

Dr. Nicolaas Bouwes

Dr. Bouwes has a strong foundation in biometric and data analyses, modeling, experimental and monitoring design, fisheries research and aquatic ecology and has detailed knowledge of the salmon, steelhead, and bull trout issues in the Columbia River Basin. Nick is the owner of Eco Logical Research, Inc. Nick is also an adjunct professor at the Watershed Sciences Department, Utah State University, Logan UT. Projects he is currently working on include: Asotin Creek Intensively Monitored Watershed Project in southeast Washington and the Integrated Status and Effectiveness Monitoring Program to develop standardized status, trend, and effectiveness monitoring programs for salmon and steelhead in the Columbia River Basin. Other relevant projects he has worked on include Collaborative Systemwide Monitoring and Evaluation Program to review information needs and development of monitoring and analyses for salmon and steelhead populations of the Columbia River Basin; technical review and validation of EDT and the KlamRAS models used in the FERC relicensing process of the Klamath River hydrosystem, and the Comparative Survival Study to compare steelhead and salmon smolt and adult survival rates across different regions and hydrosystem experiences. Nick was previously employed first as a fish population analyst and then as a biometrician/modeler for ODFW on regional issues related to the salmon and steelhead management in the Columbia River Basin. His project involvement included PATH, which was a multi-agency evaluation of the impacts of alternative management actions on survival and recovery of listed salmon and steelhead stocks in the Columbia River Basin. He also worked on the NMFS Technical Recovery Team to determine recovery goals and assessing risk to endangered salmonids of Lower Columbia/Willamette. Nick and employees from ELR recently completed a draft stream habitat monitoring protocol review and methods development for NOAA and Bonneville Power that will be used as the foundation of stream habitat monitoring in the throughout the Columbia River basin as part of the BiOP salmon and steelhead recovery process (Bouwes et al. 2011). Nick received a BS in zoology from the University of WI, Madison, and a MS and PhD in aquatic ecology from Utah State University, Logan UT.

Dr. Stephen N. Bennett

Dr. Bennett has been working for Eco Logical Research, Inc. since 2007 as the project coordinator of the Asotin Creek Intensively Monitored Watershed Project in southeast Washington. Stephen has also worked to aid in the development and assessment of regional salmonid monitoring programs and has been working as a Post Doctoral researcher with Dr. Brett Roper of the USDA Forest Service, Fish and Aquatic Ecology Unit. Stephen's Post Doctoral research has focused on writing a National Forest Fish Inventory and Monitoring Manual for the Forest Service involving a comprehensive review of the statistical design and analyses of fish abundance data. Stephen also co-authored a paper with Dr. Roper comparing the effectiveness of common stream habitat monitoring protocols (e.g. AREMP, PIBO, EMAP, ODFW, etc.) using a variety of measures of precision and estimating minimum sample size requirements to detect change (Roper et al. 2010). Stephen recently completed a PhD in Fisheries Biology in 2007 at the Watershed Sciences Department at Utah State University, Logan, Utah. Stephen's dissertation focused on invasion ecology and issues related to hybridization between native cutthroat trout and introduced rainbow trout. Prior to starting his PhD Stephen was a biological consultant for 12 years working on a variety of fisheries issues including fish inventory, fish passage assessment, watershed

analysis, habitat monitoring, impact assessments, and salmonid enhancement projects. Stephen also has a Masters in Resource and Environmental Management (M.R.M.) from Simon Fraser University, Canada, and a Wildlife Biology (B.Sc. Honors), University of Montana.

Dr. Joseph Wheaton

Dr. Wheaton is an Assistant Professor at Utah State University and a fluvial geomorphologist with over a decade of experience in river restoration, including working with beaver in restoration. Joe runs the Ecogeomorphology & Topographic Analysis Lab at Utah State University and is a leader in the monitoring and modeling of riverine habitats and watersheds. He has worked to develop monitoring protocols for the USFS, NOAA, USGS and National Park Service and he and his lab have produced software for monitoring applications and simulation modeling. He is the co-director of the Intermountain Center for River Rehabilitation & Restoration. He worked four years in consulting engineering before completing his B.S. in Hydrology (2003, UC Davis), M.S. and Ph.D. in Hydrologic Sciences (2003, UC Davis; 2008, U. of Southampton, UK). He has worked as a lecturer (U. of Wales 2006-08), Research Assistant Professor (Idaho State U. 2008-09) and is an Assistant Professor at Utah State U. (2009-present) where he teaches courses on GIS, Fluvial Hydraulics and Ecohydraulics as well as workshops on 'Restoration Monitoring: Geomorphic Change Detection', 'Partnering with Beaver in Restoration Design', and 'Geomorphology and Sediment Transport in Channel Design'. Projects he is currently working on include: Asotin Creek Intensively Monitored Watershed Project in southeast Washington, Intercomparing Monitoring Methods in the Lemhi Watershed of Idaho for the Integrated Status and Effectiveness Monitoring Program, Bridge Creek Intensively Monitored Watershed restoration project in Central Oregon, developing a Big River Monitoring Protocol for the National Park Service, working on sediment budgeting in the Grand Canyon with the USGS Grand Canyon Monitoring & Research Center.

