WASHINGTON STATE Recreation and Conservation Office

Inspection Report

KENNEDY CREEK, 08/03/20
Current Status: Accepted

Inspection Details

Inspection Type: Compliance
Inspected By: AshlyA
Inspection Method: Desk & Field Review
Inspection Date & Time: 08/03/20
Data Sources:
Inspection Reference #: 2467
Compliance Area: KENNEDY CREEK
Inspection Note:

Worksites Inspected

Capitol Land Trust

Project # / Proj Type	Project Name / WorkSite Name	Status	Primary Pgm
09-1550 / Acq	Totten Inlet Estuarine Habitat Acquisition / #1 Totten Inlet Pocket Estuary	Closed Completed	PSAR

Sponsor Contacts

Capitol Land Trust

Person Name	Project Role	Phone Number	Email Address
Laurence Reeves Capitol Land Trust	Project Contact	(360) 943-3012 Ext 3	Laurence@capitollandtrust.org
Quita Terrell Capitol Land Trust	Billing	(360) 943-3012 Ext 5	quita@capitollandtrust.org

Questions

All Projects

#1: Function - Does the site continue to function as originally approved and as reflected in the project agreement(s)? If no or unsure, describe how or why.

Yes.

#2: Worktypes (or Elements) - Are the workypes (or elements) funded by the grant in conformance with the project agreement? If no or unsure, describe the worktypes (or elements) that are missing, no longer functioning as intended, or obsolete.

Yes.

#3: Missing Worktypes (or Elements) - If there are missing or non-functioning worktypes (or elements), do they negatively affect the function of the project overall? If no, process a minor element change. If yes, describe the negative effects and process a major element change.

N/A.

#4: Project Area - Does the project area continue to function as originally intended without impairment from other public or private non-project related uses? If no, describe the non-project related uses in the project area.

Yes.

#5: Indoor Facilities - Is the project area free of ineligible indoor facilities? If no, describe the ineligible indoor facilities in the project area.

Yes.

#6: Ineligible Facilities - If there is an ineligible facility in the project area, has it been approved by RCO?

N/A.

#7: Funding Acknowledgement - Are the funding programs and partners acknowledged at the site? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

No. A funding acknowledgement sign was not found during time of inspection. A new sign will be sent to the sponsor to install.

#8: Funding Acknowledgement - If the acknowledgement sign is missing, has the sign requirement been waived by RCO? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

No. The funding acknowledgement sign requirement has not been waived by RCO, the sign is missing. A new sign will be sent to the sponsor to install.

Acquisition Projects

#1: Property Boundary - Is the property (or the 6f boundary) intact without the appearance of any property rights conveyed for non-project related uses? If no, describe the potential property interests conveyed for non-project uses.

Yes. After RCO file review and site visit, the property appears to be intact. Please refer to the “Boundary Map” attachment to confirm the grant funded project boundary is correct. If sponsor has any discrepancies please contact RCO;s compliance assistant Ashly Arambul at ashly.arambul@rco.wa.gov.

#2: Property Ownership - Is the property still owned by the project sponsor?

Yes.

#3: Property Ownership - If the property is no longer owned by the project sponsor, is it owned by an entity eligible to receive a grant in the funding program(s)? For yes or no, identify who now owns the property.

N/A.

#4: Interim Uses - For acquisition projects that have not yet been developed or restored as originally intended, are the interim uses of the project in agreement with grant program policies? If no, describe the interim uses and whether they have been previously approved by RCO.

N/A.

#5: Future Development or Restoration - For acquisition projects acquired for future development or restoration, has the site been developed or restored within 5 years? If yes, describe how the site has been developed or restored.

N/A.

All Acq projects and RCFB Dev and Rst projects

#1: Public Use - Is the project area available for public use? If no, describe how the area is not available for public use. This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

#2: Public Use - If there is no public use, has it been waived by RCO? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

#3: Public Use - If there is a public use restriction in the project area, will it last 180 days or less? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

Acquisition projects with conservation easements

#1: Conservation Easement Terms - Are the terms of the farmland, habitat, or recreation conservation easement being met? If no, describe the potential easement issues? This question only applies to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

#2: Conservation Easement Monitoring - Has the project sponsor submitted an easement monitoring report at least once in the last five years? If yes, identify the date of the report and the PRISM attachment number. This question only applies to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

Acquisition and Restoration Projects

#1: Specific Species - If the project was originally funded for a specific plant or animal and that species has been lost from the project area, is the loss something that was out of the project sponsor’s control? If no, describe the impacts to the species that were within the project sponsor's control. This question applies to restoration projects and only those acquisition projects where the primary purpose is "habitat conservation" or "habitat restoration".

N/A.

#2: Functioning Habitat - If the project was not originally funded for a specific plant or animal, does the project area continue to provide properly functioning habitat conditions? If no, describe how the project area lacks functioning habitat. This question applies to restoration projects and only those acquisition projects where the primary purpose is "habitat conservation" or "habitat restoration".

Yes. The project area appears to provide properly functioning habitat conditions as described in the project agreement.

#3: Stewardship Plan - If there is a stewardship plan for the project area, has the project sponsor followed the details outlined in the plan? If not, describe what has not been implemented. This question applies to SRFB projects and only those WWRP projects with the stewardship plan in the scope of work.

Yes.

Attachments

ALL PHOTOS AND FILES

Attachment Type	Title	File Name	User, Attach Date
Inspection Photos	Totten Inleet Estuarine Habitat Acq Inspection photos.pdf	Totten Inleet Estuarine Habitat Acq Inspection photos.pdf	AshlyA, 08/03/2020
Map: Parcel map	Boundary Map_Totten Inlet Estuarine Habitat. .pdf	Boundary Map_Totten Inlet Estuarine Habitat. .pdf	AshlyA, 08/03/2020

Issues/Tasks From This Inspection

#	Issue/Task Title	Type	SubType	Status	Assigned	Relationships	Sponsor

Other Open Issues/Tasks

#	Issue/Task Title	Type	SubType	Status	Assigned	Relationships	Sponsor