# Bear Creek Reach 6 Restoration Project, Phase II, SRFB Project 15-1059 Basis of Design Report

5-1-2017





For Adopt-A Stream Foundation, Sponsor

> Prepared by Jay Kidder, P. E. Civil Engineer Fisheries Biologist

Chinook Engineering 860 Windrose Drive Coupeville, WA 98239 360.678.4747



The technical material and data contained in this report were prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned, whose seal as a professional engineers licensed to practice as such in the State of Washington, are affixed below.



idella

Jay S. Kidder, P. E.

Civil Engineer and Fisheries Biologist

This document and the ideas and designs incorporated herein, as an instrument of professional service, is the property of **Washington State RCO** and is not to be used, in whole or in part, for any other project without the written authorization of **Chinook Engineering**. © **Copyright 2017, Chinook Engineering, All Rights Reserved**.



# Page Number

Introduction	5
Project Goals and Criteria	5
Site Description	5
Flows in Bear Creek	7
Hydrology	7
Hydraulics	8
Hydraulic Modeling	8
Snohomish Avenue Bridge Hydraulic Modeling	9
Preliminary Design Descriptions	12
Final Design Descriptions	13
Costs	14
Appendices	16
Hydraulic Calculations	



# List of Figures

# Page Number

Figure 1 The main section of the site showing low slope area
Figure 2 Estimated daily average return intervals for lower Bear Creek, Union Hill 02a Gage7
Figure 3 Exceedance curve for Bear Creek at the Union Hill 02a gage for daily average flow7
Figure 4 Hydraulic cross section just downstream from Snohomish Avenue Bridge10
Figure 5 Existing hydraulic stage for 100 year high flow of Q=1283 cfs at Snohomish Avenue Bridge10
Figure 6 Proposed hydraulic stage for 100 year high flow of Q=1283 cfs at Snohomish Avenue Bridge11
Figure 7 Final Construction and Delivery Cost Estimate15

## **List of Tables**

Table 1	Planforms found in Bear Creek adjacent to the project
site.	



# Introduction

### Project Goals and Criteria

The goal of this project is to complete a final design for habitat restoration of the Reach 6 of Bear Creek. This reach is low in the Bear Creek stream system. The project under this grant includes the completion of a topographic survey, preliminary design and a final design to install restoration improvement elements.

The design when completed with this current funding request will be used for a future restoration project that will directly address priorities for Bear/Cottage Lake Creeks in the WRIA 8 Conservation Strategy for Chinook salmon (threatened), including protecting and restoring riparian vegetation and floodplain connectivity. This project will address these habitat-limiting factors by focusing design on: installing Large Woody Debris (LWD), re-vegetating the riparian buffer, increasing flood plain connectivity and re-establishing stream processes.

Restoration elements will be designed using the techniques and methods identified in the Integrated Stream Bank Protection Guidelines and the Stream Habitat Restoration Guidelines and other fisheries engineering restoration technology currently being used in the Puget Sound Basin.

### Site Description

The site is located at approximately River Mile 2.3 upstream on Bear Creek from the Sammamish River/Slough above Evans Creek. The project is located all within the property line of the Friendly Village neighborhood and is specifically located on the most downstream area of open ground that floods annually near the community swimming pool.

The grounds are all located between the most downstream property line of Friendly village and the vehicle bridge crossing Bear Creek on Snohomish Drive.

The downstream end of the work area is bounded by a log weir believed to be placed to prevent scouring of the stream bed to protect the sanitary sewer



crossing under the stream at this location. The long profile of Bear Creek in this reach is approximately 0.77'/543', S = 0.0014, 0.14%. The crown of the pipe of the sanitary sewer pipe is approximately 2' below the top of the log and the stream bed upstream, and about even with the stream bed downstream of the log. This is very close to the streambed and will be strictly protected.



Figure 1 The main section of the site showing low slope area.

Access to the site is from the Friendly Village neighborhood roads and specifically Snohomish Drive and Sealth Drive adjacent to the community swimming pool.

The site is open and easily accessed and includes room for staging equipment and materials for the construction.



2

# **Flow Analysis**

# **Flows in Bear Creek**

### Hydrology

The nearest gage, is a county maintained gage known as King County-Union Hill Road 02a, and is located at approximately River Mile 1.3 and about 1 mile downstream of the restoration site on Bear Creek.

The exceedence curve of the daily average flow rate record is presented below and shows the 100 year flood to be approximately 1283 cfs at this location.

