

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



Lead Entity:	San Juan County / WRIA 2
Project Number:	14-1888
Project Name:	Huntley Conservation Easement
Project Sponsor:	Friends of the San Juans
Grant Manager:	Mike Ramsey

Date		Status
Draft Application Review/Site Visit	6/5/14	Reviewed
Post Application		
Final	7/15/14	Clear
Early Application Status Option		
REVIEWED	SRFB Review Panel has reviewed and provided comments.	
Post-Application & Final Status Options		
NMI	Need More Information	
POC	Project of Concern	
CONDITIONED	SRFB Review Panel has applied conditions	
CLEAR	Project has been reviewed by SRFB Review Panel and is okay to continue in funding process	

PROJECT SUMMARY

This 34-acre property located on Waldron Island in San Juan County includes a large area of undeveloped natural shoreline, bordered on each side by private lands already protected by conservation easements. This is highest priority fish use area. This project proposes to eliminate 4 of 6 existing development rights and protect 2,525 feet of shoreline. Total project cost is \$337,000; SRFB ask is \$286,400.

DRAFT APPLICATION REVIEW AND SITE VISIT – REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: June 18, 2014

Panel Member(s) Name: Schlenger and Tyler

Early Project Status: ☒ Reviewed

Project Site Visit? ☒ Yes ☐ No

1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria.

In the final application, please describe the outcome of discussions with the landowner regarding the "building envelopes" within the easement area. Please update the parcel map to show the intended rectangular shape of the building envelopes which were described in the field as a means of pulling structures away from the shoreline. Has the landowner expressed an interest in relocating structures further from the shore? The parcel map should also include a legend to explain the meaning of the blue line that parallels the shore. In the proposal, please clarify what activities the conservation easement will restrict and what other land use rights are retained by the landowner, including road/trail easements to the shoreline.

2. Missing Pre-application information.

The preliminary application materials show different sizes to describe the acreage (34 vs 29). Please correct this in the final application.

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



Since the site visit gave only the offshore perspective and did not allow us to access the property, it would be helpful to know more clearly the extent of the acquisition and the condition of the vegetation. The inclusion of on-the-ground photos of the site would be helpful, including the natural features and existing structures/clearing on the property. If possible, additional photos looking onshore (and/or aerial oblique images from Bing for example) with mark ups to show the margins of the conservation easement property would also be helpful.

3. Comments/Questions:

The parcel contains a large section of intact exceptional feeder bluff which creates and sustains shoreline habitats throughout the several mile-long drift cell. The conservation easements on the adjacent properties on either side of the proposed project add terrific value by the creation of a contiguous block of protected shoreline.

4. Staff Comments:

EARLY APPLICATION REVIEW AND SITE VISIT – LEAD ENTITY AND PROJECT SPONSOR RESPONSES

Directions: By the final application due date, applicants must revise their project proposals using “track changes” and update their PRISM applications and attachments, as needed, to respond to the review panel comments. In addition, please fill out the section at the end of the project proposal which asks how you responded to the review panel’s comments.



Special Note: To help speed the local and SRFB Review Panel evaluation process, if for any reason throughout the application review process you update your project proposal based on SRFB Review Panel comments please update your project proposal using WORD “track changes” and re-attach your proposal in PRISM. This step will save time and focus the reviewer on the changes.

POST APPLICATION – REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date:

Review Panel Member(s) Name:

Application Project Status: None

1. If the project is a POC, identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:
2. If the project is a POC, what changes would make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB’s criteria?
3. If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:
4. How could this project be further improved?
5. Other comments:

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Individual Comment Form



POST APPLICATION – LEAD ENTITY AND PROJECT SPONSOR RESPONSES

Directions: All projects will be reviewed at the September 22-25 review panel meeting. A status will be assigned to each project by October 4, 2014. **By October 15**, applicants of projects assigned a status of Project of Concern, Conditioned, or Need More Information, must update their project proposals. Please “accept” all current track changes in the project proposal so you are starting with a clean proposal. Then please turn track changes back on when you make new changes. This step will save time and focus the reviewers on the changes.

In addition, please fill out the section at the end of the project proposal which asks how you responded to the review panel’s comments.

FINAL REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: July 14, 2014

Panel Member(s) Name: Full Review Panel

Final Project Status: Clear

1. If the project is a POC, please identify the SRFB criteria used to determine the status of the project:
2. If the project is Conditioned, the following language will be added to the project agreement:
3. Other comments:

Sponsor addressed the Review Panel comments.