

PROJECT: 20-1134 ACQ, WRIA 6 NEARSHORE PROTECTION TOOL IMPLEMENTATION

Sponsor: Whidbey Camano Land Trust Program: Salmon State Projects Status: Application Resubmitted

Parties to the Agreement

PRIMARY SPONSOR	
City Org Type Vendor # UBI	Whidbey Camano Land Trust 765 Wonn Rd Barn C201 Greenbank State WA Zip 98253 Non-Gov-Nonprofit SWV0043887-00 601865226
Date Org created Org Notes	link to Organization profile Org data updated
QUES	STIONS - PRIMARY SPONSOR
#1	: What date was your organization created?
	3/26/1984
#2	2: Is your organization registered as a non-profit with the Washington Secretary of State? Yes
	#2a: Please confirm the Unified Business Identifier (UBI) shown above is correct or provide if blank. Yes
#3	3: How long has your organization been involved in salmon and habitat conservation?
	36 years
#4	E: Do your organizational documents (charter, bylaws, or articles of incorporation) include the authority for the protection or enhancement of natural resources or related activities? Yes
#5	b: Do your organizational documents (charter, bylaws, or articles of incorporation) provide for an equivalent successor organization in case the nonprofit dissolves? Yes
SECONDARY SPONSO	ords to display
LEAD ENTITY	
Island	County LE
QUESTIONS	
#1: List project parti	ners and their role and contribution to the project.
	Whidhey Camano I and Trust will be responsible for all aspects of

External Systems

SPONSOR ASSIGNED INFO

Sponsor-Assigned Project Number

the project.

Page 1 of 28 06/25/2020

Sponsor-Assigned Regions

EXTERNAL SYSTEM REFERENCE

Source	Project Number	Submitter
HWS	20-1134	DPucci

Project Contacts

Contact Name Primary Org	Project Role	Work Phone	Work Email
Amee Bahr Rec. and Conserv. Office	Project Manager	(360) 867-8585	Amee.Bahr@rco.wa.gov
Sabrina Subia Rec. and Conserv. Office	MAgy Fiscal Contact	(360) 725-3938	Sabrina.Subia@rco.wa.gov
Ryan Elting Whidbey Camano Land Trust	Project Contact	(360) 222-3272	ryan@wclt.org
<u>Jonathan Decker</u> Whidbey Camano Land Trust	Alt Project Contact	(360) 222-3704	jonathan@wclt.org
Mallory Bello Whidbey Camano Land Trust	Alt Project Contact	(360) 222-3310	mallory@wclt.org
Patricia Powell Whidbey Camano Land Trust	Agreement	(360) 222-3310 Ext 2	pat@wclt.org
<u>Dawn Pucci</u> Island County LE	Lead Entity Contact	(360) 678-7916	d.pucci@islandcountywa.gov
<u>Laura Rivas</u> Puget Sound Partnership	Regional Contact	(360) 819-3388	laura.rivas@psp.wa.gov
Rebecca Hollender Puget Sound Partnership	Regional Contact	(360) 280-1023	rebecca.hollender@psp.wa.gov
<u>Carrie Viers</u> Whidbey Camano Land Trust	Billing	(360) 222-3310	carrie@wclt.org

Worksites & Properties

Worksite Name

#1 Livingston Bay

Acquisition	Property Name
✓	Leque Property
✓	Livingston Bay Community Association

Page 2 of 28 06/25/2020

Worksite Map & Description

Worksite #1: Livingston Bay

WORKSITE ADDRESS

Street Address 619 WA-532

City, State, Zip Camano WA 98282



Worksite Details

Worksite #1: Livingston Bay

SITE ACCESS DIRECTIONS

UPDATE:

From I-5 North, take exit 212 for WA-532 West toward Camano Island/Stanwood. Turn left onto WA-532 West. After crossing the bridge, travel approximately 0.4 miles until you reach the subject property. The property is visible on the south side of the highway (WA-532).

TARGETED ESU SPECIES

Species by ESU	Egg Present	Juvenile Present	Adult Present	Population Trend
Chinook-Puget Sound, Threatened		✓	✓	Declining
Steelhead-Puget Sound, Threatened		✓	✓	Declining
Chum-Puget Sound/Strait of Georgia, Not Warranted		✓	✓	Unknown
Coho-Puget Sound/Strait of Georgia, Species of Concern		✓	✓	Unknown

Reference or source used

Island County Lead Entity Salmon Recovery Technical and Citizen Committee (SRTCC): WRIA 6. 2019. WRIA 6 (Whidbey and Camano Islands) Multi-Species Salmon Recovery Plan Update; WDFW, Salmon Scape, https://wdfw.wa.gov/mapping/salmonscape.

TARGETED NON-ESU SPECIES

Species by Non-ESU Notes

Cutthroat

Questions

#1: Give street address or road name and mile post for this worksite if available.

UPDATE:

619 WA Highway - 532 (approximate)

Project Location

RELATED PROJECTS

Page 3 of 28 06/25/2020

Projects in PRISM

PRISM Number	Project Name	Current Status	Relationship Type	Notes
17-1063 P	Nearshore Acquisition Strategy Development	Closed Completed	Earlier Phase	RCO # 20-1134 implements RCO # 17-1063
20-1461 C	Livingston Bay Protection and Restoration Planning	Preapplication	Current Phase	RCO# 20-1461 supports work within RCO # 20-1134 project worksite

Projects not in PRISM

NumberProject NameCurrent StatusRelationship TypeProject FunderLivingston Bay Restoration Feasibility arProposedCurrent PhaseNational Fish and Wildlife Federation's Coastal Resilience Fund

2018-0603 Local Coordination to Advance PSNERI In Progress Current Phase National Estuary Program

Related Project Notes

Questions

#1: Project location. Describe the geographic location, water bodies, and the location of the project in the watershed, i.e. nearshore, tributary, main-stem, off-channel, etc.

The project targets priority nearshore habitat in WRIA 6 as established by the WRIA 6 Nearshore Acquisition Prioritization Framework, a 2017 SRFB funded project that was completed in 2019.

UPDATE:

Specifically, the project targets priority habitat in Livingston Bay on Camano Island in Island County.

#2: How does this project fit within your regional recovery plan and/or local lead entity's strategy to restore or protect salmonid habitat? Cite section and page number.

The project will implement multiple tier 1 strategies identified in the WRIA 6 Multi-Species Salmon Recovery Plan 2019 Update, including protection of marine shoreline, coastal processes, and functional riparian vegetation (p. 21).

In addition, the project advances the recovery goal of the Puget Sound Salmon Recovery Plan – Watershed Profile of Whidbey and Camano Islands, "to achieve a net increase in salmon habitat through protection, enhancement, and restoration of naturally-functioning ecosystems that support self-sustaining salmon populations and the species that depend upon them (p. 208)." Shared Strategy Development Committee, 2007, Puget Sound Salmon Recovery Plan, Volume 1.

#3: Is this project part of a larger overall project?

Yes

#3a: How does this project fit into the sequencing of the larger project?

RCO #20-1134 implements RCO #17-1063

#4: Is the project on State Owned Aquatic Lands? Please contact the Washington State Department of Natural Resources to make a determination. Aquatic Districts and Managers

No

UPDATE

Whidbey Camano Land Trust will contact the Washington State Department of Natural Resources to make a determination.

Property Details

Page 4 of 28 06/25/2020

Property: Leque Property (Worksite #1: Livingston Bay)

✓ Acquisition Planned Acquisition Date 12/01/2022

LANDOWNI	ER	OWNERSHIP		
Name	John and Myron Leque	Instrument Type	Deed - Statutory Warranty	
Address	765 Wonn Road	Purchase Type	Fee ownership	
City	Greenbank	Term Length	Perpetuity	
State	WA Zip 98253	# Yrs		
Type	Private	Expiration Date		
		Note		_

Questions

#1: Provide a detailed description of the property. Describe the habitat types, size, and quality on site (forested riparian, floodplain, wetlands, tributary, main-stem, off-channel, bluff-backed beach, barrier beach, open coastal inlet, estuarine delta, pocket estuary, uplands, etc.), critical areas on site, and any other features that make the site unique.

The project will target WRIA 6 (Island County) properties containing high value nearshore habitat with significant benefits to salmonid populations, including ESA listed Puget Sound Chinook, as determined by the WRIA 6 Nearshore Acquisition Prioritization Framework, released in late 2019. Habitat types in WRIA 6 providing benefits to salmonids include intact riparian vegetation, wetlands, unarmored shoreline, feeder bluffs, and estuaries. UPDATE:

The project targets property in WRIA 6 (Island County) containing high value nearshore habitat with significant benefits to salmonid populations, including ESA listed Puget Sound Chinook, as determined by the WRIA 6 Nearshore Acquisition Prioritization Framework, released in late 2019. The subject property includes a barrier beach sustained by sediment input from unarmored, exceptional feeder bluffs.

#2: Describe adjacent land uses. Describe the property's proximity to publicly owned or protected properties in the vicinity. Attach a map or aerial photo in PRISM that illustrates this relationship.

Adjacent land uses associated with targeted priority parcels may include both public and privately owned properties in Island County. The Land Trust prioritizes the expansion of existing blocks of conservation lands to establish protected habitat corridors that facilitate the movement of terrestrial and marine wildlife.

UPDATE:

The property is adjacent to over 3,500 acres of tidelands owned and protected by the project sponsor. Other adjacent land uses include agricultural and open space.

#3: Is the property in need of restoration?

No

#4: What is the current use and zoning for the property?

Priority parcels targeted for acquisition may include all potential current uses and zoning designations for Island County. UPDATE:

The current use of the property is agriculture. The current zoning for the property is rural agriculture.

#5: What is the property's Shoreline Master Plan designation?

Priority parcels targeted for acquisition may include all potential shoreline environment designations associated with Island County. UPDATE:

The property's Shoreline Master Plan designation is Natural.

Page 5 of 28 06/25/2020

#6: What portion of the property is within the 100-year floodplain and/or designated floodway?

Priority parcels targeted for acquisition may be located within the 100-year floodplain and/or a designated floodway. UPDATE:

The subject property is located entirely within the 100-year floodplain.

#7: Why are federal, state, and local regulations insufficient to protect this property from degredation?

Island County's "reasonable use statute" permits variances to regulations to allow "reasonable use" of all parcels by landowners. Budgets also limit enforcement of existing regulations designed to protect critical habitat degradation.

- #8: Has the applicant requested and/or received a "waiver of retroactivity" from the RCO for the property in question? If yes, what was the sellers name, the approval date, and waiver number?
 No
- #9: Does the applicant hold an option or purchase and sale agreement for the property? If yes, what date will it expire?
 No

Property: Livingston Bay Community Association (Worksite #1: Livingston Bay)

√ Acquisition Planned Acquisition Date 12/01/2022

LANDOWNER

OWNERSHIP

Name Livingston Bay Community Association

Address 26910 92nd Ave NE Suite C5

City Stanwood

State WA Zip 98292

Type Private

Instrument Type Deed - Statutory Warranty
Purchase Type Fee ownership

Perpetuity

Purchase Type Term Length

Yrs

Expiration Date

Note

Questions

#1: Provide a detailed description of the property. Describe the habitat types, size, and quality on site (forested riparian, floodplain, wetlands, tributary, main-stem, off-channel, bluff-backed beach, barrier beach, open coastal inlet, estuarine delta, pocket estuary, uplands, etc.), critical areas on site, and any other features that make the site unique.

UPDATE

The project targets property in WRIA 6 (Island County) containing high value nearshore habitat with significant benefits to salmonid populations, including ESA listed Puget Sound Chinook, as determined by the WRIA 6 Nearshore Acquisition Prioritization Framework, released in late 2019. The subject property includes undeveloped tidelands.

#2: Describe adjacent land uses. Describe the property's proximity to publicly owned or protected properties in the vicinity. Attach a map or aerial photo in PRISM that illustrates this relationship.

UPDATE:

The subject property is adjacent to over 3,500 acres of tidelands owned and protected by the project sponsor. In addition, other adjacent land uses include agricultural, open space, and publicly owned property providing access to the shoreline.

#3: Is the property in need of restoration?

No

#4: What is the current use and zoning for the property?

The current use of the property is undeveloped tidelands. The current zoning for the property is water.

Page 6 of 28 06/25/2020

#5: What is the property's Shoreline Master Plan designation?

UPDATE

The property's Shoreline Master Plan designation is Aquatic.

#6: What portion of the property is within the 100-year floodplain and/or designated floodway?

UPDATE:

The subject property is located entirely within the 100-year floodplain.

#7: Why are federal, state, and local regulations insufficient to protect this property from degredation?

Island County's "reasonable use statute" permits variances to regulations to allow "reasonable use" of all parcels by landowners. Budgets also limit enforcement of existing regulations designed to protect critical habitat degradation.

#8: Has the applicant requested and/or received a "waiver of retroactivity" from the RCO for the property in question? If yes, what was the sellers name, the approval date, and waiver number?
No

#9: Does the applicant hold an option or purchase and sale agreement for the property? If yes, what date will it expire? No

Project Proposal

Project Description

The Whidbey Camano Land Trust is seeking funds to implement the recently completed Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 6 Nearshore Acquisition Prioritization Framework and conserve nearshore habitat that supports the recovery of Puget Sound salmonids, including Endangered Species Act listed Puget Sound Chinook salmon and Puget Sound steelhead. The Land Trust will use this funding to protect priority shoreline property in WRIA 6 as identified in the Nearshore Framework through fee simple and/or conservation easement acquisition. This project builds on the previously funded RCO #17-1063 Nearshore Acquisition Strategy Development project.

Project Questions

Page 7 of 28 06/25/2020

#1: Problem statement. What are the problems your project seeks to address? Include the source and scale of each problem. Describe the site, reach, and watershed conditions. Describe how those conditions impact salmon populations. Include current and historic factors important to understand the problems.

According to the Shared Strategy Development Committee (2007), "The Whidbey basin is the main estuarine area for the major Chinook-producing rivers in Puget Sound, and the migratory crossroads for most Puget Sound Populations." (p. 142). Unfortunately, external pressures including rapid population growth and accelerated residential development in the Puget Sound region have led to significant losses in nearshore and estuarine habitat, thereby impacting the viability of salmonid populations. As stated in the Puget Sound Nearshore Ecosystem Restoration Project (PSNERP), Strategies for Nearshore Protection and Restoration in Puget Sound, "thirty-eight percent of the length of historically mapped coastal inlets no longer are identifiable as natural shoreline due to shoreline modifications" (Cereghino et al. 2012, 4). In addition, the Tulalip Tribe documented that over thirty percent of all feeder bluffs and accretion shoreline within the Whidbey Basin contain armoring, which inhibits beach migration inland and causes significant loss of forage fish spawning habitat due to projected sea level (Kruger et al., 2010, NWIFC, 2016).

Despite these pressures and associated land use change, 173 miles of unarmored shoreline existed in Water Resource Inventory (WRIA) 6 as of 2017 (SRTCC, 2019). In Island County, feeder bluffs are the primary source of sediment that replenish and maintain beaches and are critical for the health and vitality of eelgrass beds and spawning habitat for forage fish. Additionally, as out-migrating juvenile salmon use the shoreline as a travel corridor, the eelgrass beds provide forage habitat and refuge from predators. Furthermore, regional studies have indicated that existing coastal inlets and pocket estuaries within WRIA 6 provide benefits to migrating populations of salmonids (Beamer et al., 2003). Pocket estuaries provide juvenile salmon with a low energy refuge and a physiological transition zone with lower salinity levels. Functioning estuaries contain riparian habitat providing large woody debris and vegetation that enhance shade and produce important food sources, especially for out migrating juvenile Chinook Salmon.

The WRIA 6 Nearshore Protection Tool implementation project builds on the previously funded RCO #17-1063 Nearshore Acquisition Strategy Development project that systematically evaluates nearshore parcels for benefits to salmonids. The Whidbey Camano Land Trust's acquisition project will permanently protect functioning nearshore and estuarine habitat from degradation to advance Puget Sound salmon recovery efforts.

#2: Describe the limiting factors, and/or ecological concerns, and limiting life stages (by fish species) that your project expects to address.