Specific return intervals are also shown using a best fit line with R=0.865

RI (yr)	P (%)	Q (cfs)
1.0101	99	23
1.25	80	28
2	50	42
5	20	93
10	10	171
25	4	382
50	2	700
100	1	1283

Figure 2 Estimated daily average return intervals for lower Bear Creek, Union Hill 02a Gage



Figure 3 Exceedance curve for Bear Creek at the Union Hill 02a gage for daily average flow



### Hydraulics

After the hydrology for the site is established, the hydraulic sizing of the stream restoration is conducted. This is an iterative process that is completed during the final design phase and fine-tuned during the preconstruction phase of work just prior to issuance of the contract drawings for construction.

Stream sizing of the preliminary bottom width and top width of the restored reach is established first by simply mimicking the existing dimensions. Plan forms were established in the conceptual design phase and include bend wavelength and radius of curvature.

This is seen in the table below and shows the averages found adjacent to the project. The radius of curvature used in the preliminary design is 75 feet. This conservative and will reduce streambank erosion seen now at the site.

Planform		· · ·				Bend					
Dimensions in feet		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Average
Meander Wavelength	L	105.5	96.5	143.25	150	200.75	146	119	237	237	159
BFW avg	W	22.5	30.5	23.8	30.5	30.1	32.25	27.75	35.25	43.5	31
Meander amplitude	Ma	74	36.5	40	44	250	250	250	250	141	148
Radius of Curvature	Rc	37.25	25.875	38	31.5	103	111	33.5	97	77.6	62
Belt width	В	88	88	88	88	260	260	260	260	260	184

Table 1 Planforms found in Bear Creek adjacent to the project site.

Design of pools and riffles is accomplished using the bend count and setting each bend to associate with a pool as its exhibited in natural streams. Four pools are established and a stream profile is designed after this is complete.

The large wood (LWD) placed in the pools will help scour the fine sands and silt that are present in the reach. Riffles will scour in low water times and the pools will transport and scour during the 2 year and higher event flow rates. The LWD is anchored and will not float. The pools have the potential to aggrade during conditions that deliver sand to the reach. During the times of the antecedent leg of the hydrograph in which the sediment load is lower, the pools will tend to scour and transport sediment with the velocities increased around and through the LWD.

### Hydraulic Modeling

During final design hydraulic modelling of the project will be completed using the SRH two-dimensional model developed by the USBOR. This model will assist in the shaping and geometry of the final streambank and flood connection terraces. It will calculate water surface elevations, water velocities and sediment transport estimates. This model will be used to iterate geometries that will transport sediment during 2-year flow events



through the pools. Riffles will be sized using this technique as well and will provide for higher velocities and shallower depths and widths that are narrower to produce a natural stream channel.

### Snohomish Avenue Bridge Hydraulic Modeling

Final design hydraulic modelling of the stream cross section just downstream of the Snohomish Avenue Bridge was completed during the final design. The proposed stream profile slope and the stream cross section is entered into the Flowmaster, Bentley 2009, calculator for solution of Mannings equation to determine the high water stage estimate for 100 year flow event of  $Q_{100}$ =1,283 cfs.

The existing stream reach slope is S=0.0135 ft/ft. The existing and proposed cross sections are kept similar at the immediate downstream section to the bridge. Slopes are also kept constant. The pool just downstream of the bridge is created and filled with LWD but is backwatered by the adjacent downstream riffle.

The resultant solution for the existing condition indicates when the extreme high flow is  $Q_{100}=1,283$  cfs the stage under the Snohomish Avenue Bridge for the proposed habitat improvement is Elevation(EL)=54.21. The existing bottom cord elevation of the Snohomish Avenue Bridge is EL=55.69. This shows a freeboard of 1.48 feet.

The resultant stage calculation for the proposed habitat installation in which the immediate cross section just downstream of the Snohomish Avenue Bridge remains the same as existing and when the extreme high flow is  $Q_{100}$ =1,283 cfs, shows the stage under the Snohomish Avenue Bridge to remain at EL=54.21. Approximately 55 feet downstream and purposefully designed, the right bank is excavated down to provide overbank relief of the extreme high flow conditions. The precise flood relief terrace elevation will be determined with the full 2D model of the reach to be completed for construction.

This overbank flood connected terrace along the right bank will provide a relief to the backwater elevation of the stream stage at the Snohomish Avenue Bridge and effectively lower it. The depth of the cut of the terrace proposed is approximately 2 feet deep and about 20' wide and will be designed to provide a significant reduction in the backwater stage at the bridge. This reduction will compensate for the increased roughness caused by the LWD and also lower the stage at the bridge due to the slope and cross section of the existing stream remaining constant.

Habitat improvement will be significant at the overbank terrace. Revegetation and refuge areas for high flow will be constructed. Significant increase in water surface areas will also occur.





