|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Date** | **Status** |
| Early App. Review-Site Visit  | 5/21/2012 | Reviewed |
| July Review Panel Mtg. |  |  |
| **Status Options** |
| **REVIEWED** | Review Panel has reviewed and provided comments. |
| **REVIEWED & FLAGGED** | Review Panel has flagged this project as needing full panel discussion. |
|  | Date | Status |
| Post Application | 10/5/2012 | CLEAR |
| Final | 10/26/2012 | CLEAR |
| **Status Options** |
| **POC** | Project of Concern  |
| **CLEAR** | Project is clear |

**Lead Entity:**  Chelan County

**Project Number:**  12-1620

**Project Name:**  Mill Creek Culvert Replacement Mtn Home Ranch Rd

**Project Sponsor:** Chelan Co. Natural Resource

**Grant Manager:** Marc Duboiski

**Project Summary**: The project would replace a fish passage barrier (undersized perched culvert) with a bridge on Mill Creek, a tributary to Peshastin Creek at RM 5.2, providing access to 2.2 miles of perennial stream. The stream provides good steelhead habitat and potentially spring Chinook and bull trout.

# Early Application Review/Site Visit - REVIEW PANEL comments

**Date:** 5/21/2012

**Panel Member(s) Name:** Kelley Jorgensen And Paul Schlenger

**Early Project Status: Reviewed**

**Project Site Visit?** yes

**1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB’s criteria.**

*Comment Updates following Proposal Presentation on June 13:*

All comments provided based on pre-application and site visit need to be addressed in final proposal.

Please provide flow and temperature data shown at presentation.

*Draft comments based on pre-application materials and site visit:*

The application would be strengthened by addressing the following items:

* Please provide updated budget as described on site… the current budget appears to be leftover from 2011.
* Please provide any available data on fish use.
* Please provide any information on water quality in Mill Creek.

**2. Missing Pre-application information.**

**3. Staff Comments/Questions:**

## EARLY APPLICATION Review/Site VISIT - lead entity & project sponsor responses

**Directions:** Lead Entity or Sponsor must post their response to Review Panel comments in **PRISM** with document name: Response to Review Panel Comments. Attach this as a separate document in PRISM to become part of your application, and send your grant manager an e-mail.

All Flagged projects will be reviewed at the July 12th full Review Panel meeting. Sponsor responses received no later than one week prior to the meeting will be considered by the Review Panel.

**![C:\Users\Sue\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Temporary Internet Files\Content.IE5\ZRTWWKN2\MC900434750[1].png]()Special Note:** To help speed the local and SRFB Review Panel evaluation process, if for any reason throughout the application review process you update your project proposal based on SRFB Review Panel comments please re-attach your proposal in PRISM in WORD “track changes.” This step will save time and focus the reviewer on the changes.

Response:
*Attach Response to PRISM, and send your Grant Manager an e-mail.*

*Grant Manager will put in the PRISM attachment number here.*

# JULY 12th REVIEW PANEL MEETING - REVIEW PANEL comments

**Date:**

**Panel Member(s) Name:**

**Early Project Status:**

**Project Site Visit?**

**1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB’s criteria.**

**2. Missing Pre-application information.**

**3. Staff Comments/Questions:**

## JuLY 12th REVIEW PANEL MEETING - lead entity & project sponsor responses

Directions: Lead Entity or Sponsor must post their response to Review Panel comments in **PRISM** with document name: Response to Review Panel Comments. Attach this as a separate document in PRISM to become part of your application, and send your grant manger an e-mail.

Response:
*Attach Response to PRISM, and send your Grant Manager an e-mail.*

*Grant Manager will put in the PRISM attachment number here.*

#  Post Application - REVIEW PANEL comments

**Date:** 10/5/2012

**Panel Member(s) Name:** Review Panel

**Application Project Status:** CLEAR

**1. Is this a draft project of concern (POC) according to the SRFB’s criteria? (Yes or No)**

No.

**Why?**

Early review comments were sufficiently addressed.

**2. If YES, what would make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB’s criteria?**

**3. If NO, are there ways in which this project could be further improved?**

**4. Staff Comments/Questions:**

## Post application - lead entity & project sponsor responses

Directions: Lead Entity or Sponsor must post their response to Review Panel comments in **PRISM** with document name: Response to Review Panel Comments. Attach this as a separate document in PRISM to become part of your application, and send your grant manger an e-mail.

Response:
*Attach Response to PRISM, and send your Grant Manager an e-mail.*

*Grant Manager will put in the PRISM attachment number here.*

# FINAL REVIEW PANEL Comments

**Date:** October 26, 2012

**Panel Member(s) Name:** Review Panel

**Final Project Status: Clear**

**1. Is this a project of concern (POC) according to the SRFB’s criteria? (Yes or No)**

**Why?**

**2. If YES, what would make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB’s criteria?**

**3. If NO, are there ways in which this project could be further improved?**

**4. Staff Comments/Questions:**