Dr. Mary Conner

Dr. Conner is a population ecologist with an emphasis in biostatistics and the analysis of large and often messy data sets. Mary has extensive experience in inference methods for mark-reencounter (i.e., mark-recapture, mark-resight) data, and a strong background in the use of stochastic population projection modeling, meta-analyses of demographic data, simulation experiments to design or assess population monitoring programs, and application of information theoretic methods to management experiments with a focus on multi-model inference. In addition, Mary's Post Doctoral research included analysis of spatial and temporal epidemiology of chronic wasting disease. Mary has worked for academic and government agencies on a variety of projects; recent projects include developing a stochastic population model to assess the relative contribution of competition and disease to low population growth rates in a native cutthroat trout population, designing a meta-analyses to assess forest management strategies on California spotted owl demographics, developing a stochastic population model to assess impacts of disease and management interventions on endangered Sierra Nevada bighorn sheep, conducting a simulation experiment to compare precision and bias of Cormac-Jolly-Seber and Barker mark-resight models when data is collected by passive instream antennae, and conducting a simulation experiment to compare estimates of population growth rate from Pradel and occupancy models for a territorial species. The overarching goal of her work is to enable managers to evaluate effects of management actions or inaction in the face of temporal and/or spatial environmental variation. Mary is an adjunct professor in the Watershed Sciences and Wildland Resource Sciences Departments at Utah State

University. She received her BS in Agricultural Engineering from California Polytechnic State University, a MS in Wildland Resource Science from University of California, Berkeley, and a PhD in Wildlife Biology from Colorado State University.

Nadine Trahan

Nadine Trahan recently joined Eco Logical Research as GIS / Remote Sensing Analyst. She is implementing a process driven approach to the geomorphic classification of Columbia River Basin streams upon which to base geo-spatial data organization, analysis and results to support ELR's monitoring and assessment of salmonid habitat. Nadine has over 10 years experience in applying GIS and remote sensing technologies to interdisciplinary river research. Her research has focused on placing water quality assessment, macro-invertebrate indices and salmonid distributions into biophysical contexts via implementation of a geomorphic classification system, i.e., the River Styles Framework developed by Dr. Gary Brierley, (www.riverstyles.com). She has significant experience in GIS based watershed modeling associated with water quality, sediment and biological monitoring to support TMDL and BMP implementation. She has also spent several years researching remote sensing applications in extracting various parameters describing river systems, including the distribution of submerged aquatic vegetation (hyper-spectral imagery) in the St. Johns River, FL, topographic classification (Lidar) and wetland loss (multi-temporal Landsat) in the Mississippi River Delta, LA. Nadine received a Master's of Science degree in Environmental Science from the University of Auckland, New Zealand, where she spent two years working as research assistant in fluvial geomorphology to Dr. Gary Brierley. She co-authored a paper with Dr. Brierley focused on using geomorphic principles to frame eco-hydrological assessments of river condition (Brierley et al. 2010). Nadine also has a BA in Geography from Massey University, New Zealand.

B. Experience of the Consultant

1. Within Asotin Creek Watershed and Monitoring Protocols

Eco Logical Research, Inc. (ELR) is uniquely qualified to implement the Asotin IMW design as outlined in the RFP for several reasons. First and foremost, ELR helped coordinate the selection of Asotin Creek as a location for an IMW in southeast Washington and then developed the experimental and monitoring design (Bennett and Bouwes 2009) and implemented four years of pre-treatment monitoring which included the design and installation of PIT tag antenna arrays in key locations within the study area (Bennett et al. 2010). Second, Eco Logical Research, Inc. also has experience and training in stock assessment, biometric and data analyses, modeling, experimental and monitoring design and implementation, fisheries research and aquatic ecology and has detailed knowledge of the salmon, steelhead, and bull trout issues in the Columbia River and Klamath River basins. In addition, ELR has particular specialized experience with the on-going development of the Northwest Fisheries Science Center's (NWFS) Integrated Status and Effectiveness Monitoring Program (ISEMP) in the Wenatchee, Salmon, and John Day River basins. ELR is heavily involved in ISEMP and in the development of the IMWs portion of that program. Currently, ELR is involved in designing experimental and sampling programs for the John Day Basin, the Bridge Creek IMW (in the John Day), the Middle Fork John Day IMW, the Entiat IMW and the Lemhi IMW. Eco Logical Research, Inc. has also functioned as the ISEMP

John Day Pilot Project coordinator. As coordinator ELR summarized and synthesized current research and monitoring, collaborated with researchers and managers, and participated in the building and deployment of instream PIT tagged detectors, snorkel, seining, shocking, redd surveys, and habitat surveys.

2. Other Relevant Experience

Other related projects of ELR has participated in include: the Collaborative Systemwide Monitoring and Evaluation Project (CSMEP), administered through the Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority, that is working collaboratively with state, federal, and tribal fisheries agencies to review and develop status and effectiveness monitoring programs (including the development of an effectiveness monitoring program for the Lemhi IMW) addressing NOAA and USFWS Biological Opinions and Recovery Plans and the Northwest Power Planning Councils' Fish and Wildlife Program throughout the Columbia River Basin; providing analytical support to the US Forest Service Pacfish/Infish Biological Opinion (PIBO) Effectiveness Monitoring Project to determine the quality of their monitoring protocols, whether monitoring data can distinguish impacts to streams due to different management actions in the Columbia River Basin, and provide review and recommendations of associated fish monitoring protocols; the Comparative Survival Study, a collaborative project of state, federal, and tribal fisheries agencies, administered by the Fish Passage Center, that has monitored survival over different life-stages of spring/summer Chinook with different migrational experiences through the Columbia River hydropower system through the use of PIT-tags; review of the Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment (EDT) model and KlamRAS model in assessing anadromous species population responses to current habitat conditions and different management alternatives evaluated in the FERC relicensing of Pacific Corps hydroelectric projects in the Klamath River; and development of paired watershed experiment (an IMW approach) in Boulder Creek, UT, to look at the impacts of incremental impacts of water augmentation and non-native fish removal on the performance of the Colorado Cutthroat trout, considered a sensitive and conservation species, and are currently manage under a Conservation Agreement among resource agencies.

Given the level of involvement ELR has with other IMWs, ELR's development of the proposed IMW would help insure consistency with other IMWs in the region, would build off the experience in designing these other IMWs, would allow for access to infrastructure produced by ISEMP (e.g. databases, analytical tools, etc.), and would build on the network of collaborators in the region in a consistent manner. See Appendix 2 for selected report and publications.

C. Related Information

1. Eco Logical Research Inc. has worked on two contracts for the state of Washington in the past 24 months. Both contracts were part of the Asotin IMW. Both contracts were with the Walla Walla Community College and the Snake River Salmon Recovery Board:

Contract Number and Title:	09-003; Intensively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation
----------------------------	--

Intensively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation in Asotin Watershed: Proposal

Contract Description: Implement the Asotin IMW Experimental and Monitoring Design in Charley, North Fork, and South Fork Creeks in the Upper Asotin Watershed.

Contract Monitor: Gary Boone
Contract Agency and contact information: Walla Walla Community College,
500 Tausick Way, Walla Walla, WA 99362
Phone: 509-527-4280, Fax: 509 527-4533

Contract Number and Title: 10-004; Intensively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation

Contract Description: Implement the Asotin IMW Experimental and Monitoring Design in Charley, North Fork, and South Fork Creeks in the Upper Asotin Watershed.

Contract Monitor: Gary Boone
Contract Agency and contact information: Walla Walla Community College,
500 Tausick Way, Walla Walla, WA 99362
Phone: 509-527-4280, Fax: 509 527-4533

2. Reid Camp may be hired as a field technician if we are the successful applicants for the IMW implementation. Reid worked for the Washington State Fish and Wildlife Office in Clarkston, WA as a field technician in the spring of 2010 and at the same time helped ELR monitor PIT tag antennas in Asotin Creek.

3. No ELR contracts have been terminated in the last five years.

4. No termination of a contract for default has been experienced by Eco Logical Research Inc. within the last five years of the submission of this proposal.

D. OMWBE

Eco Logical Research Inc. is not certified minority owned.

COST PROPOSAL

We understand that the budget for the Asotin IMW will vary annually depending on available State and Federal funds. We have developed numerous budgets for the IMW implementation based on funding availability and in this cost proposal we provide our charge out rates for each staff member and all equipment costs/rentals based on the previous years contracts (Appendix 3a and 3b). We also provide and *estimate* what the annual costs of full implementation on the IMW design based on our four years of experience (Appendix 4). We propose that these estimates should be reviewed each year and that future budgets should be based on the funds available, schedule of the IMW design, and current status of the monitoring infrastructure (e.g., arrays, temperature loggers, etc.). We will work with the contract monitor and RTT to tailor each years work based on the available funds and the priorities of the IMW. We have also outlined value added work we can provide.

A. Hourly Rates, Field Costs, and Annual Budget

Please refer to Appendix 3a for a break-down of our charge out rates for personnel and crew field rates and 3b for one time and annual equipment costs. In Appendix 4 we provide an estimate of a detailed budget for the period of November 1, 2011 to October 30, 2012 for implementing the IMW design and reporting the results of data collection activities. All costs and expenses will be based on cost recovery and therefore, any cost savings on equipment or wages will be used for other aspects of the project after approval of the contract monitor and the RTT.

B. Value Added Work

In addition to the proposed Technical Proposal we have outlined, ELR will provide following value added work as part of our proposal:

Foraging model development: we currently have a graduate student working on the net energy intake of steelhead to evaluate response of proposed Asotin restoration actions. The addition of large wood in the study streams is expected to change the stream from high gradient plane-bed, to a step-pool system that should provide refugia to high velocity currents and reduce energy cost of steelhead. We are testing a foraging model that assess energy intake and losses, which we believe will help identify causal mechanisms of fish response to the proposed IMW treatments. The student will be using underwater video recorders and snorkel surveys to record fish behavior in different habitat types pre- and post-treatment.

Statistical Modeling: ELR is currently working with a statistician to run complex simulations of the IMW design to determine statistical power and better understand the potential to detect treatment effects. The statistician is one of the few people to have published literature on the effectiveness of staircase designs (employed in the Asotin IMW) and we hope to publish peer reviewed journal articles on the effectiveness of the IMW design and provide guidance for future IMW projects.

Aerial Photography: ELR is also developing expertise in aerial image acquisition and analysis and can provide these services at low cost for the Asotin Watershed because of our familiarity with the watershed and established control network. These data can be used to augment the change detection surveys we are currently implementing.

LITERATURE CITED

- ACCD. 1995. Asotin Creek model watershed plan. Prepared by the Landowner Steering Committee. Prepared for the Asotin County Conservation District.
- ACCD. 2004. Asotin subbasin plan. Prepared by the Asotin County Conservation District. Prepared for the Northwest Power and Conservation Council. Appendix B: Asotin subbasin plan aquatic assessment.

Intensively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation in Asotin Watershed: Proposal

- Bayley, P.B. 2002. A review of studies on response of salmon and trout to habitat change, with potential for application in the Pacific Northwest, Dept. Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University. Report to Washington State Independent Science Panel.
- Beechie, T. and S. Bolton. 1999. An approach to restoring salmonid habitat-forming processes in the Pacific Northwest watersheds. *Fisheries* 24(4):6-15.
- Bennett, S. et al. 2011a. Summary of monitoring results of the Asotin Intensively Monitored Watershed: 2008-2011. *In preparation*
- Bennett et al. 2011b. Restoration Implementation Plan for the Asotin Intensively Monitored Watershed. *In preparation.*
- Bennett, S., N. Bouwes, and E. Wall. 2010. Southeast Washington Intensively Monitored Watershed Project in Asotin Creek: Year Two Pretreatment Monitoring Annual Report. Submitted to State of Washington, Recreation and Conservation Office, Olympia, Washington.
- Bennett, S. and N. Bouwes. 2009. Asotin Creek Intensively Monitored Watershed: Final Design and Work Plan. Submitted to State of Washington Recreation and Conservation Office, Olympia, Washington.
- Bernhardt, E. S., M. A. Palmer, J. D. Allan, G. Alexander, K. Barnas, S. Brooks, J. Carr, S. Clayton, C. Dahm, J. Follstad-Shah, D. Galat, S. Gloss, P. Goodwin, D. Hart, B. Hassett, R. Jenkinson, S. Katz, G. M. Kondolf, P. S. Lake, R. Lave, J. L. Meyer, T. K. O'Donnell, L. Pagano, B. Powell, and E. Sudduth. 2005. Synthesizing U.S. river restoration efforts. *Science* 308(5722): 636-637.
- Bilby, R. E., W. J. Ehinger, C. Jordan, K. Krueger, M. McHenry, T. Quinn, G. Pess, D. Poon, D. Seiler, and G. Volkhardt. 2004. Evaluating watershed response to land management and restoration actions: intensively monitored watersheds (IMW) progress report. Prepared by the IMW Scientific Oversight Committee. Submitted to the Washington Salmon Recovery Funding Board.
- Bouwes, N., J. Moberg, N. Weber, B. Bouwes, C. Beasley, S. Bennett, A.C. Hill, C.E. Jordan, R. Miller, P. Nelle, M. Polino, S. Rentmeester, B. Semmens, C. Volk, M.B. Ward, G. Wathen, and J. White. 2011. Scientific protocol for salmonid habitat surveys within the Columbia Habitat Monitoring Program. Prepared by the Integrated Status and Effectiveness Monitoring Program and published by Terraqua, Inc., Wauconda, WA.
- Cooch, E. and D. White. 2010. Program MARK: A gentle introduction, Fifth Edition. College of Natural Resources, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO.
- Crawford, E., Schuck, M., and M. Herr. 2011. Assess salmonids in the Asotin Creek watershed: 2010 Annual Report. Prepared for US Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Environment, Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. Prepared by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Fish Program, Science Division, Hatchery/Wild Interactions Unit, Clarkston, WA.

- Fullerton, A. H., E. A. Steel, I. Lange, and Y. Caras. 2010. Effects of Spatial Pattern and Economic Uncertainties on Freshwater Habitat Restoration Planning: A Simulation Exercise. *Restoration Ecology* 18:354-369.
- Heitke, J. D., E. K. Archer, and B. B. Roper. 2010. Effectiveness monitoring for streams and riparian areas: sampling protocol for stream channel attributes. Unpublished paper on file at: <http://www.fs.fed.us/biology/fishecology/emp>.
- Horton, G. E., B. H. Letcher, M. M. Bailey, and M. T. Kinnison. 2009. Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) smolt production: the relative importance of survival and body growth. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 66:471-483.
- Krebs, C. J. 1999. *Ecological methodology: second edition*. Benjamin /Cummings, Menlo Park, CA.
- Loughin, T. M., M. P. Roediger, G. A. Milliken, and J. P. Schmidt. 2007. On the analysis of long-term experiments. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)* 170:29-42.
- Jones, A. F., P. A. Brewer, E. Johnstone, and M. G. Macklin. 2007. High-resolution interpretative geomorphological mapping of river valley environments using airborne LiDAR data. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 32(10):1574-1592.
- Leary, R. J., and P. Ebertowski. 2010. Effectiveness monitoring for streams and riparian areas: sampling protocol for vegetation parameters. Unpublished paper on file at: <http://www.fs.fed.us/biology/fishecology/emp>.
- Marcus, W. A. and M. A. Fonstad. 2008. Optical remote mapping of rivers at sub-meter resolutions and watershed extents. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 33:4-24.
- Mayer, K., M. Schuck, and P. Iversen. 2009. Assess salmonids in the Asotin Creek Watershed: 2009 Annual Report. Prepared for US Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Environment, Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. Prepared by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Fish Program, Science Division, Hatchery/Wild Interactions Unit, Clarkston, WA.
- Montgomery, D. R. and J. M. Buffington. 1997. Channel-reach morphology in mountain drainage basins. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 109:596-611.
- PNAMP. 2005. Establishing a Network of intensively monitored watersheds in the Pacific Northwest. Available: July 2006
http://www.pnamp.org/web/workgroups/WM/documents/General/2005_0405IMWPlan.doc
- Roni, P., G. Pess, T. Beechie, and S. Morley. 2010. Estimating Changes in Coho Salmon and Steelhead Abundance from Watershed Restoration: How Much Restoration Is Needed to Measurably Increase Smolt Production? *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 30:1469-1484.

- Roni, P., K. Hanson, and T. Beechie. 2008. Global review of physical and biological effectiveness of stream habitat rehabilitation techniques. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 28:856-890.
- Sogard, S. M., T. H. Williams, and H. Fish. 2009. Seasonal Patterns of Abundance, Growth, and Site Fidelity of Juvenile Steelhead in a Small Coastal California Stream. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 138:549-563.
- Snake River Salmon Recovery Board (SRSRB). 2006. Snake River salmon recovery plan for SE Washington. Prepared by Snake River Salmon Recovery Board for the Washington Governor's Salmon Recovery Office.
- Walters, C. J., J. S. Collie, and T. Webb. 1988. Experimental designs for estimating transient responses to management disturbances. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 45:530-538.

Appendix 1. Proposed Annual Work Plan for the Asotin Creek Intensively Monitored Watershed Implementation Project (November 1, 2011 to October 30, 2019). See Appendices 3 and 4 for the schedule, charge-out rates, and budget respectively.