Figure 4 Hydraulic cross section just downstream from Snohomish Avenue Bridge

Worksheet : Snoh Ave	Stage Exist aried Flow 🕕 Mess	ages			
Solve For: Normal Depth	ı <b>-</b>	2	Friction Method:	lanning Formula	•
Roughness Coefficient: Channel Slope: Elevation: Elevation Range: Discharge:	0.045 0.01350 53.81 47.66 to 57.00 ft 1283.00	ft/ft ft ft <sup>2</sup> /s	Flow Area: Wetted Perimeter: Hydraulic Radius: Top Width: Normal Depth: Critical Depth: Critical Siope: Velocity: Velocity: Velocity Head: Specific Energy: Froude Number: Flow Type:	139.38 37.51 3.72 34.64 6.15 5.53 0.02119 9.20 1.32 7.47 0.81 Subcritical	ft <sup>2</sup> ft         ft
Edit Section	Options				
Calculation Successful					

Figure 5 Existing hydraulic stage for 100 year high flow of Q=1283 cfs at Snohomish Avenue Bridge





😧 Worksheet : Snoh Ave S	Stage Proposed				
Uniform Flow Gradually Va	aried Flow 🜖 Messa	ges			
Solve For: Normal Depth	• • •]	æ	Friction Method:	Manning Formula	•
Roughness Coefficient: Channel Slope: Elevation: Elevation Range: Discharge:	0.045 0.01350 53.81 47.66 to 57.00 ft 1283.00	ft/ft ft ft²/s	Flow Area: Wetted Perimeter: Hydraulic Radius: Top Width: Normal Depth: Critical Depth: Critical Siope: Velocity: Velocity: Velocity Head: Specific Energy: Froude Number: Flow Type:	139.38 37.51 3.72 34.64 6.15 5.53 0.02119 9.20 1.32 7.47 0.81 Subcritical	ft²         ft         ft
, Contraction Contraction	ptions		1		
Calculation Successful.					

Figure 6 Proposed hydraulic stage for 100 year high flow of Q=1283 cfs at Snohomish Avenue Bridge



3

# **Preliminary Design Descriptions**

The preliminary design of the of the stream restoration has been initiated in the August 3, 2016 drawing set. This is the first rendition of the geometry and layout. The constraints and criteria used are as follows;

- 1. Landowners interests
- 2. Sanitary sewer alignments and depth
- 3. Downstream shallow sanitary sewer location and log weir hydraulic control elevation
- 4. Four pools goal
- 5. Three riffles goal
- 6. Large wood complex structures full width of stream
- 7. Flood plain connectivity
- 8. Fish habitat for primarily rearing and some limited spawning sites

Initial layout and profiles are based on the completed topographic and bathymetric stream bed information for the site. This geometry is driven by the planforms and goals for the project.

Bends were established at approximately 75' radii. The profile was modified to reflect riffles and pools coincidental with the horizontal plan. LWD is placed for habitat and sediment sorting. Floodplain terraces were placed on the two inside bends.

Upon receiving comments, we will iterate the alignment and wood placement as well as the channel width to depth ratios to first check flood elevations for no net rise. Then using the model, the channel final geometry is teased out of the anticipated results.



Δ

# **Final Design**

# **Final Design Descriptions**

The final design of the of the stream restoration is now complete. The date of the drawing set is 12-18-2016. The final design incorporates comments from the Lead Entity that included the following items;

- 1. Downstream shallow sanitary sewer location and log weir hydraulic control elevation must be protected.
- 2. Four pools created.
- 3. Three riffles created.
- 4. Straighten out the meanders to reduce the flattening of the stream slope.
- 5. Large wood complex structures full width of stream completed.
- 6. Flood plain connectivity created that also provides anticipated backwater reductions.
- 7. Fish habitat for primarily rearing and some limited spawning sites complete.
- Reevaluate hydraulics during Permitting and Construction Issue drawings and contract prior to construction with 2D hydraulics for reach backwater calculations.

The final design incorporated comments and requests made during Lead Entity reviews and comments. These aspects to the design are presented in the final design drawings issued for final design.

The most important aspect of the final design to note is the use of the flood connected terraces along the right and lower left banks that are sloped to provide an always connected wetted edge margin that will be excellent for salmonid rearing conditions and will follow along the terrace as the flood stage increases. Backwater created by the stage of the Sammamish Slough finally governs and the entire area is inundated.

Final design includes components of construction that includes stream and bank excavation and subsequent upland fill, installation of LWD, installation of streambed gravel cobble and boulders, boulder anchors, revegetation of over bank terraces, and protection of the downstream nick point of the sanitary sewer crossing and the log weir.



