

Amendment to Project Agreement

MJ
4/23/15

Project Sponsor: Chelan Co Natural Resource

Project Number: 12-1447P

Project Title: Peshastin RM 8.8 Side Channel Reconnection C

Amendment Number: 1

Amendment Type:

Cost Change

Amendment Description:

This project scope is reduced from final design to conceptual design, is no longer a "design-only, no match" project, thus the county is providing the 15% match requirement to receive a time extension. FFY 2012

Project Funding:

The total cost of the project for the purpose of this Agreement changes as follows:

	Old Amount		New Amount	
	Amount	%	Amount	%
RCO - SALMON FED PROJ	\$199,900.00	100.00%	\$58,754.97	85.00%
Project Sponsor	\$0.00	0.00%	\$10,369.00	15.00%
Total Project Cost	\$199,900.00	100%	\$69,123.97	100%
Admin Limit	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
A&E Limit	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%

Agreement Terms

In all other respects the Agreement, to which this is an Amendment, and attachments thereto, shall remain in full force and effect. In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this Amendment.

State Of Washington
Recreation and Conservation Office

Chelan Co Natural Resource

BY:

Kaleen Cottingham
Kaleen Cottingham

TITLE: Director

DATE:

3/20/15

Pre-approved as to form:

BY:

/S/
Assistant Attorney General

AGENCY:

Chelan Co. Commission

BY:

Commissioner

TITLE:

Chairman

DATE:

4-13-15

RECEIVED

APR 23 2015

WA STATE
RECREATION AND CONSERVATION OFFICE

Amendment Agreement Description

Project Sponsor: Chelan Co Natural Resource

Project Number: 12-1447 P

Project Title: Peshastin RM 8.8 Side Channel Reconnection Des **Amendment Number:** 1

Agreement Description

The objective of the Peshastin Creek RM 8.8 Side Channel Reconnection Design project is to re-connect off-channel habitat and floodplain to provide rearing and refuge habitat for juvenile salmonids. The construction of SR 97 in 1956 disconnected 35 acres of floodplain and 4,300 linear feet of channel. In 2011-12 CCNRD conducted site assessments and landowner outreach to develop four conceptual reconnection alternatives. This proposal seeks conceptual design funds to assess the existing geomorphic and hydraulic processes to guide the selection and conceptual design of restoration efforts in Peshastin Creek. Due to the complexity of this site and the existing sediment transport load, a more thorough evaluation is warranted prior to advancing to the preliminary design stage. The CCNRD intends to work with the landowners and stakeholders to examine and select a preferred alternative. Peshastin Creek is a Category 2 watershed and contains Major spawning area for steelhead and minor spawning area for spring Chinook, and is a bull trout core area (UCRTT 2008). Within Peshastin Creek, the reconnection of floodplain and lengthening of the mainstem is a Biological Strategy Tier 1 action and top priority for addressing limiting habitat factors and the recovery and long-term viability of salmonids (UCRTT 2008, UCSRB 2007). The Peshastin Creek Tributary and Reach Assessment (TRA) (Interfluve 2010) identified the reconnection of the historical main channel at the RM 8.8R project site (BRG Site) as a priority habitat restoration project within Peshastin Creek.

Amendment Special Conditions

Project Sponsor: Chelan Co Natural Resource

Project Number: 12-1447 P

Project Title: Peshastin RM 8.8 Side Channel Reconnection Des **Amendment Number:** 1

Special Conditions

The required project deliverables for this conceptual design are detailed in *Manual 18 - Salmon Recovery Grants*, January 2012, Appendix D-1, pages 105-106.

Amendment Eligible Scope Activities

Project Sponsor: Chelan County Natural Resource Department

Project Number: 12-1447

Project Title: Peshastin RM 8.8 Side Channel Reconnection Design

Project Type: Planning

Program: Salmon Federal Projects

Amendment #: 1

Planning Metrics

Worksite #1, Peshastin RM 8.8 side channel

Targeted salmonid ESU/DPS:

Targeted species (non-ESU species):

Area Encompassed (acres):

34.5

Miles of Stream Affected:

0.50

Restoration Planning And Coordination Project

Conducting habitat restoration scoping and feasibility studies

Description of the Plan:

The primary purpose of this plan is to assess the existing geomorphic and hydraulic processes to guide the selection and conceptual design of restoration efforts.

Name of the Plan:

Geomorphic Assessment and Conceptual Design of Peshastin Creek, River Mile 8.8 Project, Chelan County, WA

Natural Resources Building
1111 Washington St. S.E.
Olympia, WA 98501

P.O. Box 40917
Olympia, WA 98504-0917



STATE OF WASHINGTON

RECREATION AND CONSERVATION OFFICE

(360) 902-3000
TTY (360) 902-1996
Fax: (360) 902-3026

E-mail: info@rco.wa.gov
Web site: www.rco.wa.gov

March 20, 2015

Mike Kane
Chelan County
316 Washington St Ste 401
Wenatchee, WA 98801

RE: Peshastin RM 8.8 Side Channel Reconnection Design, RCO #12-1447P
Amendment # 1

Dear Mr. Kane:

In response to your request to amend the above-referenced Project Agreement, we have reviewed the circumstances and pertinent RCWs, WACs, and program policies relating to your request. As a result, I am approving an amendment to the Peshastin RM 8.8 Side Channel Reconnection Design project.