Work Item	Description and Rational	Period	
		Start Date	End Date
Project Management			
Asotin IMW manager	Management of overall project goals including coordination with ISEMP, synthesis of data, and interpretation of results	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Asotin IMW Coordinator	Coordination with WDFW, RTT, SRSRB, landowners, and interested parties with all aspects of IMW, as well as implementation and refinement of the IMW design, and coordination of all restoration activities.	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Field Biologist Monitoring	Management of all field data collection, processing, uploading, and QAQC	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Monitoring			
Monitoring Management	IMW Manager and Coordinator to visit field site regularly to train crews, coordinate monitoring activities, QA/QC field crews, and coordinate with WDFW crew members, develop and field test data logger applications and databases versions	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Field Biologist Monitoring	Direct supervision of field technicians and planning of daily field activities, conduct redd counts and GPS locations throughout study streams	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Annual Fish capture and tagging	Annual fish capture and tagging in Charley, North Fork, and South Fork Creeks. Steelhead ≥ 70 mm are pit tagged during two days of mark and recapture at each site (12 sites total). Also includes mobile surveys time permitting.	1-Jun-12	30-Oct-12

Intensively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation in Asotin Watershed: Proposal

Annual Stream Habitat Surveys (CHaMP protocol)	Annual stream habitat surveys to measure habitat attributes and collect topographic survey data in Charley, North Fork, and South Fork Creeks.	1-Aug-12	30-Oct-12
Aerial Photography and LIDAR Surveys	Repeat surveys budget permitting to determine changes in riparian habitat and channel form. Expect to repeat every 3-4 years.	To be determined	To be determined
Equipment and Expenses			
Travel/Technical Meetings	Costs to travel to Dayton for presentations, technical meetings, and landowner negotiations for coordinator, manager, and support (hydrologist).	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Computing/Office (annual)	annual office supplies	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Field Camp (annual)	supplies and equipment to house crew, provide field office space, cook supplies, tents, etc.	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Habitat Supplies (annual)	purchase and replacement of annual habitat monitoring equipment such as survey pins, tape measures, invertebrate nets, velocity meters, total station equipment, etc.	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Invertebrate supplies (benthic and drift)	supplies for collecting and preserving samples	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Invertebrate processing (benthic and drift)	contracting of species identification	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Mobile Surveys (annual)	all mobile survey equipment has been purchased	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Per Diem Field	10/day to cover food expenses at field camp/person	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Seining/Tagging (annual)	purchase and replacement of annual fish monitoring equipment such as nets, buckets, tagging supplies, electroshocker parts, etc.	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Seining/Tagging (one time)	purchase of one time tagging supplies; PIT tags have been purchased through the end of 2012.	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Topographic Surveys (Rental)	Rental of total station setups, map grade GPS and video gear	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Utilities	power, phone lines, and internet at field house and arrays	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Vehicle	cost on one 4x4 truck and 4 ATV rentals	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Waders	annual cost of waders for field crews	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Misc. Rentals	to cover unexpected rentals required	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Misc. Supplies	to cover unexpected purchases	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12

In sively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation in Aso... Watershed: Proposal

Reporting and Synthesis			
IMW Manager	Annual Reporting, Data Management, and Data Analysis	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
IMW Coordinator	Annual Reporting, Data Management, and Data Analysis	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
IMW Field Biologist	Annual Reporting, Data Management, and Data Analysis	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Geofluvial Morphologist	To provide expertise and field support in restoration design, monitoring, assessment and data analysis and presentation	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Analyst	Detailed modeling and analyses of mark recapture data to determine changes in survival, fidelity, movement, and abundance and role of covariates	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
GIS Specialist	-Mapping and analysis of all geospatial data to explain fish movement and relationship between habitat units and restoration treatments	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
WDFW Cooperative Agreement			
Manager, Field Supervisor, 2 techs, support staff	Provide 2-3 months of monitoring support at IMW fish and habitat monitoring sites	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12
Contract Monitoring	Walla Walla Community College to provide contract monitoring	1-Nov-11	30-Oct-12

Appendix 2. Selected publications and reports by ELR personnel.

- Bennett, S. and Corbett. 1996. Level 1 Fish Habitat Assessment of Caribou and McMurdo Creek: A Watershed Restoration Project. Prepared for Forest Renewal BC.
- Bennett, S.N. and Roper, B.B. 2007. DRAFT: Fish inventory and monitoring technical guide for wadeable streams on National Forests. Gen. Tech. Rep. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service
- Budy, P., G. P. Thiede, N. Bouwes, C. Petrosky, H. Schaller. 2002. Evidence linking delayed mortality of Snake River salmon to their earlier hydrosystem experience. *North American Journal of Fish Management*. 22:35-51
- Bouwes, N., Weber, N., S. Bennett, J. Moberg, B. Bouwes, and C. Jordan. 2010. Tributary Habitat Monitoring at the Watershed or Population Scale: Preliminary Recommendations for Standardized Fish Habitat Monitoring in the Columbia River Basin. Prepared for NOAA-Fisheries and Bonneville Power Authority. Prepared by the Integrated Status and Effectiveness Monitoring Program1.
- Bouwes, N. ed. 2006. Integrated status and effectiveness monitoring John Day pilot program, 2005 annual report. Compiled and edited by Eco Logical Research, Inc. Providence, UT for NOAA Fisheries Service and the Bonneville Power Administration. 115 pp.
<http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/cbd/mathbio/index.cfm>
- Bouwes, N.. 2004. Analytical Framework and Study Plan Outline for the John Day Basin. Report compiled by Eco Logical Research, Providence, UT for the United States Bureau of Reclamation, Portland, OR. 92 pp.
- Kershner, J.L, B.B. Roper, N. Bouwes, R. Henderson, and E. Archer. 2004. An analysis of stream habitat conditions in reference and managed watersheds on some federal lands within the Columbia basin. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 24:1363-1375.
- Knight, C. and N. Bouwes. 2005. Shasta River Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment Model: Validation Analysis. Report compiled by California Trout, Shasta, CA and Eco Logical Research, Inc., Providence, UT for PacificCorps. 29 pp.
- Marmorek, D.R., M. Porter and D. Pickard (eds). 2006. Collaborative Systemwide Monitoring and Evaluation Project (CSMEP) – Year 3, Project No. 2003-036-00, Annual Report for FY 2006. Prepared by ESSA Technologies Ltd., Vancouver, B.C. on behalf of the Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority, Portland, OR. 126 pp. + appendices.

