

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



Lead Entity:	WRIA 8
Project Number:	14-1299
Project Name:	Willow Creek Daylighting Preliminary Design
Project Sponsor:	City of Edmonds
Grant Manager:	Elizabeth Butler

Date		Status
Draft Application Review/Site Visit	5/21/14	Reviewed
Post Application	9/25/14	Clear
Final		Clear
Early Application Status Option		
REVIEWED	SRFB Review Panel has reviewed and provided comments.	
Post-Application & Final Status Options		
NMI	Need More Information	
POC	Project of Concern	
CONDITIONED	SRFB Review Panel has applied conditions	
CLEAR	Project has been reviewed by SRFB Review Panel and is okay to continue in funding process	

PROJECT SUMMARY

This proposal will complete a preliminary engineering design and permitting for the day-lighted channel component of a large, multi-phased project to restore tidal processes and Chinook rearing habitat in the Edmonds Marsh. A key aspect of the preliminary design is determining how the channel design will accommodate the on-going hazardous substance remediation commitments at the Unocal/Chevron property.

DRAFT APPLICATION REVIEW AND SITE VISIT – REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: 5/23/2014

Panel Member(s) Name: Slocum

Early Project Status: ☒ Reviewed

Project Site Visit? ☒ Yes ☐ No

1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria.

The review panel provided extensive technical review comments for the final feasibility study phase of this project (SRFB Project No. 13-1107). The current proposal provides valuable information that has been developed since 2013 on fish utilization, coastal geomorphology, and other relevant issues. This recent information addresses questions and concerns identified in last year's review, and strengthens the overall outlook for the benefit and certainty of the project.

The proposed work is technically sound and is an appropriate priority in the overall sequence for developing the the larger Edmonds Marsh project. The review panel recommends that the sponsor likewise elevate its negotiations

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



over purchasing (or otherwise obtaining a controlling interest over) the Unocal/Chevron property to an immediate priority. Control over this property will allow more flexibility in designing the alignment and habitat features of the new channel. For example, it potentially could allow for a wide setback from the railroad tracks, which could be planted in a substantial buffer of native nearshore vegetation. The sponsor may find it useful to engage the Puget Sound Partnership to help it advocate in negotiations with Ecology, WSDOT and the landowner over the certification of cleanup and eventual transfer of control over the Unocal/Chevron property.

2. Missing Pre-application information.

3. Comments/Questions:

4. Staff Comments: Please see the Application Review Report in the PRISM On-line Application Wizard for comments from your grant manager.

EARLY APPLICATION REVIEW AND SITE VISIT – LEAD ENTITY AND PROJECT SPONSOR RESPONSES

Directions: By the final application due date, applicants must revise their project proposals using “track changes” and update their PRISM applications and attachments, as needed, to respond to the review panel comments. In addition, please fill out the section at the end of the project proposal which asks how you responded to the review panel’s comments.



Special Note: To help speed the local and SRFB Review Panel evaluation process, if for any reason throughout the application review process you update your project proposal based on SRFB Review Panel comments please update your project proposal using WORD “track changes” and re-attach your proposal in PRISM. This step will save time and focus the reviewer on the changes.

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



POST APPLICATION – REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: 9/25/14

Review Panel Member(s) Name: Review Panel

Application Project Status: Clear

1. If the project is a POC, identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:
2. If the project is a POC, what changes would make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria?
3. If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:
4. How could this project be further improved?
5. Other comments:

The initial review comments have been adequately addressed.

POST APPLICATION – LEAD ENTITY AND PROJECT SPONSOR RESPONSES

Directions: All projects will be reviewed at the September 22-25 review panel meeting. A status will be assigned to each project by October 4, 2014. **By October 15**, applicants of projects assigned a status of Project of Concern, Conditioned, or Need More Information, must update their project proposals. Please "accept" all current track changes in the project proposal so you are starting with a clean proposal. Then please turn track changes back on when you make new changes. This step will save time and focus the reviewers on the changes.

In addition, please fill out the section at the end of the project proposal which asks how you responded to the review panel's comments.

FINAL REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: 9/25/2014

Panel Member(s) Name: Technical Review Panel

Final Project Status: Clear

1. If the project is a POC, please identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:
2. If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:
3. Other comments: