

Project #13-1287, Nason Creek UWP Horseshoe Bend Acquisition

Current Status: Application Submitted

Project Details

Primary Sponsor: Chelan-Douglas Land Trust Primary Contact: Mickey Fleming

(509) 667-9708 mickey@cdlandtrust.org

Funding Program: Salmon State Projects

Lead Entity: Chelan County Lead Entity

Project Type: Acquisition

Project Description

The Chelan-Douglas Land Trust is partnering with private landowners to acquire and with Chelan County to protect existing high quality riparian habitat and provide public access to Nason Creek, a tributary of the Wenatchee River, which flows into the Columbia River. This reach of Nason Creek has a high percentage of the endangered spring Chinook and steelhead spawning in all of Nason Creek, which in turn has a high percentage in the entire Wenatchee basin. CDLT will acquire approximate approximately 12.3 acres and .37 miles of streambank. Nason Creek is a Category 2 stream, a major spawning area for spring Chinook and steelhead and a core area for bull trout, and is the highest priority in the Wenatchee Basin for both Protection and Restoration. Chelan County owns 22 acres contiguous to the parcels to be acquired, which it intends to manage in cooperation with this protection project. Reassembling these subdivided properties will result in permanent protection, enable clean-up of derelict structures and debris, create public access to Nason Creek, and will enable implementing future habitat restoration actions appropriate to this site. The existing private landowners have been unwilling to agree to restoration.

Project Overall Metrics (Outcomes, Benefits)

Category / Work Type / Metric	Application Answer
Category / work Type / Wetric	Application Answer

Project Acquisition

Acquisition Primary Purpose Habitat Conservation

Completion Date

Projected date of completion 10/31/2015

Sponsor Match: Monetary Funding

Amount of other monetary funding	\$0.00
Project identifier for the other monetary funding	N/A
Source of other monetary funding	N/A

Sponsor Match: Donated Un-paid Labor (volunteers)

Value of Donated Unpaid Labor (Volunteers) \$0.00
Source of Donated Un-paid labor contributions N/A

Sponsor Match: Donated Paid Labor

Value of Donated Paid Labor
Source of Donated Paid Contributions

N/A

Sponsor Match: Other In-kind Contributions

Value of Other In-Kind Contributions \$51,715.00
Source of Other In-Kind Contributions Landowner contribution (bargain sale)
Description of other In-Kind contributions N/A

Project Funding

Funding Request		Funding %	Min Match Require	d Sponsor Match Source	
Salmon State Projects	\$293,000	85.00 %		Grant - Other	\$51,715
Sponsor Match	\$51,715	15.00 %	15%		
Total Project Funding	\$344,715	100.00 %			
Project Cost Summary		Project %	Admin/A&E %	Maximum for Selected Program	
ACQUISITION COSTS					
Land/Incidentals	\$328,300				
Admin	\$16,415		5.00 %	\$16,415	(5%)
Subtotal	\$344 715	100 00 %			

100.00 %

Worksites and Properties

Total Cost Estimate

County: Chelan

\$344,715

Legislative Districts 2002: 12 Legislative Districts 2012: 12 Congressional Districts 2002: 04 Congressional Districts 2012: 08

Salmon Recovery Regions: Upper Columbia DNR Watershed Units (WAU): NASON, LOWER

4th Field Catalog Units (HUC): Wenatchee WRIA: Wenatchee

Sections: 04

Township: T26NR16E Coordinates: 47.78361562 -120.84467735

Worksite #1: Upper White Pine

Coordinates from Mapped Point: Latitude: 47.78361562 Longitude: -120.84467735

Coordinates from Worksite Latitude: Longitude:

Directions:

Worksite Description: This 3000 foot bend of Nason Creek has high sopawning usage, excellent existing riverine and riparian habitat. By acquiring a toal of 5 small parcels from 2 owners, CDLT will be able to clean up derelit structures and to partner with C helan County to protect both sides (over a mile in total) of Nason Creek.

Site Access Directions: From Wenatchee, take US Hwy 2 approximately 40 miles to site. Access Coaker property at Hwy 2. from WaDOT turnout on the south side of the highway. Access Alberg site from Merritt, about 1/2 mile east.