This project addresses degradation of habitat in Island County associated with pressures identified by the Island County Lead Entity Salmon Recovery Technical and Citizen Committee (SRTCC). These pressures include shoreline armoring, overwater structures, and riparian removal. Island County and the Whidbey basin experienced significant shoreline armoring impacting forage fish, which are a critical food source for juvenile Chinook Salmon (NWIFC, 2016; SRTCC, 2019). The WRIA Nearshore Protection Tool Implementation project will permanently protect parcels prioritized for acquisition to prevent future habitat degradation from conversion to residential development and the associated impacts from shoreline armoring to protect critical rearing and migratory habitat for the benefit of juvenile and adult populations of Endangered Species Act listed Puget Sound Chinook salmon and Puget Sound steelhead.

#3: (all)What are the project goals? The goal of the project should be to solve identified problems by addressing the root causes. Then clearly state the desired future condition. Inlcude which species and life stages will benefit from the outcome, and the time of year the benefits will be realized. Example Goals and Objectives

Whidbey Camano Land Trust's WRIA 6 Nearshore Protection Tool Implementation project goal is to permanently protect estuarine and marine nearshore habitat from degradation for the benefit of juvenile and adult populations of Endangered Species Act listed Puget Sound Chinook salmon and Puget Sound steelhead.

#4: (all)What are the project objectives? Objectives support and refine biological goals, breaking them down into smaller steps. Objectives are specific, quantifiable actions the project will complete to achieve the stated goal. Each objective should be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achieveable, Relevant, and Time-bound). Example Goals and Objectives

> The project objective is to implement fee simple and conservation easement acquisitions of priority nearshore and estuarine habitat in WRIA 6 by 2023. UPDATE:

The project objective is to implement fee simple acquisition of priority habitat in Livingston Bay on Camano Island by 2023.

Page 8 of 28 06/25/2020

#5: Scope of work and deliverables. Provide a detailed description of each project task/element and how they will lead to the objectives. With each task/element, identify who will be responsible for each, what the deliverables will be, and the schedule for completion.

The Whidbey Camano Land Trust's Conservation Team will be responsible for the following tasks and adhering to the corresponding timelines for each task:

January-March 2021

Review and execute grant agreement

Order and complete review of preliminary commitment for title insurance policies

Coordinate with landowners for appraisal(s)

April-June 2021

Identify strategy for resolution of any title exceptions required prior to anticipated closing date Coordinate and complete primary appraisal(s)

July-Sept 2021-

Coordinate and complete review appraisal(s)

Negotiate purchase agreement with landowner(s)

Coordinate property survey(s)

October-December 2021

Conduct environmental site assessment(s)

Resolve any remaining title issues and outstanding interests

January-March 2022

Continued resolution of title issues and outstanding interests

Prepare stewardship plan(s)/baseline report(s)

April-June 2022

Deliver to RCO following documents i) Voluntary Acquisition Notice to Owner, ii) Just Compensation and Relocation Notice, iii) Landowner Donation Statement (if applicable), iv) Hazardous Substances Certification, and v) RCO Property Assessment Checklist.

July-September 2022

Complete stewardship plan(s)/baseline report(s)

Provide RCO with draft closing and deed of right documents for review

October-December 2022

Obtain title insurance policies

Request escrow payment

Close on property acquisition(s) and record conveyance and deed of right documents

January-March 2023

Provide RCO with copies of recorded legal documents and final title insurance policies

Finalize stewardship plan(s)/baseline report(s) and submit to RCO

Complete final report in PRISM

#6: What are the assumptions and physical constraints that could impact whether you achieve your objectives?

Assumptions and constrains are external conditions that are not under the direct control of the project, but directly impact the outcome of the project. These may include ecological and geomorphic factors, land use constraints, public acceptance of the project, delays, or other factors. How will you address these issues if they arise?

The main assumption associated the WRIA 6 Nearshore Protection Tool Implementation project is that owners of identified priority lands in Island County will be willing to participate in the sale of real property at fair market value, as determined by qualified appraisers, to the Whidbey Camano Land Trust.

If issues arise related to landowner willingness, then the Whidbey Camano Land Trust will utilize the previously funded RCO #17-1063 Nearshore Acquisition Strategy Development project's prioritization tool to systematically identify alternate priority parcels for potential acquisition and work to implement the project goals.

Page 9 of 28 06/25/2020

#7: How have lessons learned from completed projects or monitoring studies informed this project?

The Whidbey Camano Land Trust has protected nearly 10,000 acres across Whidbey and Camano Islands since its inception in 1984. Staff uses the insight and experience gained from many successful projects to strategize, prioritize, evaluate, and implement conservation work, to benefit fish and wildlife habitat in priority areas. To further inform its strategic priorities for land protection, the Land Trust continues to refine its land protection priorities map and expand and improve its evaluation process. In partnership with project consultants, the SRTCC developed the WRIA 6 Nearshore Acquisition Prioritization Framework, completed in 2019, to systematically evaluate nearshore parcels for benefits to salmonids. The integration of this tool into the established strategic land protection efforts of the Whidbey Camano Land Trust will enhance the efficient use and effectiveness of salmon recovery funding.

#8: Describe the alternatives considered and why the preferred was chosen.

The WRIA 6 Nearshore Protection Tool Implementation project advance previous work conducted as part of the RCO funded #17-1063 Nearshore Acquisition Strategy Development project to integrate a GIS model into the prioritization of nearshore parcels for fee simple and conservation easement acquisitions. This project involves identifying willing landowners from among the parcels prioritized by the Nearshore Tool, and protecting those properties through acquisition of fee or conservation interests, so due to the nature of the project, acquisition was the only alternative considered.

#9: How were stakeholders consulted in the development of this project? Identify the stakeholders, their concerns or feedback, and how those concerns were addressed.

This project builds on the previously funded RCO #17-1063 Nearshore Acquisition Strategy Development project. The Island County Lead Entity Salmon Recovery Technical and Citizens Committee (SRTCC) worked with project consultants and community stakeholders to develop the nearshore acquisition prioritization tool for WRIA 6 (Island County). The project included focus group meetings to identify the relevant and appropriate data, and refine the scoring component of the prioritization GIS model. The goal of this project is to implement the planning work conducted by SRTCC to protect priority shoreline parcels providing benefits to salmonid populations.

As part of the recent project development, the Whidbey Camano Land Trust has conducted extensive outreach to the project area community and identified willing landowners of the target parcels for acquisition.

#10: Does your project address or accommodate the anticipated effects of climate change? Yes

#10a: How will your project be climate resilient given future conditions?

The project will preserve intact marine riparian vegetation and allow for the landward migration of tidal wetlands and other nearshore habitats to support a functional marine food web. Additionally, the protected nearshore zone will act as a buffer for upland habitat against the anticipated sea-level rise and impacts of local climate change influencing erosion and landslides, flooding and inundation, and degradation of marine water quality.

Page 10 of 28 06/25/2020

#10b: How will your project increase habitat and species adaptability?

The acquisition project will prevent land use change scenarios that would contribute to modifications of beaches and bluffs, including shoreline armoring projects that adversely effected natural sediment supply and transport processes critical to the nourishment of beaches within Puget Sound. The preservation of unarmored shorelines in WRIA 6 will enhance ecological and landscape connectivity within habitat prioritized for benefits to forage fish and juvenile Chinook salmon.

#11: Describe the sponsor's experience managing this type of project. Describe other projects where the sponsor has successfully used a similar approach.

Whidbey Camano Land Trust is an independent, national accredited, 501(c)3 nonprofit organization working to protect the islands' most important lands and waters for future generations. Since its inception in 1984, the Land Trust has permanently protected nearly 10,000 acres of land, and successfully developed, managed, and implemented numerous Washington Recreation and Conservation's Salmon Recovery Funding Board acquisition projects.

#12: Will veterans (including the veterans conservation corps) be involved in the project? If yes, please describe.

No

Page 11 of 28 06/25/2020

Acquisition Supplemental

#1: Is this a reach-scale or geographic envelope project?
Yes

#1a: Identify the target parcels.

The target parcels for fee simple and conservation easement acquisition will be identified through the nearshore prioritization tool for WRIA 6 created as part of the RCO #17-1063 Nearshore Acquisition Strategy Development project.

