

AMENDMENT APPROVAL FORM

	Date	Initials
Grant Mgr:	11/28/12	DL
Section Mgr:	11/15/13	AA
Fiscal:		
Deputy:	1/16/13	STR
Director:		

Project #: 09-1461 Restoration
 Amendment #: 1 - Time Extension
 Project Title: Tepee Creek Restoration - Phase 2 Construction
 Project Sponsor: Yakama Nation

AMENDMENT DESCRIPTION:

The project period of 12/10/2009 to 03/31/2013 is extended to allow the contracting party until 03/01/2014 to complete the project.

PROJECT SUMMARY:

SPB - Fed.

Board Funded Date: 12/10/2009	Prior Time Extensions: 0	RCO Amount: \$382,610.00
Project Start Date: 12/10/2009	Other External Amendments: 0	Sponsor Match Amount: \$85,800.00
Original End Date: 03/31/2013	Sponsor Active Projects: 5	Total: \$468,410.00
Current End Date: 03/31/2013	Sponsor Completed Projects: 17	RCO Paid To Date (0%): — \$0.00
	Sponsor Not Completed Projects: 1	RCO Remaining (100%): \$382,610.00
Last Progress Report:	Sponsor Dead Projects: 3	Last Billing Date: 08/23/2010

PROJECT AGREEMENT DESCRIPTION:

Billing Submitted.

Tepee Creek, a tributary to White Creek in the Klickitat River subbasin, provides important spawning and rearing habitat for ESA-listed Middle Columbia River steelhead. The White Creek watershed as a whole is the most important steelhead spawning and rearing tributary watershed within the Klickitat subbasin, accounting for up to 40% of the observed steelhead spawning in the entire Klickitat subbasin in some years. Tepee Creek has accounted for up to 21% of the observed spawning in the Klickitat subbasin in recent years, however in most years it likely accounts for between 5 and 10%. Extensive reaches of Tepee Creek have become incised and are now intermittent in many places that anecdotal evidence suggests were once perennial. Flood plain connectivity will be reestablished between river miles 4.5 to 5.3 with the ultimate goal of increasing floodplain storage, reducing severity of active channel hydraulic conditions during high flows, enhancing instream habitat, and potentially restoring baseflows to this and downstream reaches. In order to restore overbank flow frequency to the pre-disturbance floodplain, gravels will be imported and pool-riffle sequences will be constructed. In addition, LWD jams will be constructed along channel margins to maintain pool depths, provide cover, and restrict bank erosion. The approach to be used has been successfully implemented as part the Tepee Creek - IXL Meadows Restoration Project (constructed in 2006 and 2007).

Project timeline: July 15, 2010 - November 15, 2011

PROJECT FUNDING (CURRENT):

Bien	Fund	Appn	Reapp Ind	Orig Bien	Orig Appn	Grant	Activity	SubActiv	SO	Amount
11-13	001	Q53	Reapp	09-11	Q53	NMFS 2009	PROJ	FED	NZ	\$232,610.00
11-13	001	Q53	New			NMFS 2008	PROJ	FED	NZ	\$150,000.00

QUESTIONS:

	Yes	No	N/A	Other (see notes)
Is the amendment request consistent with the original project intent?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Did the sponsor provide adequate justification for the proposed change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Did the sponsor exhaust all practical alternatives before requesting the amendment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the current project meeting its milestone obligations to RCO?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the current project meeting its billing obligations to RCO?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	Yes	No	N/A	Other (see notes)
Did the sponsor have little control over the condition causing the amendment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the sponsor have a good track record of implementing projects?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Will the proposed change help implement the project faster?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the project change a result of a design and /or permitting requirement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Will this action cause funding to be re-appropriated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is staff recommending approval of this amendment request?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the Lead Entity support the proposed change and is the documentation provided (letter or e-mail)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the proposed change technically sound? Has the Review Panel reviewed the change? If so, have their comments been incorporated into the request (i.e. conditioning)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

NOTES AND ANALYSIS:

This project is 50% or more complete but the sponsor who is an excellent project manager asked if he could postpone construction due to bad weather. Heavy rain saturated soils & gravels & made construction difficult. See attached e-mail from sponsor.