**Peshastin RM 8.8 Side Channel Reconnection Design #12-1447**

**Responses to SRFB Comments**

1. Clarify the level of design that has been completed to date that generated the four conceptual

alternatives.

Response: A review of the reach assessment and LIDAR and field visits were completed to develop

conceptual alternatives. Some topo survey data was collected to verify LIDAR.

2. What benefits would a back water channel have over a flow through channel but one that is

shorter in length than the entire reach between the existing undersized culverts? This was

mentioned as an option on site but concerns were expressed about lack of flushing flows to

maintain the channel.

Response: The back water channel alternative is no longer being considered based on RTT

comments during the field visit.

3. What criteria will be used to prioritize project alternatives?

Response: Biological benefit versus cost and landowner concerns will be evaluated to select a

preferred alternative. The Wenatchee Habitat Subcommittee will review the alternatives.