an important 1st step. Make sure you have sufficient funding for this step. Isn't there already a cultural resources report for this property? Moved that to be an earlier step -- usually want to survey the overall Friendly Village property, before you get to permit application designs. Adjusted schedule -- need to stick to 18 months to avoid need for match. Please make sure to plan sufficient time to get to permit ready design. Please adjust steps and schedule, as needed. Q7 Any specifics on how restoration practices have evolved (e.g., what do you do differently on riparian restoration?)

METRICS

Grant Manager Comments Report, Project 21-1106

Page

Planning Metrics

Grant Manager Comment

Added indirect, since you've been charging this recently, and it was included in the RCO fiscal data collection sheet. Please add this cost to your PRISM cost estimate. We want to include all project costs, and not have to amend it in later.

Combined conceptual with preliminary as it is a necessary first step, and added a note to differential between the costs for each stage. (NOAA wants us to select the highest level of design, only as a metric.)

Cultural Resources: Thanks for the scope and estimate attached in PRISM. I believe CK had a cultural resources assessment completed for the first phase -- have you looked at that to determine the area they surveyed? Usually we try to assess a larger area if there are multiple phases anticipated at a property. Want to confirm AASF chose to work with the consultant that completed the earlier assessment? That usually results in a cost savings (may want to add a note to this metric). Let me know if you can't find the assessment, I will look at the attachments in the previous phases of the project.

Overall Project Metrics

If you need more than 18 mos, indirect is eligible as match.

COSTS

Page

Planning Cost Estimates

Grant Manager Comment

Added indirect from your fiscal data sheet -- either increase your request to include indirect, or note that it will be used as match should more than 18 months be needed and reduce to \$1 so we don't need to include match in this phase. Let me know if you have questions.

Cost Summary

May want to add a note if the indirect is expected to be used as match and not reimbursed.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Page

Cultural Resources

Grant Manager Comment

Q1: Are you sure you won't need to complete geotech to get to your permit application designs? Locate utilities? If not, that's great. But you do plan to move the bridge, right? So no need for soils tests for foundation construction? Moving that bridge to be an overlook structure could be an eligible restoration cost if it improves salmon habitat.

Q5: Changed to Yes. Please add information. Completed cultural resources reports for previous phases? Should reference those and maybe attach to PRISM. Even if they are immediately up or down stream. Usually we try to do one report for the larger project area (Friendly Village property), to inform project design. Have you looked to see what was completed for Ph I?

Q6, This bridge will be preserved and moved, right? Might add that detail and try to learn more about the bridge -- maybe look at old aerial images to determine when it was constructed?

ATTACHMENTS

Page

Attachments

Grant Manager Comment

Attachments

Well done Walt! Thanks for already having the app authorization and the landowner acknowledgment!

Nice planning area map. (This one could be used as an example of best practices-- so clearly lays out the context of this phase of the project. well done.)

Photos: Do you have one more? Maybe provide an image of what was completed in Ph II with a note (yellow box icon to right of attachment on list) that you expect Ph III to be similar to this image of Ph II?

And, please add notes to photo attachments to indicate whether photos were taken before or after the downstream restoration was complete. Is the current road way bridge the pinch point? We are not proposing to change that, right?

Do you have an APE from one of the previous Cultural Resources Assessments? May want to add that.

Nice job getting a cost estimate on the CRA. Is this the same firm that completed the previous reports?

Thanks for the 10% indirect calc in the fiscal data sheet, I added that to your project cost.

Please update the cost estimate to include indirect and align with the PRISM costs, if needed.

SUBMIT APPLICATION

Page

Submit Application

Grant Manager Comment

Thank you Walt, Nice job on this -- just need you to review and make a few adjustments and then resubmit-- ideally within a day or two.

Do you have time to get this in by end of day Tuesday?