UPDATE:

The Land Trust refined the habitat and geographic specificity of the project to target estuarine and former estuarine habitat within the Livingston Bay area, a subunit of Geographic Priority Area 1 of the WRIA 6 Multi-Species Salmon Recovery Plan.

#1b: How will you prioritize acquisitions? How are the region, lead entity, or other technical stakeholders involved in prioritization?

The parcels will be prioritized through the WRIA 6 nearshore prioritization tool (#17- 1063) and Whidbey Camano Land Trust's project evaluation polices and protocols. The Island County Lead Entity Salmon Recovery Technical and Citizens Committee (SRTCC) worked with project consultants and community stakeholders to develop the nearshore prioritization tool for WRIA 6 (Island County).

Local review will ensure that acquisitions are consistent with the WRIA 6 Multi-species Recovery Plan.

#2: Describe the long-term stewardship and maintenance obligations for the acquisition project.

Where appropriate, the Whidbey Camano Land Trust will be the long-term owner of fee simple lands, and/or holder of conservation easements, with the responsibility to monitor and steward these properties in perpetuity in accordance with Land Trust policies, and the standards and practices of the Land Trust Alliance, by whom the Land Trust gained national accreditation in 2010 and re-accreditation in 2017. Stewardship and legal defense funds to maintain and enforce the conservation values of all target properties will be secured through separate grants and private fundraising. Alternatively, the Land Trust may assist acquisition of priority parcels by partner agencies as appropriate and allowable by the grants.

Acquisition Metrics

Property: Leque Property (Worksite #1: Livingston Bay)

REAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION

Page 12 of 28 06/25/2020

Miles of Streambank and/or Shoreline Protected by Land or Easement Acquisition	Note: Shoreline	0.32
	Note: Onorenne	
Land		
Total cost for Land		\$750,000
Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple)	Uplands	Acres 8.25
	Wetlands	25.00
	Total	33.25
	Note: Acreage amounts subject based on identification of target p	•
Acres zoned as agricultural land		33.25
	Note: Subject to change based of identification of specific target pa	
Existing structures on site	No structi	ures on site
Market value of property improvements		\$0

INCIDENTALS

Page 13 of 28 06/25/2020

Pı	roject Application Report - 20-1134	
Agency Indirect (Acq)		
Total cost for Agency Indirect (Acq)		\$7,500
Appraisal		
Total cost for appraisal		\$10,000
Appraisal Review		
Total cost for appraisal review		\$3,500
Baseline Documentation		
Total cost for baseline documentation		\$1,250
Boundary line adjustment		
Total cost for Boundary line adjustment		\$1,250
Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title		
Total cost for Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title		\$5,500
Cultural resources (Acq)		
Total cost for Cultural resources(Acq)		\$250
Restoration or development plans (yes/no)	No	
Environmental Audits		
Total cost for environmental audits		\$1,750
Fencing (Acq)		
Total cost for Fencing (Acq)		\$500
Number of linear feet of fencing to be built	Note: Amount of linear feet of fe built subject to change based on identification of target parcels.	50 ncing to be
Noxious weed control		
Total cost for Noxious weed control		\$1,750
Acres treated for noxious weeds by method	Biological	Acres 0
	Chemical	10.00
	Mechanical	10.00
	Total	20.00
Signs (Acq)		
Total cost for Signs(Acq)		\$1,000
Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding	partners	1
Stewardship plan	F	
Stewardship plan		

ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS (ACQ) Administrative costs (Acq)

Total cost for Wetland Delineations

Total cost for Stewardship plan

Total cost for Survey(Acq) **Wetland Delineations**

Survey (Acq)

\$22,000 Total cost for Administrative costs (Acq)

\$1,250

\$15,000

\$10,000

Property: Livingston Bay Community Association (Worksite #1: Livingston Bay)

REAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION

Page 14 of 28 06/25/2020

Male of Table of Springer Protection by June 10 statement Acquisition 10 statement 10 sta	1 Tojout Application Report 20 1104		
### 1985	Miles of Streambank and/or Shoreline Protected by Land or Easement Acquisition	Note: Shoreline	0.36
Moteonary Type (fee simple) Moteonary Type (fee simple) Acres Appears (fee simple)	Land		
Table Tab	Total cost for Land		
Existing stractures on site	Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple)		25.00
ا	Acres zoned as agricultural land		0
NEIDENTALS Agency Indirect (Acq)	Existing structures on site	No structi	ures on site
Agency indirect (Acq) \$7.000 Total cost for Agency indirect (Acq) \$7.000 Apprisal \$7.000 Total cost for appraisal review \$7.000 Total cost for paginal review \$7.000 Total cost for Passeline documentation \$7.000 Beasine Documentation \$7.000 Total cost for Passeline documentation \$7.000 Coting Agencing, Time adjustment \$7.000 Total cost for Collogia, Recording, Taxes, Title \$7.000 Coting, Agencing, Taxes, Title \$7.000 Total cost for Cultural resources (Acq) \$7.000 Restoration or development plans (yes/ho) No Environmental audits \$7.000 Environmental audits \$7.000 Fencing (Acq) \$7.000 Number of Innaire feet of fericing to be built \$7.000 Acta cost for Pencing (Acq) \$7.000 Nouse of Innaire feet of fericing to be built \$7.000 Acta cost for Novious weed control \$7.000 Acta cost for Novious weed set of mening to be built \$7.000 Total cost for Novious weed set ymethod \$7.000 <td>Market value of property improvements</td> <td></td> <td>\$0</td>	Market value of property improvements		\$0
Total cost for Agency Indirect (Acq)	INCIDENTALS		
Appraisal Total cost for appraisal Appraisal Serview Total cost for appraisal serview Total cost for appraisal review Total cost for appraisal review Total cost for special review Total cost for baseline documentation Total cost for baseline documentation Total cost for baseline adjustment Total cost for baseline adjustment Total cost for Boundary line adjustment Total cost for Boundary line adjustment Total cost for Gouldary line adjustment Total cost for Gouldary line adjustment Total cost for Gouldary line adjustment Total cost for Cultural resources (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Ac	Agency Indirect (Acq)		
Total cost for appraisal \$10,000 Appraisal Review \$3,000 Total cost for appraisal review \$1,250 Baseline Documentation \$1,250 Total cost for baseline documentation \$1,250 Boundary line adjustment \$1,250 Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title \$5,500 Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title \$5,500 Cultural resources (Acq) \$5,500 Restoration or development plans (yes/no) No Environmental Audits \$1,750 Forcing (Acq) \$1,750 Forcing (Acq) \$5,000 Number of Incare feet of fencing to be built \$5,000 Noxious weed control \$1,750 Total cost for Noxious weeds by method \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weeds by method \$1,750 Signs (Acq) \$1,750 Signs (Acq) \$1,750 Signs (Acq) \$1,750 Signs (Acq) \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weeds by method \$1,750 Signs (Acq) \$1,750 Signs (Acq) \$1,000	Total cost for Agency Indirect (Acq)		\$7,500
Appraisal Review \$3,500 Baseline Documentation \$1,500 Total cost for pasaline documentation \$1,250 Boundary line adjustment \$1,250 Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title \$1,250 Cultural resources (Acq) \$5,500 Cultural resources (Acq) \$250 Restoration or development plans (yes/no) No Environmental Audits \$1,750 Total cost for environmental audits \$1,750 Fencing (Acq) \$500 Number of linear feet of fencing to be built \$500 Number of linear feet of fencing to be built \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weed control \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weed py method \$0,700 Spins (Acq) \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weed by method \$0,700 Acres treated for permanent signs that identify site and funding partners \$1,800 Stewardship flam \$1,800 Stewardship plan \$1,800 Stewardship plan \$1,800 Stewardship plan \$1,800 Stewardship plan \$1,800	Appraisal		
Total cost for appraisal review \$3,500 Baseline Documentation \$1,250 Total cost for baseline documentation \$1,250 Boundary line adjustment \$1,250 Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title \$5,500 Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title \$5,500 Cultural resources (Acq) \$5,500 Extraction of weldopmental plans (yes/no) No Extraction of weldopmental plans (yes/no) No Environmental Audits \$1,750 Forcing (Acq) \$5,000 Post of precing (Acq) \$1,750 Forcing (Acq) \$5,000 Number of linear feet of fencing to be built \$1,750 Across treated for noxious weed control \$1,750 Across treated for noxious weeds by method \$6,700 Across treated for noxious weeds by method \$6,700 Across treated for noxious weeds by method \$6,700 Across treated for noxious weed supmethod \$6,700 Across treated for noxious weed supmethod \$6,700 Across treated for permanent signs that identify site and funding partners \$1,800 Stevardispip Jan	Total cost for appraisal		\$10,000
Baseline Documentation Total cost for baseline documentation Boundary line adjustment Total cost for Boundary line adjustment Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Total cost for Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Cultural resources (Acq) Total cost for Clutural resources (Acq) Restoration or development plans (yes/no) Robert Fencing (Acq) Total cost for environmental audits	Appraisal Review		
Total cost for baseline documentation Boundary line adjustment Total cost for Boundary line adjustment Stoping, Recording, Taxes, Title Total cost for Clusing, Recording, Taxes, Title Total cost for Cultural resources (Acq) Restoration or development plans (yes/no) Restoration or development plans (yes/no) Recording (Acq) Forcing (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Stoping (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Now sweed control Total cost for Recording to be built Total cost for Noxious weed control Stoping (Acq) Total cost for Noxious weed control Total cost for Noxious weed sy method Acces treated for noxious weeds by method Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs	Total cost for appraisal review		\$3,500
Boundary line adjustment Total cost for Boundary line adjustment \$1,250 Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Total cost for Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Total cost for Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Total cost for Cultural resources (Acq) Total cost for Cultural resources (Acq) Restoration or development plans (yes/no) Feature and a Marcha 1 Audits Total cost for environmental audits Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Number of linear feet of fencing to be built Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Now seed control Total cost for Noxious weed control Total cost for Noxious weed control Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Acres treated for noxious seeds by method Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Sign	Baseline Documentation		
Total cost for Boundary line adjustment Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Total cost for Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Total cost for Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Columnary Experimental Audits Christian State of Pencing (Acq) Fencing (Acq) Total cost for environmental Audits Fencing (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Aumber of linear feet of fencing to be built Total cost for Noxious weed control Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Total cost for Signs(Acq) Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs(Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Total	Total cost for baseline documentation		\$1,250
Total cost for Boundary line adjustment Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Total cost for Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Stationary State Sta	Boundary line adjustment		
Total cost for Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Cultural resources (Acq) Total cost for Cultural resources (Acq) Resoration or development plans (yes/no) Rover Funding Madits Total cost for environmental audits Fencing (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Subseptimental Fencing (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Subseptiment of University of Energian (Acq) Notices the Fencing (Acq) Total cost for Noxious weed control Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Acres treated for noxious weeds to treat the form of the form			\$1,250
Total cost for Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title Cultural resources (Acq) Total cost for Cultural resources (Acq) Resoration or development plans (yes/no) Rover Funding Madits Total cost for environmental audits Fencing (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Subseptimental Fencing (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Subseptiment of University of Energian (Acq) Notices the Fencing (Acq) Total cost for Noxious weed control Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Acres treated for noxious weeds to treat the form of the form	Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title		
Total cost for Cultural resources(Acq) \$250 Restoration or development plans (yes/no) No Environmental Audits \$1,750 Total cost for environmental audits \$1,750 Fencing (Acq) \$500 Number of linear feet of fencing to be built \$0 Noxious weed control \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weeds by method \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weeds by method \$1,00 Signs (Acq) \$1,00 Total cost for Signs(Acq) \$1,00 Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners \$1,00 Total cost for Stewardship plan \$1,20 Stewardship plan \$1,20 Total cost for Stewardship plan \$1,20 Survey (Acq) \$1,500 Wetland Delineations \$1,500			\$5,500
Total cost for Cultural resources(Acq) \$250 Restoration or development plans (yes/no) No Environmental Audits \$1,750 Total cost for environmental audits \$1,750 Fencing (Acq) \$500 Number of linear feet of fencing to be built \$0 Noxious weed control \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weeds by method \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weeds by method \$1,00 Signs (Acq) \$1,00 Total cost for Signs(Acq) \$1,00 Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners \$1,00 Total cost for Stewardship plan \$1,20 Stewardship plan \$1,20 Total cost for Stewardship plan \$1,20 Survey (Acq) \$1,500 Wetland Delineations \$1,500	Cultural resources (Acg)		
Environmental Audits Total cost for environmental audits Fencing (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Number of linear feet of fencing to be built Acres treated for noxious weed control Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Acres t			\$250
Environmental Audits Total cost for environmental audits Fencing (Acq) Fencing (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Number of linear feet of fencing to be built Total cost for Noxious weed control Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Acres treated for noxious weeds by met	Restoration or development plans (yes/no)	No	
Total cost for environmental audits \$1,750 Fencing (Acq) Total Cost for Fencing (Acq) \$500 Number of linear feet of fencing to be built \$500 Noxious weed control \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weeds by method \$1,000 Number of permanent signs flat identify site and funding partners \$1,000 Signs (Acq) \$1,000 Stewardship plan \$1,250 Survey (Acq) \$1,000 Survey (Acq) \$1,000 Steland Delineations			
Fencing (Acq) Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Number of linear feet of fencing to be built Total cost for Noxious weed control Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Acres fereated for noxious weeds by method Acres from Whechanical 7.00 Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners Stewardship plan Total cost for Stewardship plan Stewardship plan Stevey (Acq) Total cost for Survey (Acq) Stevey (Acq) Total cost for Survey (Acq) Stevel Action Survey (Acq) Wetland Delineations			\$1,750
Total cost for Fencing (Acq) Number of linear feet of fencing to be built Noxious weed control Total cost for Noxious weed control Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Nechanical 7.00 Total cost for Signs (Acq) Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs (Acq) Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners Total cost for Stewardship plan Stewardship plan Total cost for Stewardship plan Survey (Acq) Total cost for Survey (Acq) Survey (Acq) Total cost for Survey (Acq) Suther of Survey (Acq) Survey (Acq) Total cost for Survey (Acq) Stewardship Survey (Acq) Stewardship Survey (Acq) Wetland Delineations			
Number of linear feet of fencing to be built 50 Noxious weed control \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weeds by method \$1,750 Acres treated for noxious weeds by method \$1,000 Total cost for Signs (Acq) \$1,000 Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners \$1,000 Stewardship plan Total cost for Stewardship plan \$1,250 Survey (Acq) Total cost for Survey (Acq) \$1,000 Survey (Acq) \$1,250			\$500
Noxious weed control Total cost for Noxious weed control Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Acres treated for Noxious weed so the start of			
Total cost for Noxious weed control Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Mechanical 7.00 Total 7.00 Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs(Acq) Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners Stewardship plan Total cost for Stewardship plan Total cost for Stewardship plan Survey (Acq) Survey (Acq) Total cost for Survey(Acq) Wetland Delineations	-		
Acres treated for noxious weeds by method Mechanical 7.00 Total 7.00 Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs(Acq) Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners Stewardship plan Total cost for Stewardship plan Stevey (Acq) Survey (Acq) Total cost for Survey(Acq) Survey (Acq) Wetland Delineations			\$1,750
Signs (Acq) Total cost for Signs(Acq) \$1,000 Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners 1 Stewardship plan \$1,250 Survey (Acq) \$15,000 Wetland Delineations \$15,000	Acres treated for novious weeds by method		
Total cost for Signs(Acq) \$1,000 Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners 1 Stewardship plan Total cost for Stewardship plan \$1,250 Survey (Acq) Total cost for Survey(Acq) \$15,000 Wetland Delineations	The establish noxious weeks by method		7.00
Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners Stewardship plan Total cost for Stewardship plan Survey (Acq) Total cost for Survey(Acq) Wetland Delineations	Signs (Acq)		
Stewardship plan Total cost for Stewardship plan \$1,250 Survey (Acq) Total cost for Survey(Acq) \$15,000 Wetland Delineations	Total cost for Signs(Acq)		\$1,000
Total cost for Stewardship plan \$1,250 Survey (Acq) Total cost for Survey (Acq) \$15,000 Wetland Delineations	Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners		1
Survey (Acq) Total cost for Survey(Acq) Wetland Delineations \$15,000	Stewardship plan		
Total cost for Survey(Acq) \$15,000 Wetland Delineations	Total cost for Stewardship plan		\$1,250
Wetland Delineations	Survey (Acq)		
	Total cost for Survey(Acq)		\$15,000
Total cost for Wetland Delineations \$10,000	Wetland Delineations		
	Total cost for Wetland Delineations		\$10,000

Page 15 of 28 06/25/2020

ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS (ACQ)

Administrative costs (Acq)

Total cost for Administrative costs (Acq) \$22,000

Worksite Metrics

Worksite: I	Livingston	Bay (#1)
-------------	------------	----------

Miles of Stream and/or Shoreline Treated or Protected (C.0.b)	0.68
	Note: Shoreline
Project Identified In a Plan or Watershed Assessment (C.0.c)	Island County Lead Entity Salmon Recove Salmon Recovery Plan Update, https://ww
	http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/put
	Note: Cereghino et al., 2012 Puget Sound Nearshore Ecosystem Restoration Project (PSNERP), Strategies for Nearshore Protection and Restoration in Puget Sound, http://www.pugetsoundnearshore.org/technica maps.pdf
Priority in Recovery Plan	The project advances the recovery goal of the Puget Sound Salmon Recovery Plan – Watershed Profile of Whidbey and Camano Islands, "to achieve a net increase in salmon habitat through protection, enhancement, and restoration of naturally-functioning ecosystems that support self-sustaining salmon populations and the species that depend upon them (p. 208)."
Type Of Monitoring (C.0.d.1)	None
Monitoring Location (C.0.d.2)	No monitoring completed

Page 16 of 28 06/25/2020

Overall Project Metrics

PROJECT ACQUISITION		
Acquisition Primary Purpose		Habitat Conservation
COMPLETION DATE		
Projected date of completion		03/31/2023
SPONSOR MATCH: MONETARY FUNDING		
Amount of other monetary funding (A.12)		\$145,000
Source of other monetary funding (A.12.a)	project mate	camano Land Trust will secure ching funds through additional urces and private fundraising.
Timing of other monetary funding	to the estimate	ing funds will be secured prior ated property acquisition date vailable through the estimated project completion date.
SPONSOR MATCH: DONATED UN-PAID LABOR (VOLUNTEERS)		
Value of Donated Unpaid Labor (Volunteers) (A.13.a.2)		\$0
Source of Donated Un-paid labor contributions (A.13.a.4)		N/A
SPONSOR MATCH: DONATED PAID LABOR		
Value of Donated Paid Labor (A.13.b.1)		\$0
Source of Donated Paid Contributions (A.13.b.2)		N/A
SPONSOR MATCH: OTHER IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS		
Value of Other In-Kind Contributions (A.13.c.1)		\$0
Source of Other In-Kind Contributions (A.13.c.3)		N/A
Description of other In-Kind contributions (A.13.c.2)		N/A
	Metric Match Total	\$145,000

Page 17 of 28 06/25/2020

ACQUISITION COST ESTIMATES

Property: Leque Property (Worksite #1: Livingston Bay)

Work Type	Estimated Cost	Property Value Determination: Estimate of Value
Agency Indirect (Acq)	\$7,500	
Appraisal	\$10,000	
Appraisal Review	\$3,500	
Baseline Documentation	\$1,250	
Boundary line adjustment	\$1,250	
Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title	\$5,500	
Cultural resources (Acq)	\$250	
Environmental Audits	\$1,750	
Fencing (Acq)	\$500	
Land	\$750,000	
Noxious weed control	\$1,750	
Signs (Acq)	\$1,000	
Stewardship plan	\$1,250	
Survey (Acq)	\$15,000	
Wetland Delineations	\$10,000	
Subtotal:	\$810,500	
Administration:	\$22,000	
Total Estimate For Property:	\$832,500	

Property: Livingston Bay Community Association (Worksite #1: Livingston Bay)

Work Type	Estimated Cost	Property Value Determination: Estimate of Value
Agency Indirect (Acq)	\$7,500	
Appraisal	\$10,000	
Appraisal Review	\$3,500	
Baseline Documentation	\$1,250	
Boundary line adjustment	\$1,250	
Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title	\$5,500	
Cultural resources (Acq)	\$250	
Environmental Audits	\$1,750	
Fencing (Acq)	\$500	
Land	\$25,000	
Noxious weed control	\$1,750	
Signs (Acq)	\$1,000	
Stewardship plan	\$1,250	
Survey (Acq)	\$15,000	
Wetland Delineations	\$10,000	
Subtotal:	\$85,500	
Administration:	\$22,000	
Total Estimate For Property:	\$107,500	
Summary		

Total Estimated Costs	\$896,000
Without Admin:	
Total Estimated Admin:	\$44,000
Total Estimated Acquisition	\$940,000
Costs:	

Page 18 of 28 06/25/2020

Cost Summary

	Estimated Cost	Project %	Admin/AA&E %
Acquisition Costs			
Land/Incidentals	\$896,000		
Admin	\$44,000		4.99 %
SUBTOTAL	\$940,000	100.00 %	
Total Cost Estimate	\$940,000	100.00 %	

Funding Request and Match

FUNDING PROGRAM

Salmon State Projects \$795,000 84.57 %

SPONSOR MATCH

Category		Amount	Project %
Grant - Private		\$145,000	
	Match Total:	\$145,000	15.43 %
Total Funding Request:		\$940,000	100.00 %

Questions

#1: Explain how you determined the cost estimates

Project costs are based on estimates of land value and cost of acquisition. Information utilized includes tax parcel information, comparable sales data, and site assessment data. Incidental project costs and administrative costs are estimated based on recent conservation transactions completed by Whidbey Camano Land Trust.

Page 19 of 28 06/25/2020

Cultural Resources

Worksite #1: Livingston Bay

#1: Provide a description of the project actions at this worksite (acquisition, development and/or restoration activities that will occur as a part of this project)

The project will result in the acquisition of priority nearshore habitat in Livingston Bay, Camano Island, Island County (WRIA 6).

#2: Describe all ground disturbing activities (length, width and depth of disturbance and equipment utilized) that will take place in the Area of Potential Effect (APE). Include the location of any construction staging or access roads associated with your project that will involve ground disturbance.

This acquisition project will not include any ground disturbing actives.

#3: Describe any planned ground disturbing pre-construction/restoration work. This includes geo-technical investigation, fencing, demolition, decommissioning roads, etc.

This acquisition project does not include a planned ground disturbing pre-construction/restoration work.

#4: Describe the existing project area conditions. The description should include existing conditions, current and historic land uses and previous excavation/fill (if depths and extent is known, please describe).

The acquisition project targets undeveloped nearshore property in Livingston Bay, Camano Island, Island County (WRIA 6).

- #5: Will a federal permit be required to complete the scope of work on the project areas located within this worksite?
 No
- #6: Are you utilizing Federal Funding to complete the scope of work? This includes funds that are being shown as match or not.

Unknown

#7: Do you have knowledge of any previous cultural resource review within the project boundaries during the past 10 years?

No

- #8: Is the worksite located within an existing park, wildlife refuge, natural area preserve, or other recreation or habitat site?

 No
- #9: Are there any structures over 45 years of age within this worksite? This includes structures such as buildings, tidegates, dikes, residential structures, bridges, rail grades, park infrastructure, etc.
 Unknown
- #10: Describe any ground disturbing activities that you plan to undertake within the next 5 years (separate from this project).

Separate from this project, the sponsor is interested in investigating feasibility for restoration of former estuarine habitat at the project site. If restoration is determined feasible by a future study, then ground disturbing activities may occur within the next 5 years.

