

Amendment to Project Agreement

MJ
2/23/15

Project Sponsor: Chelan Co Natural Resource
Project Title: Coulter Creek Barrier Replacement

Project Number: 11-1347R
Amendment Number: 1

Amendment Type:

Cost Change

Amendment Description:

The cost of the culvert and the relocation of utilities was higher than anticipated. The SRFB share of the project is increased by \$12,668 and the sponsor match by \$2,231, for a total cost increase of 14,899.

Project Funding:

The total cost of the project for the purpose of this Agreement changes as follows:

	Old Amount		New Amount	
	Amount	%	Amount	%
RCO - SALMON FED PROJ	\$70,657.00	85.00%	\$83,325.00	85.00%
Project Sponsor	\$12,469.00	15.00%	\$14,700.00	15.00%
Total Project Cost	\$83,126.00	100%	\$98,025.00	100%
Admin Limit	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
A&E Limit	\$7,500.00	9.92%	\$12,785.87	15.00%

Agreement Terms

In all other respects the Agreement, to which this is an Amendment, and attachments thereto, shall remain in full force and effect. In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this Amendment.

State Of Washington
Recreation and Conservation Office

Chelan Co Natural Resource

BY: Kaleen Cottingham
Kaleen Cottingham

TITLE: Director

DATE: 2/6/15

Pre-approved as to form:

BY: /S/
Assistant Attorney General

AGENCY: Chelan Co Natural Resource
BY: Don Walsh

TITLE: Chairman

DATE: 2-17-15

RECEIVED
FEB 24

WAC
RECREATION AND CON



Amendment to Project Agreement

Applied *BT* 2/23/11
Use as original,
Replace when original
is rec'd

Project Sponsor: Chelan Co Natural Resource
Project Title: Coulter Creek Barrier Replacement

Project Number: 11-1347R
Amendment Number: 1

Amendment Type:

Cost Change

Amendment Description:

The cost of the culvert and the relocation of utilities was higher than anticipated. The SRFB share of the project is increased by \$12,668 and the sponsor match by \$2,231, for a total cost increase of 14,899.

Project Funding:

The total cost of the project for the purpose of this Agreement changes as follows:

	Old Amount		New Amount	
	Amount	%	Amount	%
RCO - SALMON FED PROJ	\$70,657.00	85.00%	\$83,325.00	85.00%
Project Sponsor	\$12,469.00	15.00%	\$14,700.00	15.00%
Total Project Cost	\$83,126.00	100%	\$98,025.00	100%
Admin Limit	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
A&E Limit	\$7,500.00	9.92%	\$12,785.87	15.00%

Agreement Terms

In all other respects the Agreement, to which this is an Amendment, and attachments thereto, shall remain in full force and effect. In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this Amendment.

State Of Washington
Recreation and Conservation Office

Chelan Co Natural Resource

BY: Kaleen Cottingham
Kaleen Cottingham

AGENCY: Chelan Co Natural Resource
BY: Paul W. [Signature]

TITLE: Director
DATE: 2/6/15

TITLE: Chairman

DATE: 2-17-15

Pre-approved as to form:

BY: /S/
Assistant Attorney General

Amendment Agreement Description

Project Sponsor: Chelan Co Natural Resource
Project Title: Coulter Creek Barrier Replacement

Project Number: 11-1347 R
Amendment Number: 1

Agreement Description

The project area is within WRIA 45 in the Lower White Pine Reach of Nason Creek as defined in the Lower White Pine Reach Assessment (USBR 2009). The replacement of the barrier culverts at RM 0.4 with a bottomless arch structure would improve Chinook and Steelhead access to 1.6 miles of potential spawning habitat, of which the first 0.3 miles is low gradient rearing habitat. The goal of this action is to increase access to rearing habitat steelhead in Nason Creek and the Wenatchee Subbasin. This project will complement the larger Nason Creek Lower White Pine (LWP) Reconnection that will reconnect 14.9% of the Upper Nason Creek Basin including the reestablishment of hydraulic connectivity to the Coulter Creek drainage to the mainstem Nason Creek.

Amendment Eligible Scope Activities

Project Sponsor: Chelan County Natural Resource Department
Project Title: Coulter Creek Barrier Replacement
Program: Salmon Federal Projects

Project Number: 11-1347
Project Type: Restoration
Amendment #: 1

Restoration Metrics

Worksite #1, Coulter Creek Culverts

Targeted salmonid ESU/DPS:
Targeted species (non-ESU species):
Project Identified In a Plan or Watershed Assessment:

Steelhead-Upper Columbia River DPS
None
Restoration of Nason Creek habitat is identified the top priority for implementation of habitat actions in the Wenatchee Basin as prioritized by the Upper Columbia Regional Technical Team and as in the Upper Columbia Salmon Recovery Plan (UCSRB 2007).