- McKean, J., D. Nagel, D. Tonina, P. Bailey, C. W. Wright, C. Bohn, and A. Nayegandhi. 2009. Remote Sensing of Channels and Riparian Zones with a Narrow-Beam Aquatic-Terrestrial LIDAR. *Remote Sensing* 1:1065-1096.
- Nelle, P., M. B. Ward, C. Beasley, N. Bouwes, C. E. Jordan, S. Rentmeester, C. Volk eds. 2006. A Review of the Integrated Status and Effectiveness Monitoring Program: 2003 – 2006. Prepared for the Bonneville Power Administration's Integrated Status and Effectiveness Monitoring Program. 238 pp.
- Pacific Northwest Aquatic Monitoring Partnership (PNAMP) & The Collaborative Systemwide Monitoring and Evaluation Project (CSMEP). 2006. Second Annual Research, Monitoring and Evaluation (RME) Workshop for Decision Makers, Program Managers, Scientists and Field Practitioners.
- Roper, B. B., J. M. Buffington, S. Bennett, S. H. Lanigan, E. Archer, S. T. Downie, J. Faustini, T. W. Hillman, S. Hubler, K. Jones, C. Jordan, P. R. Kaufmann, G. Merritt, C. Moyer, and A. Pleus. 2010. A Comparison of the Performance and Compatibility of Protocols Used by Seven Monitoring Groups to Measure Stream Habitat in the Pacific Northwest. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 30:565-587.
- Roper, B.B., J.L. Kershner, E. Archer, R. Henderson, and N. Bouwes. 2002. An evaluation of physical stream habitat attributes used to monitor streams. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 38(6): 1637-1646.
- Schaller, H., P. Wilson, S. Haeseker, C. Petrosky, E. Tinus, T. Dalton, R. Woodin, E. Weber, T. Berggren, J. McCann, S. Rassk, H. Franzoni, P. McHugh, N. Bouwes. 2007. Comparative Survival Study (CSS) of PIT-Tagged Spring/Summer Chinook and Steelhead of the Columbia River Basin: Ten-year Retrospective Analyses Report. Prepared for the Bonneville Power Administration.

Appendix 3a. Charge-out rates for all Eco Logical Research Inc. personnel. Rates include a 20% overhead and 29% fringe rate for most employees.

Name	Role	Hourly					Total
		Fringe	Indirect	Hourly	Fringe	+ Fringe	
N. Bouwes	Project Manager	0.24	0.20	69.54	16.69	86.23	103.48
S. Bennett	Project Coordinator	0.24	0.20	60.00	14.40	74.40	89.28
Bouwes/Bennett	Field Work	0.24	0.20	50.00	12.00	62.00	74.40
Dr Wheaton	Geofluvial Morphologist	0.25	0.20	39.71	9.93	49.64	59.57
Dr Conner	Analyst	0.25	0.20	39.71	9.93	49.64	59.57
Trahan	GIS Specialist	0.29	0.20	39.71	11.52	51.23	61.47
Camp	Field Biologist	0.29	0.20	19.16	5.56	24.72	29.66
To be named	Technician	0.29	0.20	14.00	4.06	18.06	21.67
To be named	Research Specialist	0.1	0.20	10.63	1.06	11.69	14.03
Crew1	2 Jr techs& 1 Res Specialist	0.29	0.20	38.63	11.20	49.83	59.80
Crew2	Field Bio & 2 Jr techs	0.29	0.20	47.16	13.68	60.84	73.00
Crew 3	2 Jr techs	0.29	0.20	28.00	8.12	36.12	43.34

Appendix 3b. Eco Logical Research Inc. equipment cost and rental rates for the Asotin IMW project.

Equipment/Utilities	Item	Unit Cost	Quantity	Total Cost to Buy or Rent	Equipment Life (Yrs)
Arrays	MUX	9,015	4	36,060	life of project
Arrays	antenna	1,000	20	20,000	life of project
Arrays	Support Equipment	1,000	20	20,000	life of project
Arrays	water level & temp transducer	1,000	4	4,000	life of project
Arrays	data loggers	1,500	20	30,000	life of project
Arrays	modems	350	4	1,400	life of project
Arrays	software	500	1	500	life of project
Arrays	electrical contract	20,000	1	20,000	life of project
Arrays	Total Annual				
Arrays	Total One-Time			131,960	
Computing/Office	Laptop	1,000	1	1,000	life of project
Computing/Office	Data logger	2,700	1	2,700	life of project
Computing/Office	laser printer	350	1	350	life of project
Computing/Office	Misc. USB Adapters/Splitters	150	1	150	life of project
Computing/Office	power cords, surge protectors	100	1	100	life of project
Computing/Office	Rite-in-rain notebooks	10	5	50	1
Computing/Office	Box Rite-in-rain printer paper	50	4	200	1
Computing/Office	Photocopying, printing, postage	250	1	250	1
Computing/Office	Field desks and chairs	200	1	200	life of project
Computing/Office	Thumb and external hard drives	125	1	125	life of project
Computing/Office	shoulder bag for laptop	30	1	30	life of project

Intensively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation in Asotin Watershed: Proposal

Computing/Office	Memory Cards (SD)	50	4	200	life of project
Computing/Office	Office Supplies	60	1	60	1
Computing/Office	Total Annual			560	
Computing/Office	Total One-Time			4,855	
Field camp (3-4 person crew)	chairs	10	3	30	life of project
Field camp	table	70	1	70	life of project
Field camp	propane stove	72	1	72	life of project
Field camp	propane tank	40	2	80	life of project
Field camp	coolers	25	2	50	life of project
Field camp	cots	80	3	240	life of project
Field camp	frame packs	150	3	450	life of project
Field camp	cook wear	100	1	100	life of project
Field camp	tents	150	3	450	1
Field camp	tool set	100	1	100	life of project
Field camp	68 quart storage totes	40	1	40	life of project
Field camp	Hand tools	150	1	150	life of project
Field camp	Tow Strap	20	1	20	life of project
Field camp	6 gallon reliance water jugs	40	1	40	life of project
Field camp	Bungee Chords	10	5	50	1
Field camp	Batteries, AA, AAA, C, D, 9v, Lithium	150	1	150	1
Field camp	First Aid Kits	150	2	300	life of project
Field camp	Tape, duct	5	3	15	1
Field camp	WD40	10	1	10	1
Field camp	zip ties	5	1	5	1
Field camp	2 gallon gas can	15	1	15	life of project
Field camp	Rags, shop	20	1	20	1
Field camp	Total Annual			700	
Field camp	Total One-Time			1,757	
Habitat	Small Depth Rods	30	3	90	1

Intensively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation in Aspinall Watershed: Proposal

Habitat	Large Depth Rods	30	2	60	1
Habitat	Compass	10	1	10	life of project
Habitat	Measuring Tape	28	3	83	life of project
Habitat	Hip chain	120	1	120	life of project
Habitat	Conductivity Meter	58	1	58	life of project
Habitat	Conductivity Solutions	50	1	50	1
Habitat	Alkalinity Test Kit	30	1	30	1
Habitat	Pool Tail Fines Grid	50	1	50	life of project
Habitat	Pool Tail Fines Viewer	5	1	5	life of project
Habitat	Clinometer	130	2	260	life of project
Habitat	Shovel	15	1	15	life of project
Habitat	Sieve	200	1	200	life of project
Habitat	Pebble Ruler	70	1	70	1
Habitat	Handheld GPS	240	2	480	life of project
Habitat	Solar Pathfinder	260	1	260	life of project
Habitat	Solar Pathfinder software	190	1	190	life of project
Habitat	Digital Camera	325	1	325	life of project
Habitat	Water temperature loggers	55	35	1,925	life of project
Habitat	Water temperature usb dock	150	1	150	life of project
Habitat	Air temperature loggers	38	15	570	life of project
Habitat	Air temperature usb dock	60	1	60	life of project
Habitat	Clip Boards	15	3	45	life of project
Habitat	Flags - stream	20	4	80	life of project
Habitat	SPOT	150	1	150	1
Habitat	Maps	10	1	10	life of project
Habitat	Action Packers	20	3	60	1
Habitat	Total Annual			520	life of project
Habitat	Total One-Time			4,885	
Invertebrates	Drift Nets	160	2	320	life of project
Invertebrates	Benthic Net	300	1	300	life of project
Invertebrates	Sample Jars	140	1	140	1

Intensively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation in Asotin Watershed: Proposal

Invertebrates	500 um Sieve	1	30	30	life of project
Invertebrates	Spray Bottle	1	10	10	life of project
Invertebrates	Ethanol	1	40	40	1
Invertebrates	Flow Velocity Meter	1	800	800	life of project
Invertebrates	tweezers	10	2	20	life of project
Invertebrates	Total Annual			180	
Invertebrates	Total One-Time			1,480	
Invertebrates	Processing per sample			150	annual
Mobile Surveys	Back pack	60	3	180	life of project
Mobile Surveys	Button GPS	39	3	117	life of project
Mobile Surveys	Mobile wand	430	3	1,290	life of project
Mobile Surveys	Pole assembly	75	4	300	life of project
Mobile Surveys	FS2001 Tag Reader tuner	160	3	480	life of project
Mobile Surveys	f2001 Pittag Readers	3,000	3	9,000	life of project
Mobile Surveys	Total Annual				
Mobile Surveys	Total One-Time			11,367	
Seining PIT Tagging	Electrofishing Dipnets	60	6	360	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Power sonic sealed lead acid batteries	75	3	225	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Electrofishing electrode poles	225	4	900	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Electrode pole Rings (5)	40	3	120	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Multi Meter (AC/DC)	15	1	15	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Samus Electrofishers	1,000	2	2,000	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Pocket thermometers	13	4	50	1
Seining PIT Tagging	DNA sample vials	0	100	28	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Variable dispenser bottles	150	1	150	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Case of DNA storage boxes	100	1	100	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Neoprene Socks	30	4	120	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Neoprene Gloves	55	4	220	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Wader repair supplies	50	1	50	1

Ir. sively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation in Asoua Watershed: Proposal

Seining PIT Tagging	Daypacks	75	2	150	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	External pack frames	150	1	150	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Carbineers	10	6	60	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Conductivity meter	100	1	100	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	3 gallon collapsible bucket	30	1	30	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Clipboards	25	2	50	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Maps (Forest/Topo)	120	1	120	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Field utility boxes	35	1	35	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	DC 400 inverter	60	1	60	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	rock bar	40	1	40	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Seines/Blocknets	250	4	1,000	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	repair kits for nets	25	1	25	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Nylon Rope	50	1	50	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Cable wire	5	1	5	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Utility straps	10	10	100	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Rebar	2	10	20	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Lockable, waterproof Streamside Boxes (Ammo Cans)	70	1	70	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	scale card containers Tupperware	5	2	10	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	aluminum site marking tags	100	1	100	1
Seining PIT Tagging	50 meter fiberglass measuring tape	50	1	50	1
Seining PIT Tagging	100 meter fiberglass measuring tape	75	1	75	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Flagging Tape	5	1	5	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Digital Camera	200	1	200	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Tagging Needles	2	500	1,000	1
Seining PIT Tagging	PIT tags	2	5000	11,600	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Anesthetic	25	2	50	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Airstones for bubblers	15	6	90	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Aquarium Nets	2	5	10	1
Seining PIT Tagging	Misc. Nalgene Bottles	100	1	100	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Scales w/usb adapters	250	2	500	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Injector supplies	150	1	150	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	100 Round shotgun shell cases (Injector Rack)	40	1	40	life of project