Page 20 of 28 06/25/2020

Project Permits

None - No permits Required

Page 21 of 28 06/25/2020

Attachments

Required Attachments	6 out of 6 done
Applicant Resolution/Authorizations	✓
Landowner acknowledgement form	✓
Map: Area of Potential Effect (APE)	✓
Map: Parcel map	✓
Photo	✓
RCO Fiscal Data Collection Sheet	✓

PHOTOS (JPG, GIF)

Photos (JPG, GIF)









434149 Primary # 434150 Secondary # 434151

434152

PROJECT DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS

Project Documents and Photos

File Type	Attach Date	Attachment Type	Title	Person	File Name, Number Associations	Shared
کے	06/15/2020	Map: Area of Potential Effect (APE)	#20-1134 Area of Potential Effect Map.pdf	JonathanD	#20-1134 Area of Potential Effect Map.pdf, 434292	✓
L	06/15/2020	Map: Parcel map	#20-1134 Parcel Map.pdf	JonathanD	#20-1134 Parcel Map.pdf, 434291	✓
	06/14/2020	Photo	#20-1134_Photo_4.jpg	JonathanD	#20-1134_Photo_4.jpg, 434152	✓
	06/14/2020	Photo	#20-1134_Photo_3.jpg	JonathanD	#20-1134_Photo_3.jpg, 434151	✓
	06/14/2020	Photo	#20-1134_Photo_2.jpg	JonathanD	#20-1134_Photo_2.jpg, 434150	✓
	06/14/2020	Photo	#20-1134_Photo_1.jpg	JonathanD	#20-1134_Photo_1.jpg, 434149	✓
<u>J.</u>	04/08/2020	Application Review Report	Application Review Report, 20- 1134A(rtnd 04/08/20 07:47:18)	AmeeB	Project Application Report - 20-1134 (rtnd 04-08-2020_07-47-18).pdf, 420556	✓
کے	03/22/2020	Project plan document	WRIA 6 Protection Strategy Final.pdf	DawnP	WRIA 6 Protection Strategy Final.pdf, 419747	✓
<u>}</u>	03/05/2020	Project plan document	Prioritization Framework Report_2019_11_15_w_Appendices.pdf	RyanE	Prioritization Framework Report_2019_11_15_w_Appendices.pdf, 418483	✓
کے	03/02/2020	Application Document	ProjectApplicationReport_20-1134	AmeeB	ProjectApplicationReport_20-1134.pdf, 417860	✓
<u>}</u>	02/11/2020	RCO Fiscal Data Collection Sheet	#20- 1144_WCLT_RCOFiscalDataCollectionShe	JonathanD	#20- 1144_WCLT_RCOFiscalDataCollectio 415407	
<u>}</u>	02/11/2020	Landowner acknowledgement form	#20-1134 Landowner Willingness Form Placeholder.pdf	JonathanD	#20-1134 Landowner Willingness Form Placeholder.pdf, 415404	
<u>J</u>	02/06/2020	Applicant Resolution/Authorizations	#20-1134 RCO Authorization for Grant Application.pdf	JonathanD	#20-1134 RCO Authorization for Grant Application.pdf, 414838	✓

Application Status

Application Due Date: 06/29/2020

Page 22 of 28 06/25/2020

Status Name	Status Date	Submitted By	Submission Notes
Application Resubmitted	06/25/2020	Ryan Elting	Thanks again Amee!
Application Returned	04/08/2020	Amee Bahr	Please find the Review Panel comments on the "Review Comments" tab. Click the "Initial Review" tab to see the panel comments. Your project is listed as an NMI and will need a little more information. Please let me know if you have any questions.
Application Submitted	02/14/2020	Ryan Elting	Thanks Amee and Dawn!
Preapplication	01/23/2020		

I certify that to the best of my knowledge, the information in this application is true and correct. Further, all application requirements due on the application due date have been fully completed to the best of my ability. I understand that if this application is found to be incomplete, it will be rejected by RCO. I understand that I may be required to submit additional documents before evaluation or approval of this project and I agree to provide them. (Ryan Elting, 06/25/2020)

Date of last change: 06/25/2020

Change Log

Expand	ΔΙΙ	•	141	rows
	All	- 1	171	10443

Expand 7 iii					
Date/Time	Person	Action	Page	Field Label	Identifying Data
06/17/20 11:02:48 am	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Land, Property: Livingston Bay Community Association
06/17/20 11:02:27 am	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Land, Property: Leque Property
06/17/20 10:59:15 am	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Land, Property: Livingston Bay Community Association
06/17/20 10:38:23 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	Acquisition Cost Estimates	Property Value Determination	Property: Livingston Bay Community Association
06/15/20 10:08:39 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Attachments	Attachment	#434292, Map: Area of Potential Effect (APE), #20-1134 Area of Potential Effect Map.pdf
06/15/20 10:08:14 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Attachments	Attachment	#433010, Map: Parcel map, 20-1134_SRFB_Nearshore_LivBay.pdf
06/15/20 10:08:14 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Attachments	Attachment	#434281, Map: Area of Potential Effect (APE), #20-1134 Area of Potential Effect Map.pdf.pdf
06/15/20 10:08:12 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Attachments	Attachment	#434291, Map: Parcel map, #20-1134 Parcel Map.pdf
06/15/20 10:07:23 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Acres zoned as agricultural land, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 10:07:23 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Overall Project Metrics	Metric Answer	Project Metric: Project Acres Acquired
06/15/20 9:17:40 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Overall Project Metrics	Metric Answer	Project Metric: Project Acres Acquired
06/15/20 9:17:40 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Choice	Property: Leque Property, Property Metric: Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple), Metric Choice: Wetlands
06/15/20 9:17:40 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Overall Project Metrics	Metric Answer	Project Metric: Project Acres Acquired
06/15/20 9:16:12 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Overall Project Metrics	Metric Answer	Project Metric: Project Acres Acquired
06/15/20 9:16:12 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Choice	Property: Livingston Bay Community Association, Property Metric: Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple), Metric Choice: Uplands
06/15/20 9:15:23 pm	Multiple	Updated	Acquisition Supplemental	Project Question	Question: How will you prioritize acquisitions? How are the
06/15/20 9:05:06 pm	Multiple	Updated	Acquisition Supplemental	Project Question	Question: Identify the target parcels
06/15/20 8:52:36 pm	Multiple	Updated	Project Proposal	Project Question	Question: (all)What are the project goals? The goal of the p
06/15/20 8:47:06 pm	Multiple	Updated	Project Proposal	Project Question	Question: How were stakeholders consulted in the development
06/15/20 7:36:21 pm	Multiple	Updated	Project Proposal	Project Question	Question: (all)What are the project objectives? Objectives s
06/15/20 7:36:21 pm	Multiple	Updated	Project Proposal	Project Question	Question: Describe the limiting factors, and/or ecological c

Page 23 of 28 06/25/2020

Expand All	141 rows				
Date/Time	Person	Action	Page	Field Label	Identifying Data
06/15/20 6:32:42 pm	Multiple	Updated	Project Location	Project Question	Question: Is the project on State Owned Aquatic Lands? Pleas
06/15/20 6:31:55 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Worksite Details	Worksite Question	Worksite: Livingston Bay, Question: Give street address or road name and mile post for
06/15/20 6:31:38 pm	Multiple	Updated	Worksite Details	Site Access Directions	Worksite: Livingston Bay (#1)
06/15/20 6:06:48 pm	Multiple	Updated	Project Location	Project Question	Question: Project location. Describe the geographic location
06/15/20 5:58:38 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Attachments	Attachment	#434281, Map: Area of Potential Effect (APE), #20-1134 Area of Potential Effect Map.pdf.pdf
06/15/20 3:35:40 pm	Multiple	Updated	Cultural Resources	Worksite Question	Worksite: Livingston Bay, Question: Describe the existing project area conditions. Th
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Administrative costs (Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Administrative costs (Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Wetland Delineations, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Wetland Delineations, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Survey(Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Survey(Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Stewardship plan, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Stewardship plan, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Signs(Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Signs(Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Choice	Property: Livingston Bay Association, Property Metric: Acres treated for noxious weeds by method, Metric Choice: Mechanical
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Noxious weed control, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Noxious weed control, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Fencing (Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Fencing (Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for environmental audits, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:07 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for environmental audits, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:06 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Choice	Property: Livingston Bay Association, Property Metric: Restoration or development plans (yes/no), Metric Choice: No
06/15/20 3:34:06 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Cultural resources(Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:06 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Cultural resources(Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:34:06 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:27:50 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Boundary line adjustment, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:27:50 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Boundary line adjustment, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:27:50 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for baseline documentation, Property: Livingston Bay Association