5



# Costs

Engineer's Construction Cost estimate is complete.

Quantities are based on the final design drawings as issued for Final Design dated 12-18-2016. The quantity of materials is estimated using to scale CAD drawings and are appropriate for this phase of the work. Construction Engineering services and sales tax are included in both estimates.

The total construction delivery cost is reported below.

Final Cost Estimate follows;



Friendly Village Bear Creek Reach 6 Resto Final Design Construction	ration Pha	ise II 15-1 nate	059	/ersion	5/1/2017
Project Name RCO Project # Date Estimate By Stream Proposed Correction	: Bear Creek : 15-1059 : 05/01/17 : Jay S. Kidd : Bear Creek : Habitat Imp	ler, PE	estoration Phas	se II 15-1059 Ilation	CHINDEN ENGINE PART
Description Mobilization / Site Preparation	Unit	Qu an tity	Cost	Amount	Sub Total
Mobilize Access Improvements and traffic Stream Diversions and Bypass Erosion Control Dewater Fish Removal	LS. LS. LS. LS. LS. LS.	1 1 1 1 1	\$10,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$6,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$1,000.00	\$10,000 \$2,000 \$6,000 \$2,000 \$1,000 \$1,000	
Mobilization and Site Prep SUBT	OTAL				\$22,000
Excavation Stream and Terrace Excavation, Common Stream Excavation, Common Terrace Excavation fill and grading Rmv. & Disp. Timber Bridge	C.Y. C.Y. C.Y. C.Y. EA	361 722 1083 1	\$25.00 \$20.00 \$10.00 \$2,000.00	\$9,028 \$14,444 \$10,833 \$2,000	
Excavation SUBTOTAL					\$36,306
LWD Installation Purchase LWD Stump w/ 40' Ste Purchase LWD Stump. w/ 20' Ste Purchase LWD 40' logs Purchase LWD 20' logs Racking wood Transport LWD Install LWD 20' and 40' Install LWD racking pieces Anchor Habitat Boulders with eye Chain, eyes and hardware Habitat boulders Stream Sediment Fish Mix Riffle construction and placemen	n EA EA EA EA EA EA EA EA EA CY t EA	40 40 20 200 300 120 100 100 25 271 3	\$500.00 \$400.00 \$300.00 \$100.00 \$250.00 \$60.00 \$120.00 \$4.50 \$100.00 \$65.00 \$1,000.00	\$20,000 \$16,000 \$8,000 \$20,000 \$24,000 \$30,000 \$12,000 \$12,000 \$4,500 \$4,500 \$2,500 \$17,604 \$3,000	
LWD Installation SUBTOTAL					\$175,604
Terrace Construction LWD on terrace Trim and topology Streambed Gravel, Fishmix Habitat boulders Revegetation Rake and reseed	EA. C.Y. C.Y. EA LS LS	50 300 25 20 1	\$300.00 \$15.00 \$65.00 \$100.00 \$15,000.00 \$500.00	\$15,000 \$4,500 \$1,625 \$2,000 \$15,000 \$500	
Terrace Construction SUBTOTAL	-				\$38,625
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION TOTA Sales Tax Engineering and CM Admin/Planning Permit fees	AL 10.00% 20%				\$272,535 \$27,253 \$54,507
PROJECT TOTAL					\$354,295

Figure 7 Final Construction and Delivery Cost Estimate



Appendices

# Appendices

**Hydraulic Calculations** 



# Worksheet for Snoh Ave Stage Exist Project Description Friction Method Manning Formula Solve For Normal Depth Input Data 0.01350 ft/ft Channel Slope 0.01350 ft/ft Discharge 1283.00 ft³/s Section Definitions 1283.00 ft³/s

Station (ft)	Elevation (ft)
oration (ity	
0+00	56.00
0+10	55.00
0+13	3 54.41
0+14	\$ 54.00
0+16	53.00
0+17	52.00
0+19	51.00
0+20	50.00
0+22	2 49.00
0+23	3 48.26
0+26	6 48.00
0+27	47.70
0+30	47.66
0+33	3 48.00
0+38	3 49.00
0+4	50.00
0+42	2 50.10
0+44	\$ 51.00
0+46	55.00
0+48	3 53.00
0+50	54.00
0+5	55.00
0+60	56.00
0+70	57.00
0+72	2 57.00

**Roughness Segment Definitions** 

 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods SolBtiotl@cFilewMaster V8i (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03]

 5/1/2017 7:12:24 PM
 27 Siemons Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666
 Page 1 of 3