Enclosed are two original amendments to the Project Agreement. Please sign both amendments, retain one for your records, and return one original. If you have any questions, please call Marc Duboiski at (360) 902-3137, or send an e-mail to marc.duboiski@rco.wa.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kaleen Cottingham".

Kaleen Cottingham
Director

Enclosures



AMENDMENT APPROVAL FORM

Project #: 12-1447 Planning

Amendment #: 1 - Cost Change

Project Title: Peshastin RM 8.8 Side Channel Reconnection Design

Project Sponsor: Chelan Co Natural Resource

	Date	Initials
Grant Mgr:	3/19/15	WJ
Section Mgr:	3/19/15	JG
Fiscal:		
Deputy:	3/20/15	SK
Director:		

AMENDMENT DESCRIPTION:

This project scope is reduced from final design to conceptual design, is no longer a "design-only, no match" project, thus the county is providing the 15% match requirement to receive a time extension. *which is attached to back.*

PROJECT SUMMARY:

Board Funded Date: 12/05/2012	Prior Time Extensions: 0	RCO Amount: \$199,900.00
Project Start Date: 12/06/2012	Other External Amendments: 0	Sponsor Match Amount: \$0.00
Original End Date: 06/06/2014	Sponsor Active Projects: 3	Total: \$199,900.00
Current End Date: 06/06/2014	Sponsor Completed Projects: 22	RCO Paid To Date (2%): \$4,754.97
	Sponsor Not Completed Projects: 2	RCO Remaining (98%): \$195,145.03
Last Progress Report:	Sponsor Dead Projects: 3	Last Billing Date: 04/15/2014

PROJECT AGREEMENT DESCRIPTION:

The objective of the Peshastin Creek RM 8.8 Side Channel Reconnection Design project is to re-connect off-channel habitat and floodplain to provide rearing and refuge habitat for juvenile salmonids. The construction of SR 97 in 1956 disconnected 35 acres of floodplain and 4,300 linear feet of channel. In 2011-12 CCNRD conducted site assessments and landowner outreach to develop four conceptual reconnection alternatives. This proposal seeks design funds for two alternatives for a partial flow reconnection. The CCNRD intends to work with the landowners and stakeholders to examine and select a preferred alternative in 2013. Once the preferred alternative is selected, the CCNRD will prepare preliminary and final designs, and complete project permitting. The project is planned for construction in 2014. Peshastin Creek is a Category 2 watershed and contains Major spawning area for steelhead and minor spawning area for spring Chinook, and is a bull trout core area (UCRTT 2008). Within Peshastin Creek, the reconnection of floodplain and lengthening of the mainstem is a Biological Strategy Tier 1 action and top priority for addressing limiting habitat factors and the recovery and long-term viability of salmonids (UCRTT 2008, UCSRB 2007). The Peshastin Creek Tributary and Reach Assessment (TRA) (Interfluvie 2010) identified the reconnection of the historical main channel at the RM 8.8R project site (BRG Site) as a priority habitat restoration project within Peshastin Creek.

PROJECT FUNDING (CURRENT):

Bien	Fund	Appn	Reapp Ind	Orig Bien	Orig Appn	Grant	Activity	SubActiv	SO	Amount
13-15	001	P00	Reapp	11-13	P00	NMFS 2012	PROJ	FED	NZ	\$199,900.00

QUESTIONS:

	Yes	No	N/A	Other (see notes)
Is the amendment request consistent with the original project intent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Did the sponsor provide adequate justification for the proposed change?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Did the sponsor exhaust all practical alternatives before requesting the amendment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the current project meeting its milestone obligations to RCO?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the current project meeting its billing obligations to RCO?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Did the sponsor have little control over the condition causing the amendment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	Yes	No	N/A	Other (see notes)
Does the sponsor have a good track record of implementing projects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Will the proposed change help implement the project faster?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the project change a result of a design and /or permitting requirement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Will this action cause funding to be re-appropriated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is staff recommending approval of this amendment request?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the Lead Entity support the proposed change and is the documentation provided (letter or e-mail)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the proposed change technically sound? Has the Review Panel reviewed the change? If so, have their comments been incorporated into the request (i.e. conditioning)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

NOTES AND ANALYSIS: In August 2014 we sent a letter denying Chelan County's scope change and time extension request. In nearly two years no progress was made toward completing a restoration project design and only \$4,800, or 2%, of the grant was spent.

In October 2014, Chelan County requested a conference with Kaleen, Tara and myself to discuss three of their languishing projects. We ended up agreeing to close one not completed (12-1620R), another one was on schedule for construction in November and has been completed (11-1347R), and the county negotiated a revised scope and timeline for this grant. The county stressed the importance of continuing a dialogue and developing a project with the landowner.

This grant scope is now for a conceptual design vs. a final design, the county is only using \$55,755 of the original \$199,900 grant, and are now providing 15% match, or \$10,369. The grant is being extended until the end of October 2015.

