

# **Amendment to Project Agreement**

7/8/13

P⁻oject Sponsor:

Chelan-Douglas Land Trust

⊸óiect Title:

Nason Creek LWP Alcove Acquisition

Project Number: 11-1372A

Amendment Number: 1

### **Amendment Type:**

Cost Change

### **Amendment Description:**

Increasing cost of grant agreement by \$55,300 (\$28,000 SRFB and \$27,300 match) due to increased appraised value of the Click property. The matching share is increased from 15% to 21%.

## **Project Funding:**

The total cost of the project for the purpose of this Agreement changes as follows:

	Old Amoun	it	New Amount			
• •	Amount	%	Amount	%		
RCO - SALMON FED PROJ	\$250,000.00	84.83%	\$278,000.00	79.43%		
Project Sponsor	\$44,700.00	15.17%	\$72,000.00	20.57%		
Total Project Cost	\$294,700.00	100%	\$350,000.00	100%		
Admin Limit	\$13,700.00	4.88%	\$16,666.67	5.00%		
A&E Limit	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%		

## **Agreement Terms**

State Of Washington

In all other respects the Agreement, to which this is an Amendment, and attachments thereto, shall remain in full force and effect. In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this Amendment.

Recrea	ation and Conservation Office		
	1/2 000	AGENCY:	Chelan-Doag
BY:	Callen 1011	BY:	Lac A Y
	Kaleen Cottingham		Gorge A. Rom
TITLE:	Director	TITLE:	President Boar
DATE:	0/20 13		14 03/04/07
		DATE:	6/26/13
	Pre-approved as to form:		
BY:	/S/	_	RECEIVED

JUL 0 8 2013

**Chelan-Douglas Land Trust** 

RECREATION AND CONSERVATION OFFICE

Assistant Attorney General

Natural Resources Building 1111 Washington St. S.E. Olympia, WA 98501

P.O. Box 40917 Olympia, WA 98504-0917



(360) 902-3000 TTY (360) 902-1996 Fax: (360) 902-3026

E-mail: info@rco.wa.gov Web site: www.rco.wa.gov

### RECREATION AND CONSERVATION OFFICE

June 18, 2013

FILE COPY

Mickey Fleming Chelan-Douglas Land Trust PO Box 4461 Wenatchee, WA 98807

RE: Nason Creek LWP Alcove Acquisition, RCO #11-1372A

Amendment # 1

Dear Ms. Fleming:

In response to your request to amend the above-referenced Project Agreement, we have reviewed the circumstances and pertinent RCWs, WACs, and program policies relating to your request. As a result, I am approving an amendment to the Nason Creek LWP Alcove Acquisition project.

Enclosed are two original amendments to the Project Agreement. Please sign both amendments, retain one for your records, and return one original. If you have any questions, please call Marc Duboiski at (360) 902-3137, or send an e-mail to marc.duboiski@rco.wa.gov.

Kaleen Cottingham

Director

**Enclosures** 



# washington state Recreation and Conservation Office

Date: 0-20	2-13
Name: Mick	Ley Flaming
Organization:	JUT 9
Address:	<b></b>
City, State, Zip Code:	) anatchee
I am sending you the following i	material in response to your request:
right!	living y upta 11
If I can be of further help, please	e let me know.
From:	we
Telephone: 90	2-3137
Recreation and Conservation O Natural Resources Building, Sec 1111 Washington ST SE Olympia WA 98501	
Mailing Address: PO Box 40917 Olympia WA 98504-0917	Fax: (360) 902-3026 E-mail: info@rco.wa.gov

# MENDMENT APPROVAL FORM

Project #: 11-1372 A

Acquisition

Amendment #: 1 - Cost Change

Project Title: Nason Creek LWP Alcove Acquisition

Project Sponsor: Chelan-Douglas Land Trust

	Date	Initials
Grant Mgr:	6/18/13	min
Section Mgr:		
Fiscal:		
Deputy:		
Director:		

AMENDMENT	DESCRIPT	TON:
-----------	----------	------

Increasing cost of grant agreement by \$55,300 (\$28,000 SRFB and \$27,300 match) due to increased appraised value of the Click property. The matching share is increased from 15% to 21%.

### **PROJECT SUMMARY:**

Board Funded Date: 12/09/2011 RCO Amount: Prior Time Extensions: 0 \$250,000.00 Project Start Date: 12/08/2011 Other External Amendments: 0 **Sponsor Match Amount:** \$44,700.00 Original End Date: 03/31/2014 Sponsor Active Projects: 4 \$294,700.00 Total: **Current End Date: 03/31/2014** Sponsor Completed Projects: 9 RCO Paid To Date (2%): \$6,138.59 Sponsor Not Completed Projects: 0 RCO Remaining (98%): \$243,861.41 **Last Progress Report:** Sponsor Dead Projects: 2 07/20/2012 Last Billing Date:

#### PROJECT AGREEMENT DESCRIPTION:

The Chelan-Douglas Land Trust seeks to acquire up to 18 acres in the Lower White Pine Reach of Nason Creek, that flows into the Wenatchee River, a tributary of the Columbia River. The Lower White Pine Alcove at RM 11.2-11.5 would protect both sides of the riverbank for 1100 feet (over 2200 feet overall). The projhect would also permanently protect acres of floodplain and a year-round watered alcove that was the former main channel of Nason Creek.

Nason Creek is a Category 2 stream, a major spawning area for endangered spring Chinook and steelhead and a core area for threatened bull trout). Tier 1 actions are to "protect existing riparian habitat and channel migration floodplain function." Using the Bureau of Reclamation reach assessment recommendations, these properties were ranked among the highest of 70 private holdings for protection in the 10 miles between RM 4.6 and 14 constituting the Upper White Pine, Lower White Pine, and Kahler reaches. This site is within the 2.5 mile Reach 3, in which the Chelan PUD's 2010 spawning survey reported 33% of the spring Chinook redds for all of Nason Creek, representing 19% of the redds in the Wenatchee Basin. For steelhead, 27% of the redds in the Wenatchee Basin were in Nason Creek, and most of the spawning was in Reach 3.

#### PROJECT FUNDING (CURRENT):

Bien	Fund	Appn	Reapp Ind	Orig Bien	Orig Appn	Grant	Activity	SubActiv	so		Amount
11-13	001	Q53	Reapp	09-11	Q53	NMFS 2010	PROJ	FED	NZ	\$2	50,000.00
QUEST	IONS:							Yes	No	N/A	Other (see notes)
is the a	mendme	ent reque	st consistent	with the or	iginal project i	intent?		٥			
Did the	sponsor	provide	adequate jus	tification fo	r the propose	d change?		<b>D</b>			
Did the	sponsor	exhaust	all practical	alternatives	before reque	sting the amendme	nt?			□.	
ls the c	urrent pr	oject me	eting its mile:	stone obliga	ations to RCC	?	mariando e e escado de estado e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				
Is the c	urrent pr	oject med	eting its billin	g obligation	is to RCO?						
Did the	sponsor	have little	e control ove	r the condit	tion causing th	ne amendment?					

	Yes	No	N/A	Other (see notes)
Does the sponsor have a good track record of implementing projects?				
Will the proposed change help implement the project faster?				
Is the project change a result of a design and /or permitting requirement?				
Will this action cause funding to be re-appropriated?				
Is staff recommending approval of this amendment request?				
Does the Lead Entity support the proposed change and is the documentation provided (letter or e-mail)?			<b>.</b>	
Is the proposed change technically sound? Has the Review Panel reviewed the change? If so, have their comments been incorporated into the request (i.e. conditioning)?				

**NOTES AND ANALYSIS:**