The Coulter Creek Barrier was identified as a barrier in both the USFS Barrier Inventory (2000) and Chelan County Barrier Inventory (2000).
Implementation Monitoring

Type Of Monitoring:

Fish Passage Improvement

Type Of Barrier:
Miles Of Stream Made Accessible:
Square Miles Of streambed made accessible:

Culvert
1.60
4.0

Culvert installed or improved

Miles of stream made accessible by culvert installation/repair:
Number of culverts:

1.60
2

Cultural Resources

Cultural resources

Permits

Obtain permits

Architectural & Engineering

Architectural & Engineering (A&E)

Natural Resources Building
1111 Washington St. S.E.
Olympia, WA 98501

P.O. Box 40917
Olympia, WA 98504-0917



STATE OF WASHINGTON

RECREATION AND CONSERVATION OFFICE

(360) 902-3000
TTY (360) 902-1996
Fax: (360) 902-3026

E-mail: info@rco.wa.gov
Web site: www.rco.wa.gov

February 6, 2015

Mike Kane
Chelan County
316 Washington St Ste 401
Wenatchee, WA 98801

RE: Coulter Creek Barrier Replacement, RCO #11-1347R
Amendment # 1

Dear Mr. Kane:

In response to your request to amend the above-referenced Project Agreement, we have reviewed the circumstances and pertinent RCWs, WACs, and program policies relating to your request. As a result, I am approving an amendment to the Coulter Creek Barrier Replacement project.

Enclosed are two original amendments to the Project Agreement. Please sign both amendments, retain one for your records, and return one original. If you have any questions, please call Marc Duboiski at (360) 902-3137, or send an e-mail to marc.duboiski@rco.wa.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kaleen Cottingham".

Kaleen Cottingham
Director

Enclosures



AMENDMENT APPROVAL FORM

	Date	Initials
Grant Mgr:	2/6/15	MD
Section Mgr:		
Fiscal:		
Deputy:		
Director:	2/6/15	KE

Project #: 11-1347 Restoration

Amendment #: 1 - Cost Change

Project Title: Coulter Creek Barrier Replacement

Project Sponsor: Chelan Co Natural Resource

AMENDMENT DESCRIPTION:

The cost of the culvert and the relocation of utilities was higher than anticipated. The SRFB share of the project is increased by \$12,668 and the sponsor match by \$2,231, for a total cost increase of 14,899.

PROJECT SUMMARY:

Board Funded Date: 12/09/2011	Prior Time Extensions: 0	RCO Amount: \$70,657.00
Project Start Date: 12/08/2011	Other External Amendments: 0	Sponsor Match Amount: \$12,469.00
Original End Date: 12/31/2014	Sponsor Active Projects: 4	Total: \$83,126.00
Current End Date: 12/31/2014	Sponsor Completed Projects: 21	RCO Paid To Date (0%): \$0.00
	Sponsor Not Completed Projects: 2	RCO Remaining (100%): \$70,657.00
Last Progress Report:	Sponsor Dead Projects: 3	Last Billing Date:

PROJECT AGREEMENT DESCRIPTION:

The project area is within WRIA 45 in the Lower White Pine Reach of Nason Creek as defined in the Lower White Pine Reach Assessment (USBR 2009). The replacement of the barrier culverts at RM 0.4 with a bottomless arch structure would improve Chinook and Steelhead access to 1.6 miles of potential spawning habitat, of which the first 0.3 miles is low gradient rearing habitat. The goal of this action is to increase access to rearing habitat steelhead in Nason Creek and the Wenatchee Subbasin. This project will complement the larger Nason Creek Lower White Pine (LWP) Reconnection that will reconnect 14.9% of the Upper Nason Creek Basin including the reestablishment of hydraulic connectivity to the Coulter Creek drainage to the mainstem Nason Creek.

PROJECT FUNDING (CURRENT):

Bien	Fund	Appn	Reapp Ind	Orig Bien	Orig Appn	Grant	Activity	SubActiv	SO	Amount
13-15	001	Q53	Reapp	09-11	Q53	NMFS 2010	PROJ	FED	NZ	\$0.00
13-15	001	P00	New			NMFS 2010	PROJ	FED	NZ	\$70,657.00

QUESTIONS:

	Yes	No	N/A	Other (see notes)
Is the amendment request consistent with the original project intent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Did the sponsor provide adequate justification for the proposed change?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Did the sponsor exhaust all practical alternatives before requesting the amendment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the current project meeting its milestone obligations to RCO?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the current project meeting its billing obligations to RCO?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Did the sponsor have little control over the condition causing the amendment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the sponsor have a good track record of implementing projects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Will the proposed change help implement the project faster?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	Yes	No	N/A	Other (see notes)
Is the project change a result of a design and /or permitting requirement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Will this action cause funding to be re-appropriated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is staff recommending approval of this amendment request?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the Lead Entity support the proposed change and is the documentation provided (letter or e-mail)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the proposed change technically sound? Has the Review Panel reviewed the change? If so, have their comments been incorporated into the request (i.e. conditioning)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

NOTES AND ANALYSIS: