Appendix L Hazardous Substances Certification

The **San Juan Preservation Trust**, as the recipient of funding assistance specified in RCO Project Agreement # **13-1354A** titled **Reid Harbor Conservation Easement** does hereby give assurance that it does not know and has no reason to know that any hazardous substance, the release or threatened release of which has resulted in or contributed to the need for remedial action, was released or disposed of, in or at the N. 5 to Preserve property or properties that are included in the above-referenced Project Agreement. The **San Juan Preservation Trust** further warrants that it has obtained representations and warranties concerning the environmental condition of the property from the seller and has inspected the property to the scope and extent described in the attached (check applicable):

- Environmental Site Assessments per American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards
- All Appropriate Inquiries per U.S. Environmental Protection Agency standards, or RCO Property Assessment Checklist [provided in Appendix M].

	mellin
Sign	nature of Project Sponsor
	Executive Director, San Juan Preservation Trus-
Title	
	December 23, 2013

Date

Appendix M Property Assessment Checklist

Property Assessment Checklist for Hazardous Substances Certification				
Date: 12/19/13 Property Name: North 5 for Preserve				
Legal Description: Co. Parcel #				
Street Address North Star Ranch Strart Island				
I. Land Use - Subject Property	II. Land Use - Adjacent Properties III. Public Records			
Use Yes No Unk	Use Yes No Unk	List/Agency Action Yes No Unk		
Commercial/industrial () () () Dryland agriculture () (/ () Forest (/) () () Grazing () (/ () Irrigated agriculture () (/ () Residential (/) () () Vacant undeveloped () () () Other () () () Comment:	Commercial/industrial Dryland agriculture Forest Grazing Irrigated agriculture Residential Vacant undeveloped Other Comment:	Federal superfund () () () Ecology haz sites list () () () Ecology leaking UST list () () () Ecology UST list () () () Ecology enforcement () () () County dumps/landfills () () () County enforcement () () () Fire district response () () ()		
IV. Field Inspection				
Risk Indicators Yes No Unk	Risk Indicators Yes No Uni	Risk Indicators Yes No Unk		
Abandoned equipment Above ground tanks ()(()() Attractive nuisances/hazards ()()()() Buildings ()()()() Fiberglass ()()()() Hazardous condition ()()()() Lead ()()()() Urea formaldehyde Burn piles Chemical filling areas ()()()() Comment (Note: attach additional comments, ma	Chemical spills Chemigation/fertigation Discolored soils Distressed vegetation Electrical equipment Excavations/fills Flood hazard Foundations Garbage dumps Hazmat use, storage, dumping Manholes. fill pipes. drains p and photographs):	Off site water discharge Oil used for dust control Piles of "unknowns" Pilings, docks, wharfs Pits, ponds, lagoons Railroads Under ground tanks Unstable soils/slopes Water sheens Wells Other		
V. Conclusion and Recommendation				
No Apparent Risk There is no apparent liability to the department, or it presents an acceptable level of risk, as explained below. () Low Level of Risk that can be Mitigated There is a risk level that can be avoided if certain actions are taken or conditions met, as explained below. () Risk needs Further Investigation There is a potential or known risk that needs a Phase 1 environmental assessment completed, as explained below. () High Risk There is a potential or known risk that outweighs benefit to the department, as explained below. Rationale: I reviewed the following documents/undertook the following actions in arriving at the above conclusion: Aerial photographs; Title search; () Public Records; () Personal interview (who?) Bob Gilliam ; () Site inspection; () Other Comment:				
pilectal of Stanardship				
Signed On Title Date 12/19/13				