Page 24 of 28 06/25/2020

Expand All	141 10WS				
Date/Time	Person	Action	Page	Field Label	Identifying Data
06/15/20 3:27:50 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for baseline documentation, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:27:50 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for appraisal review, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:27:50 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for appraisal review, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:27:50 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for appraisal, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:27:50 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for appraisal, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:27:50 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Agency Indirect (Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Agency Indirect (Acq), Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Choice	Property: Livingston Bay Association, Property Metric: Existing structures on site, Metric Choice: No structures on site
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Administrative costs (Acq), Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Wetland Delineations, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Survey(Acq), Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Stewardship plan, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Number of permanent signs that identify site and funding partners, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Signs(Acq), Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Noxious weed control, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Number of linear feet of fencing to be built, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Fencing (Acq), Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for environmental audits, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Cultural resources(Acq), Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Closing, Recording, Taxes, Title, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Boundary line adjustment, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for baseline documentation, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for appraisal review, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for appraisal, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Agency Indirect (Acq), Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Acres zoned as agricultural land, Property: Leque Property
06/15/20 3:27:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Overall Project Metrics	Metric Answer	Project Metric: Project Acres Acquired
06/15/20 2:36:16 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Worksite Details	Worksite Question	Worksite: Livingston Bay, Question: Give street address or road name and mile post for
06/14/20 10:18:44 pm	Multiple	Updated	Project Proposal	Project Question	Question: Scope of work and deliverables. Provide a detailed
06/14/20 10:17:53 pm	Multiple	Updated	Project Proposal	Project Description	

Page 25 of 28 06/25/2020

Expand All	141 10W5				
Date/Time	Person	Action	Page	Field Label	Identifying Data
06/14/20 9:40:03 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Property Details	Instrument Type	Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/14/20 9:40:03 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Property Details	Term Length Perpetuity	Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/14/20 9:18:56 pm	System	Updated	Worksite Details	Worksite mapped point	Worksite: Jimmycomelately Creek Estuary
06/14/20 9:11:23 pm	System	Updated	Worksite Details	Worksite mapped point	Worksite: Jimmycomelately Creek Estuary
06/14/20 9:10:55 pm	System	Updated	Worksite Details	Worksite mapped point	Worksite: Jimmycomelately Creek Estuary
06/14/20 9:10:15 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Note	Property Metric: Total cost for Land, Property: Livingston Bay Association
06/14/20 9:07:31 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated		Metric Answer	Worksite Metric: Miles of Stream and/or Shoreline Treated or Protected (C.0.b), Worksite: Livingston Bay
06/14/20 9:07:31 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated		Metric Note	Worksite Metric: Miles of Stream and/or Shoreline Treated or Protected (C.0.b), Worksite: Livingston Bay
06/14/20 9:06:46 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Overall Project Metrics	Metric Answer	Project Metric: Project Acres Acquired
06/14/20 9:06:46 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Choice	Property: Livingston Bay Association, Property Metric: Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple), Metric Choice: Uplands
06/14/20 9:06:46 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Overall Project Metrics	Metric Answer	Project Metric: Project Acres Acquired
06/14/20 9:06:45 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Choice	Property: Livingston Bay Association, Property Metric: Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple), Metric Choice: Tidelands
06/14/20 9:05:36 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Overall Project Metrics	Metric Answer	Project Metric: Project Acres Acquired
06/14/20 9:05:36 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Choice	Property: Leque Property, Property Metric: Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple), Metric Choice: Wetlands
06/14/20 9:05:36 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Overall Project Metrics	Metric Answer	Project Metric: Project Acres Acquired
06/14/20 9:05:36 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Overall Project Metrics	Metric Answer	Project Metric: Project Acres Acquired
06/14/20 9:05:36 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Choice	Property: Leque Property, Property Metric: Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple), Metric Choice: Tidelands
06/14/20 9:05:36 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Overall Project Metrics	Metric Answer	Project Metric: Project Acres Acquired
06/14/20 9:05:36 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Choice	Property: Leque Property, Property Metric: Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple), Metric Choice: Riparian
06/14/20 9:05:35 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Choice	Property: Leque Property, Property Metric: Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple), Metric Choice: Lake
06/14/20 9:05:35 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Note	Property Metric: Miles of Streambank and/or Shoreline Protected by Land or Easement Acquisition, Property: Leque Property
06/14/20 9:05:35 pm	Jonathan Decker	Updated	Acquisition Metrics	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Miles of Streambank and/or Shoreline Protected by Land or Easement Acquisition, Property: Leque Property
06/14/20 8:52:55 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Worksites & Properties	Property	Livingston Bay Association
06/14/20 8:41:33 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Attachments	Attachment	#434152, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_4.jpg
06/14/20 8:41:10 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Attachments	Attachment	#434151, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_3.jpg
06/14/20 8:40:19 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Attachments	Attachment	#434150, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_2.jpg
06/14/20 8:38:09 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Attachments	Attachment	#434145, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_1.jpg
06/14/20 8:38:09 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Attachments	Attachment	#434146, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_2.jpg
06/14/20 8:38:09 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Attachments	Attachment	#434147, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_3.jpg
06/14/20 8:38:09 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Attachments	Attachment	#434148, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_4.jpg

Page 26 of 28 06/25/2020

^	pariu Aii					
	Date/Time	Person	Action	Page	Field Label	Identifying Data
	06/14/20 8:38:09 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Attachments	Attachment	#434149, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_1.jpg
	06/14/20 8:28:49 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Attachments	Attachment	#434148, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_4.jpg
	06/14/20 8:28:47 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Attachments	Attachment	#434147, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_3.jpg
	06/14/20 8:28:46 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Attachments	Attachment	#434146, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_2.jpg
	06/14/20 8:28:45 pm	Jonathan Decker	Added	Attachments	Attachment	#434145, Photo, #20-1134_Photo_1.jpg
	06/14/20 7:57:06 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Attachments	Attachment	#415405, Photo, #20-1134 - Nearshore Habitat Photo.jpg
	06/14/20 7:56:44 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Attachments	Attachment	#414759, Map: Parcel map, #20-1134 Parcel Map.PDF
	06/14/20 7:56:44 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Attachments	Attachment	#415403, Map: Area of Potential Effect (APE), #20-1134 Area of Potential Effect Map.PDF
	06/14/20 7:53:44 pm	Multiple	Updated	Cultural Resources	Worksite Question	Worksite: WRIA 6, Question: Describe any ground disturbing activities that you
	06/14/20 7:52:34 pm	Multiple	Updated	Cultural Resources	Worksite Question	Worksite: WRIA 6, Question: Describe the existing project area conditions. Th
	06/14/20 7:44:05 pm	Multiple	Updated	Cultural Resources	Worksite Question	Worksite: WRIA 6, Question: Provide a description of the project actions at th
	06/14/20 7:41:16 pm	Jonathan Decker	Deleted	Worksite Details	Targeted Non-ESU Species	Worksite: WRIA 6, Forage fish
	03/22/20 9:40:13 am	Dawn Pucci	Updated	Attachments	Attachment Type	Attachment #419747
	02/19/20 4:18:00 pm	Amee Bahr	Updated	Attachments	Attachment Note	Attachment #415403
	02/19/20 4:06:03 pm	Amee Bahr	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	
	02/19/20 4:06:03 pm	Amee Bahr	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	
	02/19/20 2:18:13 pm	Amee Bahr	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	
	02/14/20 11:10:49 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Worksite Question	
	02/14/20 11:02:13 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for appraisal, Property: WRIA 6 Nearshore Property
	02/14/20 11:01:22 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Easement, Property: WRIA 6 Nearshore Property
	02/14/20 11:01:22 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Metric Answer	Property Metric: Total cost for Easement, Property: WRIA 6 Nearshore Property
	02/14/20 11:00:03 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	
	02/14/20 10:45:53 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	
	02/14/20 10:45:33 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	
	02/14/20 10:40:58 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	
	02/14/20 10:32:34 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	
	02/14/20 10:32:06 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	
	02/14/20 9:05:09 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	
	02/14/20 9:04:36 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	
	02/14/20 9:00:31 am	Ryan Elting	Updated	(derive)	Project Question	

Page 27 of 28 06/25/2020

Page 28 of 28 06/25/2020