Worksite Address:

US Hwy 2 Leavenworth, WA 98826

Category / Work Type / Metric Application Answer Work Type Costs

Targeted salmonid ESU/DPS

Chinook Salmon-Upper Columbia River Spring-run ESU,
Steelhead-Upper Columbia River DPS

Targeted species (non-ESU species)

Bull Trout

Miles Of Stream Treated/Protected 0.37

Project Identified In a Plan or Watershed Assessment (UCSRB) Upper Columbia Salmon Recovery Board. 2007. Upper Columbia Spring Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Recovery Plan.

(UCRTT) Upper Columbia Regional Technical Team. 2013. A Biological Strategy to Protect and Restore Salmonid Habitat in the Upper Columbia Region. (USBR, 2008) U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. 2008. Nason Creek Tributary Assessment. (USBR,

Reclamation. 2008. Nason Creek Tributary Assessment. (USBR, 2009) U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. 2009. Upper White Pine Reach Assessment: Nason Creek.

Type Of Monitoring

None
Monitoring Location

No monitoring completed

were consulted? Attach related documents (letters, surveys, agreements, etc.) to your project in PRISM.

1 of 6 Has the worksite been investigated for historical, archeological, or cultural resources? If yes, when did this occur and what agencies and tribes

No.

2 of 6 What is the current land use of the site, and its history?

The properties have no current use. There are old small recreational cabin structires on the Caoker parcel and one of the Alberg parcels, but they have been abandoned for decades. There are car bodies left on the Coaker parcel.

3 of 6 Is the worksite(s) located within an existing park, wildlife refuge, natural area preserve, or other recreation or habitat site? If yes, name the area and specify if the land is owned by local, state or federal government.

No, There is United States Forest Service property to the north of Hwy. 2 and to the south of the BNSF railroad.

4 of 6 Describe any proposed ground disturbing activities. That is, will a tool(s) be used to move earth (soil, rock, gravel, etc.) as part of this project?

This includes hand or mechanized tools, for example: shovel, auger, pick axe, backhoe, etc. The answer to this question will be used in cultural resource consultation so please provide detailed information on the anticipated depth of excavation and the size of area disturbed.

Removal of the derelict structures, construction debris and car bodies will involve some use of equipment. On the Coaker property, this would involve about a quarter of the parcel, or .5 cares; most would be surfacr equipment, with poassible dept of a foot or so for the cabin removal. At the Alberg site, about 2 acres would be affected to removed the structures; at their locations, excavation could be to about 2 feet.

5 of 6 Give street address for this worksite if available.

NNA Hwy 2, Leavenworth, WA

6 of 6 If buildings or structures (tidegates, dikes, etc.) will be altered, removed, or demolished, provide for each: identifying name, year constructed, year(s) remodeled/renovated. Attach at least one photograph for each to your project in PRISM. If none: N/A The answer to this question will be used in cultural resource consultation so please provide detailed information.

See photos of derelict cabin structures, Photo attachments #8 and #14, and in Application Aoppendix B. Both property owners inherited the property and berlieve that the structures have been abondoned for decades.

Property for Upper White Pine Worksite #1: Alberg

Activity: Acquisition Planned Acquire Date: 12/31/2014

Proposed Acres:

\$150,000.00

\$1,000.00

\$250.00

\$750.00

\$500.00

10 29

Ownership

Instrument Type: Deed - Statutory Warranty

Purchase Type: Fee ownership

Landowner Type: Private Term Length: Perpetuity Expiration Date:

Note:

Acquisition Metrics (Outcomes, Benefits)

Category / Work Type / Metric	Application Answer	Work Type Costs
Deal Decreases Association		

Real Property Acquisition

Miles Of Streambank Protected By Land or Easement Acquisition 0.18

and_

Property Grantor

Total cost for Land

Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple) - Riparian

9.26

Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple) - Uplands 1.03

Existing structures on site Structures to be demolished

Market value of property improvements \$0.00
Clean up of hazardous substances required (yes/no)
Unknown

Incidentals

Standard Incidentals

Total cost for Standard Incidentals \$5,750.00

Cultural resources(Acq)

Total cost for Cultural resources(Acq)

Restoration or development plans (yes/no)

Demolition

Total cost for Demolition \$22,650.00 Buildings / structures to be demolished Rermove approx. 12 50 year old cabins and construction debris

Noxious weed control

Total cost for Noxious weed control

Acres treated for noxious weeds by method - Chemical 2.00

Stewardship plan
Total cost for Stewardship plan

Acres included in the stewardship plan 10.29

Acres included in the stewardship plan

Survey(Acq)

Total cost for Survey(Acq)

Acres to be surveyed 10.29

Administrative Costs (Acq)

Administrative costs (Acq)

Total cost for Administrative costs (Acq) \$9.045.00

Acquisition Questions

1 of 2 Has the applicant requested and/or received a "waiver of retroactivity" from the RCO for the property in question? If yes, what was the sellers name, the approval date, and waiver number?