Intensively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation in Asotin Watershed: Proposal

Seining PIT Tagging	Aerators	40	4	160	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	table top antenna	280	1	280	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	tagging table/case	50	1	50	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Measuring Boards	30	2	60	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	f2001 Pittag Readers	3,000	3	9,000	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Distilled H2O	15	1	15	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Buckets 5-gallon	5	15	75	life of project
Seining PIT Tagging	Total Annual			2,178	
Seining PIT Tagging	Total One-Time			27,845	

Topographic Surveying and Video	Total Station setup	200	20	4,000	1
Topographic Surveying and Video	Map Grade GPS	75	20	1,500	1
Topographic Surveying and Video	Under Water Video Camera	50	20	1,000	1
Topographic Surveying and Video	Total Annual			6,500	
Topographic Surveying and Video	Total One-Time				

Utilities	Phone lines x 4	125	12	1,500	1
Utilities	Internet x 1	60	12	720	1
Utilities	Power x 4	60	12	720	1
Utilities	Total Annual			2,940	

Vehicles	4x4 truck	1,041	10	10,410	1
Vehicles	ATV	600	4	2,400	1
Vehicles	Total Annual			12,810	

Waders	waders	120	3	360	1
--------	--------	-----	---	-----	---

Waders	wading boots	95	3	285	1
Waders	Total Annual			645	

Appendix 4. Anticipated annual budget for the Asotin Intensively Monitored Watershed Project. * Note annual costs may vary depending on the IMW design schedule, available funds, and infrastructure needs.

Item	Unit Type	Unit Cost	Fringe	Indirect	Units	Base Total	Fringe Total	Indirect Total	Estimated
Personnel									
Coordination/Monitoring Fish & Habitat/Data Analysis and Reporting									
Project Manager	Office Hr	69.54	16.69	17.25	120	8,345	2,003	2,070	subtotal 12,417
Project Manager	Field Hr	50.00	12.00	12.40	40	2,000	480	496	2,976
Project Coordinator	Office Hr	60.00	14.40	14.88	1,080	64,800	15,552	16,070	96,422
Project Coordinator	Field Hr	50.00	12.00	12.40	80	4,000	960	992	5,952
Geofluvial Morphologist	Hour	39.71	9.93	9.93	120	4,765	1,191	1,191	7,148
Analyst	Hour	39.71	9.93	9.93	120	4,765	1,191	1,191	7,148
GIS Specialist	Hour	39.71	11.52	10.25	120	4,765	1,382	1,229	7,377
Field Biologist	Hour	19.16	5.56	4.94	2,088	40,006	11,602	10,322	61,929
Field Crew (2 technicians)	Hour	28.00	8.12	7.22	870	24,360	7,064	6,285	37,709
Subtotal									239,078.28
Accommodation, Travel & Transportation									
4x4 truck rental and mileage	Month	1,021		204	9.0	9,189	-	1,838	11,027
4 ATVs rental from WDFW	ATV	600		120	4	2,400	-	480	2,880
Field Per diem 10/day	Day	10		2	240	2,400	-	480	2,880
Coordinator Travel									
Food	Day	39		8	10	390	-	78	468
lodging	Day	70		14	10	700	-	140	840

Intensively Monitored Watershed Project Implementation in Asotin Watershed: Proposal

Airfare SLC to Lewiston	Flight	600	120	4	2,400	-	480	2,880
Subtotal								20,974.80
Supplies & Equipment								
Computing/Office (annual)	Supplies	560.00	112.00	1.00	560.00	-	112.00	672.00
Field Camp (annual)	Supplies	700.00	140.00	1.00	700.00	-	140.00	840.00
Field Camp (one time)	Supplies	1,757.00	351.40	1.00	1,757.00	-	351.40	2,108.40
Habitat Supplies (annual)	Supplies	520.00	104.00	1.00	520.00	-	104.00	624.00
Habitat Supplies (one time)	Supplies	4,885.00	977.00	0.25	1,221.25	-	244.25	1,465.50
Invertebrates (benthic and drift)	Supplies	180.00	36.00	1.00	180.00	-	36.00	216.00
Invertebrate (benthic and drift)	Processing	150.00	30.00	12.00	1,800.00	-	360.00	2,160.00
Mobile Surveys (one time)	Supplies	11,367.00	2,273.40	0.25	2,841.75	-	568.35	3,410.10
Seining/Tagging (annual)	Supplies	2,177.58	435.52	1.00	2,177.58	-	435.52	2,613.09
Seining/Tagging (one time*)	Supplies	27,845.00	5,569.00	1.00	27,845.00	-	5,569.00	33,414.00
Topographic Surveys (Rental)	Supplies	6,500.00	1,300.00	0.50	3,250.00	-	650.00	3,900.00
Utilities	Supplies	2,940.00	588.00	1.00	2,940.00	-	588.00	3,528.00
Waders	Supplies	645.00	129.00	1.00	645.00	-	129.00	774.00
Misc. Rentals	Supplies	10.00	2.00	30	300.00	-	60.00	360.00
Misc. Supplies	Supplies	10.00	2.00	30	300.00	-	60.00	360.00
Subtotal								56,445.09
WDFW Cooperative Agreement								
Manger, Field Supervisor, Two Field Technicians, and Support Staff to aid monitoring efforts								55,000.00
Annual Cost not including CONTRACT ADMIN								
								371,498.18

* Pit tags have been purchased through the end of 2012.