### **Worksheet for Snoh Ave Stage Exist**

### Input Data

5/1/2017 7:12:24 PM

Start Station	Ending Station		Roughness Coefficient
(0+00, 56	6.00) (0+7	2, 57.00)	0.045
Options			
Current Rougnness vveignted Method Open Channel Weighting Method Closed Channel Weighting Method	Pavlovskii's Method Pavlovskii's Method Pavlovskii's Method		
Results			
Normal Depth Elevation Range	6.15 47.66 to 57.00 ft	ft	
Flow Area	139.38	ft²	
Wetted Perimeter	37.51	ft	
Hydraulic Radius	3.72	ft	
Top Width	34.64	ft	
Normal Depth	6.15	π A	
Critical Depth	5.53	Π. #/#	
Velocity	0.02119	ft/n	
Velocity Head	9.20	ft	
Specific Energy	7.47	ft	
Froude Number	0.81	it.	
Flow Type	Subcritical		
GVF Input Data			
Downstream Depth	0.00	ft	
Length	0.00	ft	
Number Of Steps	0		
GVF Output Data			
Upstream Depth Profile Description	0.00	ft	
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft	
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s	
Upstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s	
Normal Depth	6.15	ft	

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Sol**Btiott@eFitaw**Master V8i (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03] 27 Siemons Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Page 2 of 3



	Worksheet for Snoh Ave	Stage Exist
GVF Output Data		
Critical Depth	5.53	ft
Channel Slope	0.01350	ft/ft
Critical Slope	0.02119	ft/ft

 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods SolBtindt@GritewMaster V8i (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03]

 5/1/2017 7:12:24 PM
 27 Siemons Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666
 Page 3 of 3



### Worksheet for Snoh Ave Stage Proposed

# Project Description Friction Method Manning Formula Solve For Normal Depth Input Data 0.01350 ft/ft Channel Slope 0.01350 ft/ft Discharge 1283.00 ft³/s Section Definitions 1283.00 ft³/s

Station (ft)		Elevation (ft)	
otation (it)		Lievation (it)	
	0+00		56.00
	0+10		55.00
	0+13		54.41
	0+14		54.00
	0+16		53.00
	0+17		52.00
	0+19		51.00
	0+20		50.00
	0+22		49.00
	0+23		48.26
	0+26		48.00
	0+27		47.70
	0+30		47.66
	0+33		48.00
	0+38		49.00
	0+41		50.00
	0+42		50.10
	0+44		51.00
	0+46		52.00
	0+48		53.00
	0+50		54.00
	0+51		55.00
	0+60		56.00
	0+70		57.00
	0+72		57.00

Roughness Segment Definitions

5/1/2017 7:10:20 PM

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods SolBtéatidgeFitterwMaster V8i (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03] 27 Siemons Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Page 1 of 3



### Worksheet for Snoh Ave Stage Proposed

Input Data

5/1/2017 7:10:20 PM

Input Data						
Start Station		Ending Station		Roughness Coefficient	Roughness Coefficient	
		Ū		,		
(0+00, 56.00)		(0+7	2, 57.00)		0.045	
Options						
Current Kougnness Weighted	Pavlovskii's Method					
Method Open Channel Weighting Method	Pavlovskii's Method					
Closed Channel Weighting Method	Pavlovskii's Method					
Results						
1 COULD						
Normal Depth		6.15	ft			
Elevation Range	47.66 to 57.00 ft					
Flow Area		139.38	ft²			
Wetted Perimeter		37.51	ft			
Hydraulic Radius		3.72	ft			
Top Width		34.64	ft			
Normal Depth		6.15	ft			
Critical Depth		5.53	ft			
Critical Slope		0.02119	ft/ft			
Velocity		9.20	ft/s			
Velocity Head		1.32	ft			
Specific Energy		7.47	ft			
Froude Number		0.81				
Flow Type	Subcritical					
GVF Input Data						
Downstream Depth		0.00	ft			
Length		0.00	ft			
Number Of Steps		0				
GVF Output Data						
Upstream Depth		0.00	ft			
Profile Description						
Profile Headloss		0.00	ft			
Downstream Velocity		Infinity	ft/s			
Upstream Velocity		Infinity	ft/s			
Normal Depth		6.15	ft			

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Sol**BientleGeinterw**Master V8i (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03] 27 Siemons Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Page 2 of 3



### Worksheet for Snoh Ave Stage Proposed

GVF Output Data		
Critical Depth	5.53	ft
Channel Slope	0.01350	ft/ft
Critical Slope	0.02119	ft/ft

 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods SolBtiotl@efiltatw/Master V8i (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03]

 5/1/2017 7:10:20 PM
 27 Siemons Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666
 Page 3 of 3