No.

Landowner Type: Private

2 of 2 Property acquired with grant funds is subject to policies/laws regarding preservation of cultural resources. Is future restoration, development, fencing or signing proposed for this property? If yes, explain how and when this work will occur.

The Bureau of Reclamation has completed a tributary assessment for Nason Creek and a reach Assessement for the Upper White Pine reach in which this property is located, indicating properties fin which existing high quality habitat should be propotected, and potential areas for habitat restoration. Nason Creek is the Upper Columbia Regional TEam's highest priority in the Wenatchee Bason for both protection and restoration actions. Both actions were recommended for the subject properties. The Yakama Nation has also done an assessment of potential restoration projects and recommended side channel enhancement and instream structures for the subject properties. The existing private landowners were not willing to have activities on their properties while in their ownership. A map with those potential projects shown is Appendix A-Map 4. CDLT does not do restoration work, but con contract as landowner with an appropriate sponsor to do such activities if the acquisition is completed and a proposed projects receives review and funding.

Property for Upper White Pine Worksite #1: Coaker

Activity: Acquisition Planned Acquire Date: 12/31/2014 Proposed Acres: 2 00

Property Grantor Ownership

Instrument Type: Deed - Statutory Warranty

Purchase Type: Fee ownership
Term Length: Perpetuity

Expiration Date: Note:

Acquisition Metrics (Outcomes, Benef	its) Application Answer	Work Type Costs
Real Property Acquisition		
Miles Of Streambank Protected By Land or Easement Acquisition Land	0.19	
Total cost for Land Acres by Acreage Type (fee simple) - Riparian Existing structures on site Market value of property improvements Clean up of hazardous substances required (yes/no)	2.00 Structures to be demolished \$0.00 Unknown	\$120,000.00
Incidentals		
Standard Incidentals		
Total cost for Standard Incidentals Cultural resources(Acq)		\$5,750.00
Total cost for Cultural resources(Acq)		\$1,000.00
Restoration or development plans (yes/no) Demolition	No	
Total cost for Demolition		\$19,150.00
Buildings / structures to be demolished	Remove approx. 7 50 year old cabins and various construction debris, also car bodies to metal recycler	
Noxious weed control		
Total cost for Noxious weed control		\$250.00
Acres treated for noxious weeds by method - Chemical Stewardship plan	0.50	
Total cost for Stewardship plan		\$750.00
Acres included in the stewardship plan Survey(Acq)	2.00	050000
Total cost for Survey(Acq)		\$500.00

Administrative Costs (Acq)

Acres to be surveyed

Administrative costs (Acq)

Total cost for Administrative costs (Aca) \$7.370.00

2.00

Acquisition Questions

- 1 of 2 Has the applicant requested and/or received a "waiver of retroactivity" from the RCO for the property in question? If yes, what was the sellers name, the approval date, and waiver number?
- 2 of 2 Property acquired with grant funds is subject to policies/laws regarding preservation of cultural resources. Is future restoration, development, fencing or signing proposed for this property? If yes, explain how and when this work will occur.

The Bureau of Reclamation has completed a tributary assessment for Nason Creek and a reach Assessement for the Upper White Pine reach in which this property is located, indicating properties fin which existing high quality habitat should be propotected, and potential areas for habitat restoration. Nason Creek is the Upper Columbia Regional TEam's highest priority in the Wenatchee Bason for both protection and restoration actions. Both actions were recommended for the subject properties. The Yakama Nation has also done an assessment of potential restoration projects and recommended side channel enhancement and instream structures for the subject properties. The existing private landowners were not willing to have activities on theier properties while in their ownership. A map with those potential projects shown is Appendix A-Map 4. CDLT does not do restoration work, but con contract as landowner with an appropriate sponsor to do such activities if the acquisition is completed and a proposed projects receives review and funding.

Overall Project Questions

1 of 10 Is any part of the scope of work included in this application required as mitigation for another project or action? E.g. FERC relicensing, Habitat Conservation Plan, legal settlement, etc. If yes, explain:

No

2 of 10 Do you need state SRFB dollars (not Federal) to match the requirements of any other federal funding you will be using to complete this project. If

- Yes, please state the amount of state dollars needed out of your total request.

 No
- 3 of 10 Is the project on State Owned Aquatic Lands? Please contact the Washington State Department of Natural Resources to make a determination. (www.dnr.wa.gov/Publications/aqr_land_manager_map.pdf)
 No
- 4 of 10 Do your organizational documents (charter, bylaws, or articles of incorporation) include the authority for the protection or enhancement of natural resources or related activities?

 Yes
- 5 of 10 Do your organizational documents (charter, bylaws, or articles of incorporation) provide for an equivalent successor organization in case the nonprofit dissolves?

Yes, CDLT Board-adopted policy 6.4 provides policy and procedures for transfer of protected conservation properties to a qualified public agency or non-profit under limited circumstances.

6 of 10 For grants listed in the Sponsor Match Category section on the Funding Request tab, list the grant source(s), when the funds were (or will be) secured, and how long the grant funds will be available to this project.

Match will be provided by landowner donation.

7 of 10 Describe the type and timing of donated labor (skilled and unskilled), donated equipment, and donated materials that will be used for this project, identified in the Sponsor Match Category section on the Funding Request tab.

N/A

8 of 10 Is your organization registered as a non-profit with the Washington Secretary of State? If so, what is your Unified Business Identifier (UBI)

number? 601 208 720 000

9 of 10 What date was your organization created?

May 28, 1985

10 of 10 How long has your organization been involved in salmon and habitat conservation?

CDLT has participated in the SRFB process since its inception, with two grants in 2000 (#00-1183 and 00-1669) to protect habitat in the Entiat and White

RivEr watersheds.

Project Permits

Applied Received Expiration

Permit Type Date Date Date Permit Number

None - No permits Required

Permit Questions

1 of 1 If this project requires a federal permit, will the scope of that permit cover ALL proposed ground disturbing activities included in this project? You may need to request a pre-application meeting with the permitting agency to answer this question.

No, Acquisition requires no permits. Chelan County Community Development indicates that a demolition permit is not required since the structures have been abandoned for decades, and the County shows no improvements of record.

Project Attachments

Required Attachments	5 out of 5 done
Cost Estimate	✓
Landowner	V
acknowledgement form	
Parcel map	V
Photo	\overline{v}
Salmon Project Proposal	V

Photos

Attachment Type	Title	Attach Date Worksite/Property
Cost Estimate	Appendix C Cost Estimate.pdf	05/30/2013
Мар	Appendix A Map 2 Floodplain Wetland Spawning.pdf	05/02/2013
Мар	Appendix A Map 1 VIcinity.pdf	05/02/2013
Parcel map	Appendix A Map 3 Parcel Map.pdf	05/02/2013
Photo	Old cabins on Coaker next to Nason Creek.jpg	05/02/2013
Photo	Deep pool Alberg parcel on Hwy 2.jpg	05/02/2013
Photo	Alberg on Merritt side.jpg	05/02/2013
Photo	Old cabins on Alberg parcel Merritt side.jpg	05/02/2013
Photo	Looking upstream, Alberg on left.jpg	05/02/2013
Photo	Gravel bar on Coaker.jpg	05/02/2013
Salmon Project Proposal	Nason Creek UWP Horseshoe Bend Final Application with Appen.pdf	07/12/2013
Salmon Project Proposal	Nason Creek UWP Horseshoe Bend Final Application Track Chan.pdf	07/12/2013
Salmon Project Proposal	Horseshoe Bend Draft Application Packet.pdf	05/07/2013

Application Status

Application Due Date: 08/16/2013

StatusStatus DateNameApplication Submitted08/12/2013Mickey Fleming

Preapplication 04/23/2013

Notes

I certify that to the best of my knowledge, the information in this application is true and correct. Further, all application requirements due on the application due date have been fully completed to the best of my ability. I understand that if this application is found to be incomplete, it will be rejected by RCO. I understand that I may be required to submit additional documents before evaluation or approval of this project and I agree to provide them. (Mickey Fleming, 08/12/2013)

Date of last change: 08/12/2013